

21		
20		
19		
18		
17		
16		
15		
14		
13		
12		
11	REPORTED BY:	JAZZMIN A. MUSRATI, RPR
10	STENOGRAPHICALLY	
9		ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32801
8	PLACE:	CHARLES M. GREENE, P.A. 55 EAST PINE STREET
7	TIME:	9:39 A.M 1:48 P.M.
6	DATE:	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2019
5	DEPOSITION OF:	PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK
4		,
3	OF EDUCATION AND G	
2	IN RE: UNIVERSITY OF CENTI	RAL FLORIDA'S USE

```
1
      A P P E A R A N C E S:
      CARINE L. MITZ, ESQUIRE - via telephone
  2
      OF: Florida House of Representatives
  3
         402 South Monroe Street
         Tallahassee, Florida 32399
         850.717.4881
  4
         carine.mitz@myfloridahouse.gov
  5
         APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
      DONALD J. RUBOTTOM, ESQUIRE - via telephone
  6
      OF: Florida House of Representatives
  7
         402 South Monroe Street
         Tallahassee, Florida 32399
         850.717.4881
  8
         APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
  9
      CHARLES M. GREENE, ESQUIRE
 10
      OF: Charles M. Greene, P.A.
         55 East Pine Street
 11
         Orlando, Florida 32801
         407.648.1700
 12
         cmq@cmqpa.com
         APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS
 13
 14
     ALSO PRESENT:
 15
      Charles Parker - Legal Assistant
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
                          Orange Legal
ORANGELEGAL
```

800-275-7991

2

1	CONTENTS	
2	TESTIMONY OF PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK	
3	EXAMINATION	4
4	BY MS. MITZ AND MR. RUBOTTOM EXAMINATION	158
5	BY MR. GREENE CERTIFICATE OF OATH	185
6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER ERRATA SHEET	186 187
7	READ AND SIGN LETTER	188
8	EXHIBITS	
9	EXHIBIT	PAGE
10	Exhibit 1 University of Central Florida - Journal	40
11	Entry Summary Exhibit 2	57
12	Letter from Priscilla Kernek Exhibit 3	77
13	Text Message Screenshot Exhibit 4	94
14	University of Central Florida - Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan	~ -
15	Exhibit 5	102
16	Exhibit 6 11/7/2016 Email String From Lee Kernek	119
17	To Judith De Jesus. Subject: Please Print	
18	Exhibit 7 UCF Facilities Projects Five-Year	184
19	Internal Capital Plan Exhibit 8	184
20	1/20/2015 Email String From Tracy Clark To Christina Tant.	-
21	Subject: FW: Colbourn Hall	
22		
23		
24		
25		
ORANGELEGAL Orange Legal 800-275-7991		

3

1 PROCEEDINGS ****** 2 3 (Whereupon, the proceedings began at 9:39 a.m.) 4 THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your right hand, 5 please. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you 6 are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth 7 8 and nothing but the truth? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 10 Thereupon, 11 PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK, 12 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined 13 and testified as follows: 14 EXAMINATION BY MS. MITZ: 15 16 Ms. Kernek, have you ever given a deposition 0. 17 before? 18 Not that I recall. Α. 19 Okay. Let me just go over some ground rules with 0. 20 you. 21 First and foremost, we want you to be honest. 22 You just have sworn to tell the truth. We ask that you 23 be truthful in your responses. 24 For Madam Court Reporter, we need to be audible. So if you're going to answer a yes or no, we ask that 25

ORANGELEGAL

you say yes or no. And not uh-huh, uh-uh, because the 1 2 court reporter can't take that down. 3 If you don't know the answer to something, that's a perfectly reasonable answer. If you don't remember, 4 that's fine. If you're going to tell us something that 5 you know only because someone else told you, I ask that 6 you let us know that. 7 If you're going to be estimating anything or 8 9 approximating anything, please let us know you're doing 10 that. 11 And I am guilty of this: I have a tendency to 12 speak fast. And I know court reporters hate that. So 13 if that's a tendency that you have, I ask that you try to be conscious and speak slowly. And answer the 14 question that's being asked. I know there's a lot of 15 16 information, probably a lot more than we are going to 17 cover today. There may be things that you feel are 18 important for us to know. 19 I just ask that you answer the question that's 20 being asked. We will give you an opportunity at the end 21 to tell us anything else that may be important to our 22 investigation. 23 Your attorney will probably have an opportunity 24 to ask some questions. And we can always talk at another time to get that additional information. 25

ORANGELEGAL

	, FRISCILL	
1		Do you have any questions?
2	Α.	No. I think we're ready to go.
3	Q.	Okay. Let's get started then.
4		State your name and spell your last name for the
5	record	l.
6	A.	My name is Priscilla Lee Kernek, K-E-R-N-E-K.
7	Q.	And are you currently employed?
8	Α.	I am not.
9	Q.	Okay. Where was the last place of employment?
10	Α.	I worked for the University of Central Florida
11	from 2	2007 to January of this year.
12	Q.	All right. And while you were employed by UCF,
13	were y	ou interviewed by the firm Bryan Cave concerning
14	the us	e of E&G carry-forward funds for the renovation of
15	Colbou	rn Hall and/or the construction of Trevor Colbourn
16	Hall?	
17	A.	I was.
18	Q.	And were you put under oath prior to that
19	interv	view?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Okay. Despite that, were your responses honest
22	and tr	ruthful?
23	Α.	They were.
24	Q.	Okay. What was the last position that you held
25	at UCF	'?

1	A. I was the associate vice president responsible
2	for facilities and safety.
3	Q. And was that always the position that you held
4	there?
5	A. It was.
6	Q. Okay. And what were your duties?
7	A. I oversaw several departments. And I will try to
8	list them off.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Facilities planning and construction. Facilities
11	operations and maintenance; sustainability and energy
12	management; landscape and natural resources;
13	sustainability and initiatives. And it's not actually
14	sustainability and energy management; we changed that.
15	It's now actually utilities and energy services.
16	Environmental health and safety; quality management and
17	improvement; resource management.
18	And for a time I also oversaw the UCF Police
19	Department and emergency management.
20	Q. Okay. And in that position, who did you report
21	to?
22	A. I reported to Bill Merck, who was the vice
23	president for administration and finance and CFO.
24	Q. And how would you describe the relationship you
25	had with him? Did you guys work well together?

ORANGELEGAL

Γ

1 Α. I thought we worked well together. 2 Okay. Did you work closely together? 0. 3 Α. We had a lot of autonomy. But, yes, I believe we 4 worked closely together. Are you close to the speakerphone, by any chance? 5 ο. Yes. Can you -- he's going to turn it, in case 6 Α. it will be better. 7 MR. GREENE: Is that better? 8 9 MR. RUBOTTOM: You were cutting off a little 10 bit. 11 BY MS. MITZ: 12 Okay. So have you kept in contact with Mr. Merck 0. 13 since you left UCF? I have talked with him. 14 Α. Okay. And have you guys talked about this 15 0. 16 investigation? 17 Α. We seldom talk about the investigation. I try to 18 do a pulse to see how he's doing. 19 Okay. When you did talk about the investigation, 0. 20 what was it that you guys discussed? I think that we both feel we're in a wronged 21 Α. I know that I, personally, feel that I am in 22 position. 23 a wronged position. That I have been scapegoated 24 because of others who are trying to save themselves. Q. We'll definitely get to that this morning. 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	You didn't really talk about the facts so much as
2	your feelings about what happened; is that correct?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. While you were employed at UCF were you did
5	you work closely with either Tracy Clark or Christina
6	Tant?
7	A. I didn't work closely with them or often with
8	them. But, yes, I worked with them.
9	Q. Have you maintained contact with either of them
10	since you left UCF?
11	A. Only to tell them I was sorry for them as well.
12	Again, more about feelings.
13	We haven't really talked about matters related to
14	the investigation.
15	Q. Okay. Under which president did you work?
16	A. I worked under John Hitt. And recently under
17	Dale Whittaker.
18	Q. Okay. Can you describe the relationship that you
19	had with President Hitt, if there was one.
20	What I am trying to understand is if you worked
21	closely with him; if you only saw him in meetings; or if
22	you had a better relationship, where you just could pick
23	up the phone and call him. Can you kind of just set
24	that scene for me?
25	A. Yeah. I really didn't have a close relationship

ORANGELEGAL

1	with him. I would sometimes be taken to meetings where
2	he was present, or I had to present something to him.
3	Other than that, we didn't speak often.
4	Q. Okay. Do you recall who his chief of staff was?
5	A. Early on his chief of staff was Beth Barnes. And
6	it later became Rick Schell; his actual name is John
7	Schell; he goes by Rick.
8	Q. And did you work closely with them?
9	A. I don't think I can say that I worked closely
10	with them. We actually had to send materials through
11	them, when they would go to the board of trustees. So I
12	worked with them on those types of materials.
13	Q. And did either of those chiefs of staff that you
14	just identified ever ask you questions about anything
15	that you provided them?
16	A. Yes. They would edit documents that were sent
17	forward, or they would ask questions about documents if
18	they felt there may be editing needed.
19	Q. In the event that there was some editing done by
20	either one of those chiefs of staff, would the document
21	come back to you? Or would it go from the chief of
22	staff to the board?
23	A. Sometimes I saw the edits come back; sometimes I
24	did not.
25	Q. Okay. Can you describe President Hitt's mental



state during the last few years of the presidency; was 1 2 he completely plugged in? 3 Α. I don't know that I am in a position to answer I really wouldn't know. 4 that. Okay. That's fair. Thank you for that. 5 ο. Can you describe your relationship with President 6 Whittaker, beginning when he came in as provost. Again, 7 did you work closely with him? 8 I did not work closely with him. I had worked 9 Α. 10 more closely with other provosts in the past. But I did not spend much time with him. The only time I was with 11 12 him was when called forward to go over documents. 13 Q. Is there any particular reason why you worked 14 more closely with other provosts and not Whittaker? I don't know that answer. 15 Α. Okay. Who was -- did Provost Whittaker have a 16 0. chief of staff, or did he only get a chief of staff when 17 he became president? 18 19 Well, he formed a position and had a person by Α. 20 the name of Ronnie Korosec in that role, to act as the chief of staff, chief of operations for him. 21 22 And did you communicate with her often? 0. 23 Α. Not often. But, yes, I did communicate with her 24 more often. Okay. And then the same question I asked 25 Q.



concerning President Hitt, did you have to provide 1 2 documents to her that would then go to Whittaker? 3 Α. Yes. Or have conversations with her that would 4 then be relayed to him. Do you recall what sort of documents? 5 ο. 6 Α. We exchanged emails. She probably had documents 7 that were related to space. When they were -- when they were redoing their office. She had documents related to 8 9 Trevor Colbourn Hall that would have gone to him. 10 0. Do you recall what those documents were? 11 Not offhand. Α. 12 Okay. Do you recall whether they would have had 0. 13 any information concerning the funding for the building? I don't recall those kinds of documents going 14 Α. forward from my office to him. There would be something 15 16 relating to the rising costs relating to the building. Okay. Let's move on to the trustees. 17 Ο. 18 What was your working relationship with the board 19 of trustees? 20 Α. My normal working relationship with the trustees would be through my boss, and possibly through the chief 21 22 of staff. 23 When you say through them, do you mean that you 0. 24 would relay information to your boss and/or chief of staff who would then relay it to the board? 25



1	A. Yes. That was normally the case, unless I was
2	giving a presentation. There were conversations where
3	one of the trustees might want to talk to me about
4	something. I always make sure that my boss was aware of
5	those conversations.
6	Q. Okay. So would the same be true for Chair
7	Marchena? Any communications would go through Mr. Merck
8	or the chief of staff?
9	A. Or the president, normally. I do have I have
10	had some conversations with him when my boss
11	specifically asked me to call him or to meet with him.
12	Q. Do you recall what any of those discussions
13	pertained to?
14	A. Some of the conversations pertained to delivery
15	methods on how we were delivering a building. He
16	expressed more than once that he was opposed to
17	construction management at risk. He expressed his
18	desire that we take on owner's authorized
19	representatives. We did talk about Trevor Colbourn
20	Hall, along with the architect, when we were trying to
21	convince him that we needed to use a construction
22	manager at risk for that facility.
23	Q. Okay. That discussion that you just described,
24	is that what led to the your department being
25	audited?



1	A. I don't know the answer to that.
2	Q. Okay. We'll get to that later.
3	All right. Did you see much of the interaction
4	between Chair Marchena and the other trustees outside of
5	board meetings?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Okay. Did you attend most, if not all, board
8	meetings?
9	A. I attended most of the finance and facilities
10	committee meetings. I often did not attend the full
11	board meetings, unless there was something relevant to
12	what I was doing in my area.
13	Q. When you attended the finance and facility
14	meetings, was Mr. Marchena the chair of that committee?
15	A. He was the chair of finance and facilities for
16	quite some time. And later became the chair and Alex
17	Martins became the chair of finance and facilities.
18	Q. Okay. While Mr. Marchena was the chair of
19	finance and facilities, did you have an opportunity to
20	observe him in those meetings?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And would you be able to say whether he appeared
23	to be prepared and knowledgeable of the matters that
24	were coming before the committee?
25	A. Yes.
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

14

1	Q. Okay. Did he appear to be shy about asking
2	questions?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did he appear to be shy about voting against
5	matters that he disagreed with or wasn't comfortable
6	with?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you ever observe any interactions between
9	Chair Marchena and President Hitt?
10	A. Only in meetings.
11	Q. Okay. And could you pick up anything from their
12	interactions to describe what kind of relationship they
13	had?
14	A. The interactions appeared to be professional.
15	Q. Okay. Same question for Chair Marchena's
16	interactions with Mr. Whittaker, in both the capacity of
17	provost and president; did you observe their
18	interactions?
19	A. Again, only in meetings.
20	Q. Okay.
21	MR. RUBOTTOM: Lee, this is Don. I want to ask
22	one follow up on Marchena's chairmanship.
23	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
24	Q. Did he seem prepared for the meetings? Did he
25	look at the agenda and ask "what's this about"?

ORANGELEGAL

Γ

1	A. He seemed prepared for the meetings. He was
2	engaged in the meetings. He had packets ahead of time
3	in order to be prepared for the meetings.
4	Q. Do you know if he got briefings from anybody on
5	staff, like the chief of staff or Mr. Merck?
6	Did he ever ask for any briefing from you before
7	leaving on one of the projects or anything?
8	A. I believe that Bill Merck did brief him often
9	before the meetings.
10	Q. Do you believe that Mr. Merck briefed him about
11	the sources of funds of these various projects that were
12	planned, including Trevor Colbourn Hall?
13	A. I don't know that he did in separate meetings.
14	But I do know that, regarding Trevor Colbourn Hall,
15	Chair Marchena asked about the source of the funding for
16	that. And he was told that it was carry-forward funds.
17	MR. RUBOTTOM: Right. Well, we'll get to those
18	meetings. I think 2014 and 2015 there were
19	discussions. But I will let Carine finish her
20	questions. Thank you.
21	BY MS. MITZ:
22	Q. Okay. So I understand that at some point Chair
23	Marchena did seek, I guess an audit is the best way to
24	describe it, of facilities and safety.
25	Can you tell me a little bit about that, when it
	$\overline{}$

ORANGELEGAL

occurred; how it came about? 1 2 Prior to that, I had asked -- actually, I had had Α. 3 one audit performed by McGladrey, specifically looking at our funding on our projects. 4 ο. When was that? 5 6 Α. It was a long time ago. Maybe '14 or '15. 7 0. Okay. We made a number of changes based on that. 8 Α. In 9 particular, looking at our contracts in order to develop 10 some cost avoidance measures. 11 Chair Marchena -- we were in the process of 12 looking for a firm to look at facilities planning and 13 construction, to advise us on how we could best 14 reorganize so that we could put best practice measures in place. So we had undertaken that initiative. And I 15 16 had spoken to Bill Merck about that initiative. At the same time I understand that Chair Marchena decided to 17 undertake an audit of that particular department. 18 19 We had already put out an invitation to 20 negotiate. We had developed the parameters for that through purchasing, and we had formed a committee in 21 order to make a selection. 22 23 And Chair Marchena decided that he was concerned that there might be bias in the selection. So he left 24 25 the ITN in place. And he hired people to evaluate the



1	proposals, which actually resulted in the same company
2	that I had rated first. And I do not know what other
3	committee members had done.
4	That company did did come in and Chair
5	Marchena was from what the gentleman told me, who was
6	running it, Chair Marchena was interested in in
7	bringing on owner's authorized representatives to lead
8	rather than the project managers we had. And that is
9	not the result of the of the study. The study
10	clearly showed that owner's authorized representatives
11	would cost us more than what we were doing with our
12	project managers.
13	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
14	Q. Lee, was that the Hill report, the Hill
15	A. That is the Hill report, yes.
16	Q. When you say he decided to hire somebody to
17	evaluate, did, like, the board of trustees vote on that?
18	Or did the finance and facilities committee vote on
19	that? Or did he do that on just his own authority?
20	A. I don't know if anyone voted on it.
21	What I learned is that Rhonda Bishop, who was our
22	chief compliance officer, in working with the trustees,
23	that they were hiring outside consultants to review the
24	proposals.
25	Q. That would have been through the president and

1	the compliance office then?
2	If there was authority outside of Mr. Merck
3	didn't want to do it that way; he didn't want to do it
4	that way, then and if the board didn't vote on it,
5	would it have been the president and the compliance
6	office had the authority to do that?
7	A. I don't know.
8	MR. RUBOTTOM: Okay. That's thank you very
9	much.
10	BY MS. MITZ:
11	Q. Okay. So did that report conclude with
12	recommended changes?
13	A. It did. It had a number of changes. We
14	undertook the changes that we were able to undertake.
15	Some of the changes were internal; some of them were
16	external to us; some of them involved money to do them.
17	The chair leaned over in the board meeting and
18	whispered to me, "I really am helping you here. Because
19	I am going to give you some money to do the things you
20	need to do." That did not happen.
21	Q. Okay. Okay. How did that work
22	A. That did not happen.
23	Q. Okay. Did Marchena's act in seeking this audit
24	affect your relationship at that point?
25	A. No.

1	Again, I didn't really have a close relationship
2	with him. We were trying to undertake that that
3	audit ourselves. Because we believe that we needed to
4	see to to revamp that department. We had about
5	ten project managers managing 500 projects at any at
6	a given time, we had project managers managing 30 to 35
7	to 40 projects apiece. And we knew we needed to
8	reorganize; we needed additional funds; we needed to
9	staff up in order to do our jobs.
10	So we had requested that that study be done
11	before anything else happened.
12	Q. Okay. You've kind of touched upon earlier your
13	contact with trustees before board meetings, if they had
14	questions or needed to be prepared.
15	In your experience, were there any trustees that
16	were more apt to contact you for assistance than others?
17	A. Yes. In the past
18	Q. Okay.
19	A Harris Rosen often contacted me. Chair
20	Walsh not Dave Walsh but Rick Walsh, Mickey
21	Grindstaff contacted me. Dave Walsh, on this particular
22	board, contacted me. Bev Seay often contacted me.
23	Q. Okay. So I would like to actually get into Dave
24	Walsh, Trustee Dave Walsh.
25	Did he ever ask you for assistance in better

ORANGELEGAL

understanding the documents that you have presented, 1 2 such as the capital improvement plan or the capital 3 outlay budget? He did ask about the capital improvement plan in 4 Α. 5 the board meetings. He talked about needing a capital expenditure budget, often referred to as Cap-Ex. 6 With my boss's permission, I spoke to Dave Walsh 7 about how we would go about doing Cap-Ex budgeting. And 8 9 he did spend time with me helping me learn to do the 10 Cap-Ex budget. 11 The problem with Cap-Ex is that you also need 12 your operational expenditures to feed into that. And I 13 was unable to do the Op-Ex that would feed the Cap-Ex. 14 0. Why weren't you able to get those documents? At that same time that Dave Walsh -- and I did 15 Α. 16 also speak to Marcos Marchena about the Cap-Ex -- at the 17 same time the trustees had asked me to do Cap-Ex budgeting Dale Whittaker had asked Tracy Clark to --18 19 to -- to look at how facilities budgeting was working. 20 And I was unable to get the information I needed from finance and accounting to do that. 21 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 22 23 0. About when was that? 24 Α. Probably 2017. 25 Did any other -- did any trustees ever ask you Q. Orange Legal

800-275-7991

about the annual capital outlay budget? 1 2 Α. No. 3 BY MS. MITZ: Okay. I want to clarify something that I think I 4 0. heard you say. Around the same time that Trustee Walsh 5 is asking for assistance about the Cap-Ex, Provost 6 Whittaker was asking Tracy Clark for information about 7 how facility budgeting worked; is that correct? 8 They were trying to form the facilities budget 9 Α. 10 committee and put it in the line with the university 11 budget committee. So he was asking Tracy to set up the 12 facilities budget committee and how facilities would be 13 budgeted. 14 0. Okay. And I have got more questions on that, but we'll get to that in a little bit. 15 16 Okay. So ultimately, then, with Trustee Walsh you would ask for the information from Chair Marchena 17 and then he never provided it? 18 19 He did say that he would notice a meeting, if Α. 20 necessary, so that he and Dave Walsh and I could be present. And he could get the information we needed to 21 complete the Cap-Ex budgeting, but that did not happen. 22 23 So did Trustee Walsh come to you and ask you what 0. 24 the status was or what was going in? 25 Instead when the facilities budget committee Α. No.



1	had been convened, there was a determination made that
2	in order to get our arms around a true five-year budget
3	need for facilities, each of the colleges would present
4	their needs. And they were allowed their top two needs
5	and then one slide on the other.
6	And so each college would come present. We would
7	hear from athletics; we would hear from facilities and
8	safety on some of the things we felt were great needs.
9	And presentations were made. Dave was allowed to
10	sit in on those presentations to hear what people were
11	presenting for for their needs and then to watch the
12	process. Because it was a brand new process to the
13	to watch the process to see how those facilities were
14	going to be prioritized. So Dave participated at that
15	point.
16	Q. Okay. Were there any other departments, outside
17	of the one that you oversaw that you worked closely
18	with?
19	A. Well, because what I oversee is a service
20	organization, we support others. So everything we do is
21	in support of what others do for the university. So I
22	would I would often have meetings with folks in the
23	colleges, athletics. I worked closely with I thought
24	
	closely with audit, compliance, general counsel

ORANGELEGAL

-- government relations. 1 Α. 2 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 3 0. What did audit focus on in working with your -with your areas? 4 5 So audit explained to me that they had -- that Α. they had a schedule of audits that they would perform 6 on -- on areas; that's routine. If something came to 7 their attention where they needed to do an audit, they 8 would do that. I often brought things to their 9 10 attention. Not just in other areas, but in my own area. 11 And I asked them to look at things that I thought might 12 be -- might be an issue. So I had interactions with them on that level. 13 Ι 14 also had interactions when one of the departments was going to be audited by them, and on the results that 15 came out of those audits. 16 17 BY MS. MITZ: Was there ever a time when you asked the audit 18 0. 19 department to look into something and they declined? 20 Α. There was a time, at least one time, when I talked to them about decisions that were being made 21 above me that I thought were problematic. And what I 22 23 was told was those were vice presidential decisions. It 24 was a vice presidential risk and that it was not my 25 problem.



	, FRISCILL	n 20
1	Q.	Would that have anything to do with what we're
2	talking	g about today, about Trevor Colbourn Hall or the
3	Trevor	Colbourn Hall renovation?
4	Α.	I don't know if that was on the list. There was
5	a list	of things. I do know that athletic funding was
6	on the	list.
7	Q.	Would you by any chance have a copy of that list?
8	Α.	I can certainly look.
9	Q.	Yeah, that would be appreciated.
10		And do you recall when what year this
11	happene	ed?
12	Α.	No. But it was quite some time ago. I am
13	guessi	ng three years ago.
14	BY MR.	RUBOTTOM:
15	Q.	Do you remember anything else that was on your
16	list?	
17	Α.	I don't. But I specifically remember athletic
18	funding	g, because that has been a problem issue.
19	Q.	Welcome to America.
20	A.	Yeah.
21		It possibly also involved permitting. A lot
22	involve	ed athletics and doing things without permits, and
23	how to	get that under control.
24	BY MS.	MITZ:
25	Q.	Okay. As part of your job, were you required to



1	be familiar with applicable law and regulations?
2	A. Actually, I would go to general counsel for if
3	I had questions on law. Or I would go to BOG staff, if
4	I had questions on law or regulation.
5	Q. Okay. How did you did UCF have a method in
6	which they would disseminate information to staff about
7	the possibility of a new BOG reg being amended or when a
8	reg was going to be amended? Would the general
9	counsel's office provide that information to you guys?
10	A. No, they wouldn't.
11	Q. So how were you supposed to know when something
12	changed or something was adopted?
13	A. That was one of my problem areas. And I brought
14	that up more than once.
15	Q. Who did you bring that up to?
16	A. I brought it up to Bill Merck. And I also talked
17	to at least one of Scott Cole's attorneys.
18	Q. Someone who would have been junior to him?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And how long ago did you bring that up?
21	A. A long time ago. Probably eight or ten years
22	ago, because
23	Q. Nothing changed?
24	A. Nothing changed.
25	Q. Okay. How did you become aware of the regulation

that is at issue in this investigation, 1 2 Regulation 9.007, which basically sets forth the authorized uses of E&G funds? 3 I wasn't even aware of that regulation until the 4 Α. 5 investigation. Who told you about it? 6 0. 7 I believe that Tracy Clark told me about it. Α. 8 All right. Now, were you ever present for any 0. discussions between Mr. Merck and President Hitt 9 10 concerning the use of E&G funds for either the Colbourn 11 Hall renovation or the investigation of Trevor Colbourn 12 Hall? 13 Α. I was present in about May of '15 when -- I believe we were with Dr. Hitt to discuss return on 14 investment documents, or something that we were 15 preparing. And Bill Merck told him at the time that we 16 had this problem with Trevor Colbourn Hall, and that he 17 18 didn't see any other way -- we had people's lives at 19 And Dr. Hitt said he did agree with him. risk. He 20 didn't see another way, that we had to do it. 21 0. Would he be responding to Mr. Merck 's suggestion 22 that they use the E&G? 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. So that was in May of 2015? 25 Α. T think so.

Okay. 1 Q. 2 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 3 0. Do you believe they'd ever discussed that issue before? 4 Because I know the building -- the new renovation 5 was planned in '12 or '13; monies were already being 6 targeted for that. The building was approved 2014. 7 Dr. Hitt made the decision to do the combined project in 8 January 2015. 9 10 Do you believe that Merck and Hitt had discussed 11 the E&G aspect of it prior to the May meeting? 12 Α. I don't know if they would have or not. I do 13 know there was a meeting in September with Tracy Clark, where she advised Bill about the funding. And he said 14 he needed to tell Dr. Hitt. 15 16 Was that September 2014? 0. 17 Α. Yes. 18 MR. RUBOTTOM: Okay. Thank you very much. 19 BY MS. MITZ: 20 0. Okay. So in that meeting did Mr. Merck say that he would talk to the president? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And do you -- did you ever find out 0. 24 whether that actually occurred? 25 I did not find out whether it actually occurred. Α.

1	But I was in the meeting in May of the following year
2	when it was discussed.
3	Q. Okay. All right. Were you present for any other
4	discussions with any the same people or other people
5	when this was addressed again?
6	A. Prior to that, I had been in meetings where
7	various people were talking about how they were going to
8	fund this building. The provost at the time, or acting
9	provost at the time was committing some funds.
10	Different people were trying to find out how they were
11	going to they were talking about, yeah, we have 10
12	million of it, but we are going to need more.
13	So I was present it was not my area of
14	discussion, but I was present when they were talking
15	about some of the the ways that they were looking at
16	funding the building.
17	Q. And would that provost have been Ms. Chase?
18	A. There was quite a turnover during that time. But
19	I believe that Tony Waldrop might have been in some of
20	those, Diane Chase.
21	So as the provost turned as the provost turned
22	over, they they were still discussing the project.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Provost Whittaker
24	was involved in any of those discussions, once he came
25	aboard?



1	A. He created a position for Tracy Clark to report
2	directly to him. And they had meetings set up weekly
3	and conversations almost daily about funding.
4	Q. Do you know whether those discussions about
5	funding were limited to academic, such as, you know,
6	faculty salaries? Or if it encompassed the entire
7	university budget?
8	A. It encompassed the entire university budget,
9	which is why he created the position. In the past
10	provosts concentrated on academic funding and had a
11	person or people in their office for that. He revamped
12	the way budgeting was being done. So he would have
13	oversight for all budgets for all of the university.
14	And he reinstituted a university budget committee
15	that met every month to talk about the funding and how
16	funding was being spent. And one of the regular topics
17	was the use of carry-forward funds.
18	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
19	Q. Lee, did Tracy, during the time that she was in
20	that report having the regular meetings with
21	Whittaker, did she ever tell you or give you any
22	indication that they had discussed funding sources, and
23	particularly E&G on the construction program?
24	A. I believe that in in admin and finance
25	meetings, I believe she did talk about funding. You

ORANGELEGAL

1	know, that she and Dale were talking about funding. And
2	that's how I knew that they had that it had changed
3	and that all funding was going through him.
4	Q. So this was department meetings?
5	A. These would be like admin and finance meetings
6	where Bill Merck would have his direct reports
7	convened
8	Q. Right.
9	BY MS. MITZ:
10	Q. Did you attend all or most of the facilities
11	budget committee meetings?
12	A. I did attend most of the facilities budget
13	committee meetings, after it was put in place.
14	But I was a voting member of the committee. I
15	did not lead the committee. And we were not making
16	decisions on fund sources. The facilities budget
17	committee determined what needed to be funded and to
18	prioritize those. And then those recommendations would
19	go to the university budget committee where funding
20	decisions were made.
21	Q. I see.
22	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
23	Q. Is it your understanding that the university
24	budget committee would have committed E&G funds, say,
25	for any of the downtown projects?



1	A. If they were committed for that, yes. That is my
2	understanding.
3	Q. So for anything after the formation of that
4	committee, that committee would have checked off on the
5	commitment of E&G for I mean, there's eleven or
6	twelve projects on the list that we're looking at, I
7	think.
8	But that committee would have checked off on
9	that; is that your understanding?
10	A. That is my understanding.
11	Q. Thank you. That's very helpful.
12	BY MS. MITZ:
13	Q. And Whittaker was also on the UBC?
14	A. He chaired the UBC. And Scott Cole was also a
15	voting member. I was not on the UBC. But Scott Cole
16	was a voting member of that committee.
17	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
18	Q. Was Cole or anybody from the general counsel on
19	the facilities budget committee?
20	A. I don't remember seeing him in the room on that.
21	There were other vice presidents on the facilities
22	budget committee. But I don't recall seeing Scott on
23	there. I would have to go back through to find out who
24	the committee had on it.
25	
1	



1 BY MS. MITZ:

Q. Okay. Do you know anything about the working
relationship between President Hitt and then-Provost
Whittaker, now President Whittaker?
A. I know I was told by Dr. Hitt and by a couple of

6 trustees that Dr. Hitt recruited Dale Whittaker to the 7 position of provost. And that he said that it was in 8 his belief that Dale would be his successor.

9 Q. Okay. Do you know whether the general counsel's 10 office was aware that capital projects were being funded 11 with E&G funds?

A. I don't see any way that they could not be aware. Scott sat in on the university budget committee. He received all of the packets that went to the trustees ahead of time.

16 He saw all of the forms that were put together 17 ahead of time. I don't see any way he could not be 18 aware.

19 Q. And he never approached you, contacted you, to 20 ask what was going on with the funding for these 21 projects, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. All right. So we have been provided with
notes that were taken during your interview with the
attorneys at Bryan Cave. And so I want to address some

ORANGELEGAL

1	of the things that were jotted down to see if, one, if
2	you have a recollection. And, two, if you can provide
3	more information, more context to these notes.
4	So the first thing I have is that the notes
5	indicate that you had reported, that you had heard Scott
6	Cole say something to the effect of we'll just have to
7	take that ding, in referring to an audit comment
8	possibly made by Mr. Merck.
9	Do you recall telling Mr. Burby that?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. Can you tell us give us some context; explain
12	the situation, please.
13	A. It would have been early on, when I was at UCF.
14	And I was in a a meeting where Bill Merck and Scott
15	Cole were in the meeting. I don't recall exactly the
16	issue at the time. But I do recall that that it had
17	to do with athletics funding again, and expenditures of
18	E&G for athletics, possibly moving money to athletics.
19	And Scott's position, I think at the time, was that DSOs
20	are allowed to use university property. That the
21	athletics DSO was created for that purpose. And that
22	the moving of funds to them met the requirements of
23	being university property.
24	And the auditors the State auditor had
25	disagreed with that. And Scott still felt that his



position was the right position. And he said, "Well, 1 2 we'll just have to take that audit ding; we're not 3 changing." BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 4 So this was in the context of a previous audit 5 ο. and -- and they just disagreed with the auditor? 6 Correct. 7 Α. 8 Do you know what year that was? 0. 9 Α. But it would have been fairly early on. No. 10 0. Was that in -- and was it your understanding that 11 was recorded in the audit? 12 Again, it was a limited conversation, with me Α. 13 having limited knowledge. Because I had just -- --14 0. Right. -- not been there very long. But I do recall him 15 Α. 16 saying to take the audit ding on that. 17 ο. Thank you. 18 BY MS. MITZ: 19 Okay. So I am trying to better understand how 0. 20 the funds that UCF has get distributed to the different 21 departments. So I am hoping that you can educate me in 22 the next few questions. 23 So your department had, like, a pot of E&G carry-forward funds; is that correct? 24 25 Primarily, what we had was plant operations and Α.



1 maintenance money, and so --2 What was that money supposed to be used for? 0. 3 Α. That money is for maintaining your physical plant, for operations, for maintenance, for utilities --4 5 utilities services. And for, again, whether it's -talking to Chris Kinsley and getting a lot of guidance 6 over the years. I asked a number of questions about it. 7 So I would ask, "Can PO&M be used for 8 renovations?" And he would say yes. "Can PO&M be used 9 10 for replacement?" We talked about that last week. And 11 he said yes. Can interest funds be used for projects? 12 And he said as long as they were related to the project. 13 So my understanding of what we could do with 14 those plan operations and maintenance monies came almost entirely from Chris, or from other universities where we 15 had these discussions. And we had them a number of 16 17 times. And I asked a number of times for written 18 quidance on it. And I was always told there was none. 19 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 20 0. We've asked for that guidance too. Can -- and when did you learn that PO&M is E&G? 21 22 I didn't learn that until this investigation. Α. 23 Because it always came into us as PO&M funds. 24 0. Other than the construction projects that we've looked -- that we're looking at, do you recall any other 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	E&G carry-forward funds from the central reserve being
2	transferred to facilities?
3	A. No. In the normal course of me doing business, I
4	really wouldn't even see that. So I look at the
5	document you gave to me, and I see these I normally
6	wouldn't even see a document like this. Finance and
7	accounting or even admin and finance, it was their
8	funds would transfer funds into a construction
9	account. And the facilities and safety business office
10	would see those funds coming in.
11	Normally, I didn't even see what the funds were
12	coming in. And I think that's that may
13	Q. So how would
14	A be true that
15	Q. How would you know that a project was fully
16	funded so you could go out and contract?
17	Would that just be you would inquire when you
18	were in that procurement process?
19	A. If we were moving forward with a project, the
20	facilities and safety business office had a
21	responsibility for ensuring that all of the funds were
22	in the construction account.
23	Normally we insisted that 100 percent be in the
24	construction account prior to starting. If it was
25	something that was being funded internally, we allowed

ORANGELEGAL

that those funds could be put in over -- over time, as 1 2 long as they were there prior to being needed. And that 3 was --4 0. Did you ever --Α. -- that was --5 0. I'm sorry. 6 -- only within the division. 7 Α. I'm sorry. I interrupted you. 8 0. 9 Α. It's okay. 10 0. Would you just restate your last statement. 11 That was only within the division. So if it was Α. 12 a college, we wouldn't allow that. If it was athletics, 13 we definitely wouldn't allow that. The money had to be 14 there in order for us to do the project. Do you ever recall any of those -- I mean, I 15 0. understand some of these funds were accumulated over 16 17 time. Do you ever recall any time when any of those 18 accounts loaned money to other projects or other 19 activities? 20 Α. I think -- speaking -- you know, we speak now from what we know now, as opposed to what we knew then, 21 22 and that becomes problematic. Because I know now that 23 funds were loaned to the combined heat and power plan 24 and paid back with energy savings from that plan. But I 25 didn't know at the time what those funds were.



BY MS. MTT7: 1 2 So the money that -- that you're -- was allocated 0. 3 to your department, was there anything in writing that 4 specified who had the authority to say, okay, use this money for this reason? 5 When I got there, there were very few 6 No. Α. policies or procedures. And I worked very carefully 7 8 with audit, and with general counsel, to develop 9 policies and procedures to be able to say, you know, who 10 has to sign change orders; who can spend the funds 11 within their department. Those kinds of things. 12 So which department actually monitored the -- the 0. 13 movement of funds in the account that was designated for 14 your department? So we have a facilities and safety business 15 Α. office. It resides within the directorate called 16 17 resource management. Resource management comprises a number of 18 19 consolidated operations. 20 And did they, then, pass that on to finance and 0. accounting? Or did that information just stay in that 21 22 department? 23 They had regular contact with finance and Α. 24 accounting. Q. Do you know -- and this may be outside of your, 25



RENNE	A, FRIGUILLA 40
1	you know, understanding or knowledge, but I will ask it
2	anyway: Do you know whether your department was ever
3	allowed to carry a negative balance in either an account
4	or on a project?
5	A. I don't know that. It took quite a while for me
6	to explain the difference between cash and budget.
7	Q. Okay. All right.
8	Okay. I think I want to direct your attention
9	now to the documents that we have provided.
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. If you can flip to the first page of Number 003
12	at the bottom, it's like a spreadsheet.
13	A. Okay.
14	(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	BY MS. MITZ:
17	Q. Okay. So this was a document that we received
18	that purports to show all of the E&G funds transferred
19	for projects that were constructed in addition to Trevor
20	Colbourn Hall.
21	So what I would like to do is kind of go through
22	the projects and see what what information, if any,
23	you have concerning them.
24	So let me start with the venue. What was your
25	involvement in the construction of that project?
ORANO	GELEGAL Orange Legal

The venue, so I went back through some -- tried 1 Α. to go back through some things last night. Because 2 3 again I didn't even see a form like this until the 4 investigation. 5 Right. Q. And that's when Kathy Mitchell and others started 6 Α. meeting to say -- I think the State had asked what all 7 funds had been spent. So they started coming with the 8 9 spreadsheet to say, "Well, what is this? Is this one 10 okay or not?" And these projects were determined to be 11 permissible within E&G in those. This first \$75,000 was 12 for an investigation of retrofit. The venue had -- and 13 don't know if was boilers or HVAC, something that was 14 falling apart at the time. And the \$75,000 was used to do an investigation to determine if the retrofit was 15 16 possible. 17 Okay. Do you know who would have directed the Ο. transfer of those funds? 18 19 I don't. Α. 20 Q. Okay. All right. How about the next project, the facilities surplus showroom? 21 22 Α. That's what it says here. That was never a 23 facilities surplus showroom. And it wasn't even noticed 24 to the State as that. It was a warehouse and storage 25 facility. It was used for warehouse. It was used for



1	surplus; it was used for storage. And the project was
2	less than 2 million in construction, which is what Chris
3	said it needed to meet, less than 2 million in
4	construction. So it was a minor project.
5	Per the BOG regulation, Chris Kinsley okayed the
6	use of two things: One was surplus monies as part of
7	putting the facility together. And the other one was
8	E&G funds. Chris had okayed both of those.
9	This, I know, was directed by Bill Merck. And I
10	actually told Bill Merck, "I went behind your back and I
11	asked Chris Kinsley if it was okay. And Chris told me
12	yes, based on the fact that it was part of our plan."
13	And that it was used for swing space for space for
14	for renovations, that it was used for the storage and
15	maintenance. That it was okay to use those funds.
16	Q. Okay. What what is surplus money?
17	A. So when you have surplus, you form a surplus
18	committee and you determine what to do with that
19	surplus. And what to do with the funds that occur when
20	you sell off surplus. It's usually things like well,
21	they used to have compounded bicycles, and then it sat
22	for a year. It might be things like old computers that
23	people have turned in. It might be furniture that they
24	might not be using. It could be things that are surplus
25	to the university. And we would actually put those out

ORANGELEGAL

first to see if somebody else could use them. Because 1 2 the best use is reuse. 3 So we would first put them out to see if someone else could use them. Then we would put them up for 4 5 sale; funds for those sales are only allowed to be used for certain purposes as well. 6 And the committee oversees that. And that's why 7 we asked Chris if the surplus funds could be used 8 9 towards this project as well. And they were. 10 0. Okay. Thank you for that explanation. 11 All right. Moving along, then. Global UCF, what 12 involvement did you have in that project? 13 Α. Global UCF was a facility for international I am not sure what the \$6,500 was used to do. 14 students. On a sidenote: Do you have any idea of where the 15 ο. students for Global UCF were located or recruited? 16 17 Α. Yes. There was a company that was brought in, I 18 think their name is Shorelight. And that company was 19 the one that was determining the best fit students, 20 because they didn't want to just bring in anybody and 21 not have them be successful. 22 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 23 Would the university pay Shorelight for that 0. 24 service? 25 I don't know the answer. But I am guessing that Α. Orange Legal

800-275-7991

ORANGE LEGAL

they would have to pay them. 1 2 BY MS. MITZ: 3 0. Okay. All right. I see Global UCF again at a million-6. But you're not -- even though that figure is 4 there, you still don't recognize -- you don't remember 5 any involvement in that, right? 6 7 The million-6 could have been because of the Α. 8 chilled beam. It was an energy measure to save money 9 and provide better comfort for the occupants of the 10 building. And I had thought that that was coming from auxiliary. But, again, I don't know the source of 11 12 funds. But it looks like the amount is probably for the 13 chilled beam. Okay. How about the band building? 14 0. The band building, we were at risk of losing 15 Α. 16 accreditation on our music department because we had 17 kids marching in the lightning. And they had their equipment in the trailer. And they had no place to 18

19 store the equipment. And the trailer had holes in the 20 floor. And the accreditation folks said you've got to 21 do something about this.

22 One of the 300,000 here I think came from the 23 college. One of them came from central reserves. And 24 the 262,704, I put a question. It might have been 25 administration and finance carry-forward. I was trying



1	to look at sheets last night to find out the sources of
2	the moneys, based on the meetings we had during the
3	investigation.
4	Q. I appreciate that.
5	All right. Moving along, then, the Center For
6	Emerging Media?
7	A. The Center For Emerging Media is an existing
8	building located downtown. And the \$5 million would be
9	for renovation.
10	Q. Okay. And then how about the Research 1
11	building?
12	A. The Research 1 building, the 3 million and 6
13	million, I believe, was for buildout. We had a building
14	that had a lot of shell and undetermined research. And
15	Dale Whittaker determined that faculty clusters needed a
16	home. And that they were going to go into a research
17	building. And so they needed to apply funds for
18	buildout of those facilities for the faculty clusters.
19	And I believe that Dale made the decision on those
20	funds.
21	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
22	Q. Did you ever talk to Kinsley about buildouts
23	on I am
24	A. Yes, we did.
25	Q. I think I understand furniture and equipment, in
	<u> </u>

Γ

the four months I have been studying this, but -- and I 1 think understand the renovation of old facilities --2 3 what I am curious about is you have a new building. It seems to me like buildout is completion of the new 4 building. 5 How would Chris Kinsley discuss buildout with you 6 in a new building? 7 Chris Kinsley told us that we could use funds for 8 Α. That was verified on a CAFA call during the 9 buildout. 10 investigation, when the universities were looking at what we knew or didn't know about what we could spend. 11 12 CAFA members were verified the use for buildout. 13 ο. And what would a buildout entail? 14 Α. In that particular research building, the vice president for research at the time, had decided he 15 16 wanted more space, less stuff. And that's his quote. 17 And so they might not have included fume hoods for some of the labs. They might not have included case work in 18 19 some of the labs. 20 And then you have researchers who come in, and generic labs don't work for them anyway. They have very 21 22 specific needs within a lab building -- within a lab for 23 their kind of research. And so it could entail specific 24 research needs, buildouts, or it could be the case work, 25 for example.



1	Q. Would case work be like the the cabinetry and
2	the
3	A. Yes.
4	Q and work the
5	A. Yes.
6	Q the horizontal workspaces and things like
7	that?
8	A. Yes.
9	BY MS. MITZ:
10	Q. I will lump the three downtown projects into one.
11	Did you have any involvement about those
12	projects?
13	A. I didn't have any involvement on the source of
14	funding on the projects. But on actually, getting
15	the projects done, that's where I come in, is getting
16	them built; overseeing that. The downtown campus
17	infrastructure is related to what goes underground. And
18	it might be hardscape, landscape, piping, all of these
19	kinds of thing, the infrastructure to support putting a
20	campus on that site.
21	The downtown central energy plant, would be we
22	looked at several things for this. We actually looked
23	at whether it would be a co-gen plant whether it would
24	be a tri-gen plant, whether it would be a central energy
25	plant, whether we could just fund through OUC utilities.



1	And we did some analyses. We had engineers that
2	we brought in to do analyses on which would be
3	better, financially, and which would possibly give us a
4	return on investment.
5	So in our area, we did those kind of analyses.
6	But we never did look at the source of funds for it.
7	Q. Okay.
8	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
9	Q. Did you have any conversations with Chris Kinsley
10	on those three projects?
11	A. There were a number of conversations about the
12	downtown and all the projects related to the downtown.
13	These were not like one-on-one conversations that I had
14	with Chris, which would be normally what I'd have. I
15	did sit in some of the other meetings. I know there
16	were a lot of other meetings, which I wasn't involved in
17	where they were talking about these projects.
18	Q. There's something that I am not clear on. And I
19	am just trying to get clear because you've talked
20	about not knowing about the regulation and limitations
21	on E&G, but you talked about frequent conversations with
22	Kinsley about whether you were permitted to use I
23	I am thinking we're talking about E&G on these various
24	repairs, renovations, whatever. Was that why would
25	you talk to Kinsley if it wasn't about the funding

ORANGELEGAL

1	source?
2	A. It was about the funding source. I was asking
3	for guidance to say, can I can I do this with this
4	money? Is there anything that tells me what I can and
5	can't do with this money?
6	Q. So you were just
7	A. Just give us something.
8	Q. After the money was committed you would be
9	clarifying with Kinsley; is that accurate?
10	A. What I am saying the one I had the
11	conversation with him is on the facilities surplus
12	showroom, that conversation was prior to the funding.
13	Because I said I am being asked to fund this through
14	surplus funds and carry-forward funds. So I explained
15	to him what the building was going to do. And he said,
16	yes, you can do those things.
17	Q. Okay. But in your mind, did you make a
18	distinction between carry-forward and E&G or were you
19	even aware of the idea of E&G?
20	A. And when I would ask questions of Chris, it was
21	about my PO&M carry-forward.
22	Q. Yes, ma'am. That makes a lot of sense to me.
23	And it clears up a lot of the things that you've talked
24	about, those conversations. So thank you very much.
25	



BY MS. MTT7: 1 2 Okay. Let's address the last project. Did we 0. 3 do --No. And we have the downtown student center. 4 Α. Ι 5 believe that is a buildout. That is a -- the downtown student center is not a UCF building. It was a buildout 6 to do things like students coming in to make their 7 payments. Some of that -- some of the student 8 9 development- and enrollment-type space. That is a 10 buildout space. BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 11 12 Is that property being leased or loaned to the 0. 13 university? You said it's not university property? 14 Α. I believe it's under a lease to the university. 15 0. Okay. 16 It's going to go in the housing project, as far Α. 17 as I understand it. BY MS. MITZ: 18 19 Okay. How about your involvement in Creole? 0. 20 Α. I don't know what the \$17,000 is on Creole. I do know that Creole -- the Creole has had expansions and 21 22 renovations over time. 23 And I know that they are -- they are -- you have, 24 again, the -- the Creole up above the 4 million and then 25 the 17,000 down below. Those go together. And I



1	believe that the 4 million and the 17,000 are related to
2	what they're currently working on, which is an addition
3	and buildout. And that was Dale I was in a meeting
4	where Dale said that he was funding that.
5	Q. But Dale Whittaker said he was funding the Creole
6	project?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. What do you what did you get from that?
9	Like, meaning coming out of the provost budget,
10	or
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Do you recall when he said that
13	A. No. It would have been yeah, I don't
14	really it would have been within the last couple of
15	years.
16	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
17	Q. Well, that transfer was in February of '16.
18	Would it have been before that?
19	A. It would have probably been before that.
20	BY MS. MITZ:
21	Q. Okay. Do you know whether that actually
22	happened, money from the provosts' office was used?
23	A. I don't know. And I don't know if that was part
24	of the payback. And then they decided the funds could
25	be used. I don't know if that was part of the payback

ORANGELEGAL

1 or not.

2 Q. Okay. All right. So do you know -- I'm sorry 3 hold on just a moment.

So are you aware of any transfers out of your 4 department back into central E&G carry-forward that 5 would have occurred after the State auditor began 6 questioning the funding for Trevor Colbourn Hall? 7 I know that I received a call from Tracy Clark 8 Α. 9 saying that they were going to be taking all of the 10 auxiliary funds. At the time I said, "Well, we have 11 auxiliary funds for a purpose; that's to run the 12 auxiliaries. Because they are business operations. And 13 won't be able to run those business operations, such as 14 surplus, if I don't have any auxiliary funds to do so." 15 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 16 0. When was that call? 17 Α. I am quessing September of this last year. And you didn't hear about any refunding 18 Ο. 19 activities before then? 20 Α. No. That was the call when they were telling us they were going to be taking -- sweeping auxiliaries in 21 22 order to repay those funds.

23 Q. Thank you.

24 BY MS. MITZ:

25

Q. Do you know whether they did take your auxiliary



1 money?

I know that they did take it. And, again, when 2 Α. 3 we started having the meetings, to tell the State what else we had spent. I brought up the point that I had 4 5 certain auxiliaries that could not run at all without their -- without their funds. And Kathy Mitchell was 6 marking those to come back to later, to say, you know, 7 if we find out that we don't have to pay this one or 8 that one back because it does meet the requirements, 9 10 then we'll make sure that those funds come back early. 11 You said that was a meeting with the State. What 0. 12 state official was involved in that? And do you know

13 when that meeting was?

A. I don't know about a meetings with the State. I do know that there were conversations where the State had said that they wanted all of the universities to go back to them with a report on any other projects, like Trevor Colbourn Hall, where E&G funds had been spent.

And as part of that, Kathy Mitchell pulled Tracy, Christy Tant, LaShanda Brown-Neal, and me into meetings to look over spreadsheets on funds. And that was at that time that I saw something similar to what you have here on Page 3.

Q. So you think -- it sounds to me like that they
were moving money back to E&G, so they wouldn't have to

ORANGELEGAL

1	report those on that certification; is that what you
2	A. I don't think that was the intent. I think the
3	intent was that auditor had said you can't spend that
4	kind of money. And so they were digging to find out
5	sources of money to pay that back.
6	Q. I understand that process was going on. But none
7	of us understand why the university only certified
8	Trevor Colbourn Hall as built with E&G when they said
9	these other some of these other projects were built,
10	so
11	A. I think it was because of the assumptions that
12	the counsel of counsels set forward, and the other
13	projects they felt met those assumptions. And I am here
14	to tell you
15	Q. So were those assumptions in writing?
16	A. Yes. Kathy Mitchell sent those forward. I
17	believe the counsel of counsels also put something
18	together I heard that they had put something together
19	and that it had gone forward as well.
20	Q. Thank you. That helps a lot.
21	BY MS. MITZ:
22	Q. All right. Besides the people you just
23	identified as having sat in meetings with to go over the
24	spreadsheets, has anybody else talked to you about these
25	additional projects, in either August or September?

ORANGELEGAL

1	A. No. It would have been it would have been
2	that time frame when we started looking at them.
3	Bill Martin we asked Bill Martin as well about
4	certain things. Because he said, you know, what was
5	this money used to do? So he would have had the
6	information on specifics on where the money was
7	applied.
8	Q. Did anyone from the general counsel's office
9	inquire?
10	A. Not of me.
11	Q. Okay. And did any trustee talk to you about
12	these additional projects?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. All right. Were you ever instructed to
15	omit details or provide as little information as
16	possible to trustees concerning any matter?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Was it ever suggested or was that maybe an
19	understanding amongst staff?
20	A. I don't believe that we were ever instructed or
21	that there was any understanding that we were to
22	conceal.
23	I personally have no spin. I tell it like it is;
24	some people don't like that. And so I have often been
25	counseled not to just put things on the table.



1	As far as any of this funding was concerned, I
2	was never asked to conceal anything; we didn't try to
3	conceal anything.
4	Q. Okay. Was there ever a time in a committee or
5	board meetings where you intentionally didn't identify
6	funds of E&G?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. If you had described the funds of
9	something other than E&G, would there would there
10	have been a reason to do so?
11	A. It would have only been because we didn't know.
12	You'll see oftentimes when I put "internal funds," it's
13	because that's all I knew, that it was coming from
14	internal. So it wasn't an attempt to disguise. That's
15	what I knew.
16	Q. Okay. Do you know whether this term "E&G" was
17	used more frequently with the presidents and the
18	provosts than the trustees?
19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. Okay. Did you ever hear Mr. Merck say something
21	to the effect of, "If I told the trustees that E&G was
22	funding Trevor Colbourn Hall, they would have never
23	approved the project"?
24	A. I never heard him say that. Scott Cole told me
25	after the fact that he heard that said. I did I told

ORANGELEGAL

1	him I didn't believe it.
2	Q. Okay. Okay. So I would like to direct your
3	attention, now, to the second document in the packet,
4	which is the
5	MR. GREENE: Can we take a 90-second break?
6	MS. MITZ: Sure.
7	MR. RUBOTTOM: Three minutes is great.
8	(Whereupon, a break was taken from 10:54 a.m.
9	to 10:57 p.m.)
10	(Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was marked for
11	identification.)
12	BY MS. MITZ:
13	Q. I would like to draw your attention to the second
14	document in the packet, which starts with Page 7.
15	Do you recognize this?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. Is this a letter that you typed?
18	A. This is a letter that I wrote.
19	Q. Okay. Who is it addressed to?
20	A. It was addressed to Misty Shepherd, who is the
21	interim vice president for administration and finance.
22	Q. Okay. And was this in response to a letter that
23	she wrote you?
24	A. It was.
25	Q. Okay. Can you give me some background on what
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

800-275-7991

her letter said that prompted your response? 1 I wish I had it with me. But she told me that I 2 Α. 3 was going to be held in insubordination. And I would like to give you a little background. 4 Q. Okay. 5 After meeting with Joey Burby, I came away from 6 Α. there feeling very abused and upset. I told him in the 7 interview that I didn't know if I could trust him. 8 And I knew that I didn't trust others at UCF. And that I 9 10 had the notes, and I was going through my notes. And I 11 was willing to provide information from the notes. He 12 told me that he would contact me the following day and 13 would probably want those notes. 14 He didn't do that. The day of that was a Friday and I was on personal leave. And I was sent an email 15 from compliance and ethics saying, "Bring us all of your 16 notes we're going to copy them here with general 17 counsel." And I said, "Please don't take offense, I 18 19 don't trust you with my notes. And I can't bring them." 20 I was also told to turn in my phone and they were going to scan everything on my phone, and that they 21 22 could go through anything on my phone. And I said, "I 23 have a lot of personal information. This phone is personal, and I also use it for business. But I have my 24 25 bank account information. And I have notes to my

ORANGELEGAL

1	husband. I have all kinds of things on here. And I
2	can't allow you to do that either."
3	They waited. And I was still trying to go
4	through the notes for them to find out things. They
5	waited until a Friday afternoon, when I was in a meeting
6	and they knew I was in the meeting with Kathy Mitchell
7	going through documents. They waited until I was in
8	that meeting and at 3 o'clock on that Friday afternoon,
9	I was sent an email and a letter was dropped off in my
10	office where I wasn't telling me if I didn't
11	deliver everything that was pertinent to this
12	investigation by Monday close of business, I would be
13	held in insubordination.
14	Q. Okay. And so did you respond, then, the
14 15	Q. Okay. And so did you respond, then, the following week with this letter?
15	following week with this letter?
15 16	following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with
15 16 17	<pre>following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my phone to try to find anything that was pertinent, not</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my phone to try to find anything that was pertinent, not just to Trevor Colbourn Hall, but to Trevor Colbourn
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my phone to try to find anything that was pertinent, not just to Trevor Colbourn Hall, but to Trevor Colbourn Hall, to funding, to reporting that I had done.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my phone to try to find anything that was pertinent, not just to Trevor Colbourn Hall, but to Trevor Colbourn Hall, to funding, to reporting that I had done. And I printed out, I think, a thousand pages of</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>following week with this letter? A. I responded with this letter. I responded with copies of pertinent texts from my cell phone. I went through about, I don't know, 10,000 or more texts on my phone to try to find anything that was pertinent, not just to Trevor Colbourn Hall, but to Trevor Colbourn Hall, to funding, to reporting that I had done. And I printed out, I think, a thousand pages of screenshots from my phone. I also continued to work</pre>

ORANGELEGAL

1	to be in meetings and that I could not get out because I
2	was on a selection committee. And the State says, you
3	must serve throughout. And I was in a selection
4	committee from 1:00 until 5:00.
5	And so I had my husband deliver not to Joey
6	Burby, because by that time I had retained counsel. And
7	so I had him deliver the letter. I had him deliver the
8	documents that I had come up with. And a a piece of
9	paper that I asked that Misty sign saying that she had
10	received the information.
11	Q. Okay. So we've been we were privy I guess
12	UCF agreed to allow us to seek Bryan Cave's
13	investigative material because we were asking for a lot
14	of the same stuff.
15	At some point we did get some text messages that
16	we were led to believe were from your phone. We were
17	also given copies of what appear to be your handwritten
18	journals or notebooks.
19	The difficulty I am having is determining whether
20	we what we were provided was what you had attached to
21	this letter.
22	So do you know whether any of the information
23	that you just described, the texts, the notes that you
24	provided to Bryan Cave, are valuable but yet are not
25	mentioned in the Bryan Cave report?



1	A. I believe that the notes that I provided had
2	value in more than one way. So some of them were
3	related to Trevor Colbourn Hall. Again, some of them
4	were were related to questions that I might have been
5	asking Chris Kinsley, or information that I was given by
6	others in order to do my job.
7	So I didn't create these notes for for a
8	deposition. I didn't create these notes for for
9	lawyers. These notes were created by me in meetings or
10	discussions for me to be able to do my job.
11	Q. Sure.
12	MR. GREENE: The question was: Did you were
13	any of those notes left out of the Burby report that
14	you thought were significant in some way that was
15	important to the investigation.
16	THE WITNESS: I believe they were significant.
17	MR. GREENE: Explain to them what you believe.
18	BY MS. MITZ:
19	Q. If those notes were not addressed in the report,
20	what I would like to know is if we can get copies of the
21	notes, the texts, whatever you had that you think was
22	important that did not show up in the report?
23	MR. GREENE: Yes.
24	A. Yes. I think I can do that for you.
25	MS. MITZ: That would be really appreciated.
ORANO	GELEGAL Orange Legal

61

1 Thank you. 2 MR. RUBOTTOM: Would it be possible to 3 accompany an affidavit to authenticate them. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GREENE: Yes. 5 6 MR. RUBOTTOM: Is that okay, Chuck? MR. GREENE: Yes. 7 MR. RUBOTTOM: Thank you. 8 9 BY MS. MITZ: 10 0. In this letter -- I think we're on the first 11 page -- you describe your interview as an interrogation. 12 And you stated that Mr. Burby did not like the answers 13 that you were providing to his questions. 14 And I think later on in the letter you say that he kind of had an idea, basically, of how he wanted this 15 16 to go. And that your answers weren't fitting into that. 17 Can you explain to me how you got that impression; what was going on in that interview? 18 19 So I wrote notes during the interview. And I Α. 20 will share those as well. 21 Q. Okay. Did he --22 Α. He was --23 -- try to -- go ahead. 0. 24 Α. He was accusing, with his tone, with his 25 demeanor, with his words. He was, I felt, threatening



1	saying things like, "You're confusing everyone in here.
2	Just answer the questions. Just give me a yes or no.
3	Did you know this or didn't you? Seriously, you expect
4	me to believe that," those kinds of things throughout
5	the interview.
6	Q. Okay. Your letter also says that you feel that
7	President Whittaker and Chair Marchena are disseminating
8	false narratives to conceal their responsibility or
9	roles in approving the use of E&G for these projects.
10	Can you tell me a little bit about that, what the
11	false narratives are, why they would be doing this?
12	A. Well, I think when someone says "one day," the
13	staff never told us about sources of funding. And then
14	the very next day, when he goes before the board of
15	governors, and he changes his story to say the staff
16	deceived us about the source of funding.
17	One of those can't be true.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. When someone says, "I didn't know anything about
20	funding. I was the provost. And I only did provost
21	stuff and Bill Merck did all of the other stuff," and
22	yet on his own curriculum vitae, he was the university
23	budget officer. He takes credit for all of the funding
24	things, as well as facilities and other things in his
25	curriculum vitae.



1	He formed reformed the university budget
2	committee and chaired it. He reformed the newly formed
3	budget facility. He created Tracy Clark's position to
4	report directly to him and met with her regularly on
5	funding.
6	Leave that to say that something is also not
7	truthful there.
8	Q. Okay.
9	MR. GREENE: Are we finished?
10	BY MS. MITZ:
11	Q. All right. Do you know anything about the
12	missing audio recording of the board meeting that has
13	become an issue in this matter?
14	A. No. But I believe that that's further attempt on
15	their part not to provide all of the information.
16	Q. Do you know who was in charge or who would
17	have been in charge of recording meetings in 2014 and
18	2015?
19	A. I don't know who was in charge of it. They
20	generally had someone sitting in on those meetings who
21	ran audio-visual. But those those documents are
22	housed in the office of the president. So the chief of
23	staff and the president would have those.
24	Q. Would have access to them, okay.
25	All right. Continuing on in your letter you

ORANGELEGAL

discuss an ethics complaint that you previously filed 1 2 against Dale Whittaker for falsifying his résumé. You 3 just touched upon it. I believe that's the résumé that he submitted to Iowa State. 4 Can you tell me how you learned about the résumé; 5 whether you saw it; who you talked to about it? 6 7 Well, one of my employees -- I didn't, at the Α. time, even know that he was competing for the position 8 9 at Iowa State. One of the employees walked in my office 10 and dropped it on my desk and said, "You're not going to 11 believe this." And so, yes, I saw it. 12 And he showed me in there where information that 13 we had been requested to provide -- such as all of the 14 facilities under design, construction, and where they were in the process -- his office had asked that we 15 provide that; and we provided it. And it went in there 16 verbatim in his résumé. 17 18 He had not done any work on any of those 19 facilities, other than spent quite a bit of time on 20 Trevor Colbourn Hall and on his office suite. Other than that, he didn't work on any of the other 21 facilities. 22 23 And so looking throughout the résumé, it appeared 24 he took credit for everything that happened at UCF 25 during the time that he was there.



1	Q. Okay.
2	A. This employee said that he was going to report
3	it; later asked if I would report it. I talked to Bev
4	Seay. I talked to Dave Walsh. I told them to stay
5	clean. That the that this wasn't clean; they needed
6	to stay clean.
7	I also sent a hidden mail, ProtonMail, to a
8	couple of news sources and to Florida BOG. The Florida
9	BOG was rejected. I sent a couple of emails to the
10	chancellor; they were not rejected. So those emails did
11	go through. And I
12	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
13	Q. Was that Chancellor Keyser?
14	A. Yes, it was.
15	Q. What email address did you send those from?
16	A. I send it from a ProtonMail address. And I can
17	look it up. I think
18	Q. That's your private email, right?
19	A. It's actually an email that doesn't let someone
20	know your name.
21	Q. Okay.
22	BY MS. MITZ:
23	Q. So he he could have read the email, but not
24	known who to reply to?
25	A. He could have replied to that email address that
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

800-275-7991

ORANGELEGAL

	1	was sent to him. You can you can send email and you
	2	can reply to email. You could have replied to, but he
	3	did not.
	4	Q. Okay. Okay. Did you ever confront Whittaker
	5	about this, or you just talked to people you just
	6	described?
	7	A. I did not confront him. I talked to, again, the
	8	two trustees. Dave Walsh then went to UCF compliance
	9	and ethics and told them that my staff and I had
-	10	concerns. They called me to speak with them.
-	11	And I spoke with them, Christina Sera, on
-	12	compliance and ethics. Our chief audit officer, Robert
-	13	Taft. And I know there was an HR person in the room for
-	14	at least part of the discussion.
	14 15	at least part of the discussion. Q. Okay. And what was the result of that
-		-
-	15	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that
-	15 16	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion?
	15 16 17	<pre>Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the</pre>
	15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed.
	15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed. His CV was changed. One of the knight news folks picked
	15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed. His CV was changed. One of the knight news folks picked up on or somebody had seen Christina with his CV on
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed. His CV was changed. One of the knight news folks picked up on or somebody had seen Christina with his CV on her desk working on it. It said she was only changing a
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed. His CV was changed. One of the knight news folks picked up on or somebody had seen Christina with his CV on her desk working on it. It said she was only changing a comma, and she didn't know she couldn't work on it in
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	Q. Okay. And what was the result of that discussion? A. I don't believe anything happened. Because the process continued. Dale Whittaker's résumé was changed. His CV was changed. One of the knight news folks picked up on or somebody had seen Christina with his CV on her desk working on it. It said she was only changing a comma, and she didn't know she couldn't work on it in her professional duty time. And that they would tell

ORANGELEGAL

1	forward. And he was selected as president.
2	Q. Okay. So just for clarification, although it
3	sounds like a lot of the information on his CV was not
4	accurate, that he was taking credit for things he didn't
5	actually do. The fact the listing of Trevor Colbourn
6	Hall was something that he was involved in; is that
7	correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And the fact that he took responsibility for the
10	university's budget on his CV is also a fact, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. So it's just the other projects that he
13	took credit for, he didn't have any involvement in?
14	A. That and I think there were other areas where
15	he's taking credit for everything that happened at the
16	university, some of which wasn't even under him.
17	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
18	Q. Lee, I am a little confused now on timing.
19	Because I believe he filed this CV with Iowa
20	State or something?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And when would that have been? Was that like
23	2017 or something?
24	A. Probably. He was he was in the final four for
25	Iowa State when I was told by one of the trustees that
	$\overline{}$



1	they c	onducted a save-the-Dale campaign outside of the
2	Sunshi	ne, in the president's football box, the trustees
3	had	and they had a lot of high-level people make
4	commit	ments to him to get him to withdraw from Iowa
5	State.	
6		Two days later Dr. Hitt announced his retirement
7	and ou	r selection process began.
8	Q.	When did you file your complaints?
9	Α.	I filed it during the period that this was all
10	going	on.
11	Q.	After he withdrew from Iowa State or before?
12	Α.	I think it was right after he withdrew from Iowa
13	State,	when all of this was going on.
14	Q.	So at that point, he was in the process of
15	prepar	ing to apply for the UCF job?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Okay. That might have been kind of scary, wasn't
18	it?	
19	Α.	I don't know.
20		It's just I kind of feel like the university
21	and th	e students deserve somebody with ethics running
22	it.	
23	BY MS.	MITZ:
24	Q.	Yeah.
25		All right. Now, did you notice whether the

Orange Legal 800-275-7991 69

people that you spoke with, Mr. Taft, Ms. Sera, or 1 2 whoever from HR, were they taking notes? 3 Was there any documentation prepared at the time of this? 4 5 They were taking notes. Α. Okay. Do you have any idea how long they 6 0. maintain things like that? 7 8 Α. I don't. 9 Okay. All right. Back to your letter. Q. 10 You also discussed having filed a complaint or 11 having complained on multiple occasions about Chair 12 Marchena trying to get people from the Orlando airport 13 involved in overseeing projects at UCF. 14 I think you touched upon this in the beginning of 15 our deposition. I want to ask you about your 16 complaints. Were they verbal or written, and who you went to 17 about them? 18 19 They were verbal complaints. I discussed it a Α. 20 number of times with Bill Merck. I discussed it a number of times with Scott Cole. I also discussed it 21 22 with Chris Kinsley. 23 Okay. And did you pretty much provide the same 0. 24 information to --25 A. Yes.



1	Q. So could you tell me what each person's response
2	was?
3	A. I know Scott told me that it was not the chair's
4	business to be involved in our operations. And if he
5	contacted me again, I should just say that I passed it
6	to Scott, which I did, at least one time.
7	Bill Merck didn't believe that there was much
8	that could be done about it. Chris Kinsley told me that
9	I could backdoor information to him about the chair's
10	involvement, if I wanted to. I also reported that to
11	Bill Merck. And he said that he did not believe that we
12	should backdoor the information.
13	Q. Do you know whether anybody talked to Marchena
14	about this?
14 15	about this? A. I do not know.
15	A. I do not know.
15 16	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these
15 16 17	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist?
15 16 17 18	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist? A. He persisted over time. And as I go back through
15 16 17 18 19	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist? A. He persisted over time. And as I go back through notes, I can see several times where he, again, wanted
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist? A. He persisted over time. And as I go back through notes, I can see several times where he, again, wanted to bring in owner's authorized reps. He was determined
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist? A. He persisted over time. And as I go back through notes, I can see several times where he, again, wanted to bring in owner's authorized reps. He was determined to direct the delivery method of our projects so that it
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. After you had these discussions with these three individuals, did Chair Marchena persist? A. He persisted over time. And as I go back through notes, I can see several times where he, again, wanted to bring in owner's authorized reps. He was determined to direct the delivery method of our projects so that it would result in hard bid, where some of the folks

ORANGELEGAL

1	forward with us putting OARs in place on projects, even
2	though we showed it would cost us a lot more money to do
3	SO.
4	Q. Is sounds and like correct me if I'm wrong.
5	But it sounds like, then, these requests of Chair
6	Marchena happened after the Hill report was done?
7	A. They happened before and after.
8	Q. Before and after, okay.
9	Okay. Your letter also states that you and
10	others have documents and proof that demonstrate that
11	both President Hitt and Whittaker expressly approved the
12	use of E&G carry-forward funds to construct Trevor
13	Colbourn Hall.
14	We discussed a lot, I am familiar with the
15	building program, with the capital outlay budgets that
16	went before the board.
17	Is there any other documents that would
18	demonstrate that, their knowledge?
18 19	_
	demonstrate that, their knowledge?
19	demonstrate that, their knowledge? A. The university budget committee had those
19 20	<pre>demonstrate that, their knowledge? A. The university budget committee had those spreadsheets that showed funding sources.</pre>
19 20 21	<pre>demonstrate that, their knowledge? A. The university budget committee had those spreadsheets that showed funding sources. BY MR. RUBOTTOM:</pre>
19 20 21 22	<pre>demonstrate that, their knowledge? A. The university budget committee had those spreadsheets that showed funding sources. BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Would those be the spreadsheets that had, like,</pre>
19 20 21 22 23	<pre>demonstrate that, their knowledge? A. The university budget committee had those spreadsheets that showed funding sources. BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Would those be the spreadsheets that had, like, auxiliary, bond, E&G, et cetera? We've seen those from</pre>



1 ο. I'm trying to -- we're trying to nail down that Whittaker or Hitt or any trustee ever saw those 2 3 spreadsheets. I know that Whittaker would have seen those. 4 Α. He saw them regularly. And the university budget committee 5 6 saw those. 7 0. Would Tracy have given those to him? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. 10 BY MS. MITZ: 11 All right. I am assuming that you've read the 0. 12 Bryan Cave report? 13 Α. I have read all of the pertinent sections that I 14 thought were applicable to -- to facilities and to me, 15 yes. Okay. I want to kind of dive into any parts that 16 0. you disagree with, with the caveat that I understand 17 18 that you're going to disagree with the conclusions that 19 they have reached regarding you, your knowledge. Let's 20 put that aside for a moment and focus more on the knowledge of higher-ups, the knowledge of Provost and 21 22 then-President Whittaker and President Hitt. 23 Is there anything in the report that you disagree with in terms of their knowledge of the use of E&G? 24 25 I believe that the report seeks to absolve Dale Α.

ORANGELEGAL

1	Whittaker and his knowledge, but he was instrumental in
2	funding decisions on the budgets. Again, he created
3	those committees. He worked with Tracy side by side.
4	He, at one point, made a statement that he only
5	did provost things and he relied on Bill Merck to do
6	financing. And when asked about why his name appeared
7	on documents, he said, yes, his name appeared there as
8	if by magic and that Bill Merck had told him to sign.
9	I don't think a name appears on documents, and I
10	don't think somebody at that level just signs things
11	that somebody else tells them to sign. So I think that
12	the report does not point out the full knowledge that
13	Dale Whittaker had.
14	I think that one of the failures in the report is
15	that it was led by the UCF trustees and the general
16	counsel, who should have been included in the
17	investigation.
18	Q. What do you mean by "led by" the general counsel?
19	A. Dale Whittaker wrote to Scott Cole and told him
20	that he was to find the company that was going to do the
21	investigation and that he would be working with that
22	company to do the investigation. And Scott Cole was
23	receiving information from the investigation.
24	Q. Tell me about that.
25	How do you know that, and what did he receive and

ORANGELEGAL

1	when?
2	A. Recently, there was some documents surfaced by
3	local news that showed that he had copies of the draft
4	report before it became a report and had opportunities
5	to review those.
6	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
7	Q. Right. We understand that.
8	But was there anything before that, that you know
9	of?
10	A. We don't know.
11	BY MS. MITZ:
12	Q. Okay. All right. Do you have any information
13	that Chair Marchena was told that E&G carry-forward
14	funds could not be used for capital projects?
15	A. I don't have anything where he would be told that
16	it could not be used for capital projects. But the same
17	general counsel who was supposed to advise the staff was
18	also supposed to be advising the trustees.
19	Q. All right. Okay. Are you aware of anybody
20	making the audit comment or audit Hitt comment in Chair
21	Marchena's presence with regard to the Trevor Colbourn
22	Hall project?
23	A. I am not.
24	Q. Okay. And just briefly, when you talked to the
25	BOG and Chris Kinsley specifically we touched upon
1	

ORANGELEGAL

 replacement, renovations. You didn't ever ask if you could if it was okay to construct a brand-new building with E&G funds, correct? A. I didn't ask about a brand-new building. Q. Okay. Your letter indicates that you have spoken to representatives of other universities and that they, too, have identified E&G funds they have used for capital projects. Do you recall which universities those were? A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 	1	this last week you asked about permission for
 4 building with E&G funds, correct? 5 A. I didn't ask about a brand-new building. 6 Q. Okay. Your letter indicates that you have spoken 7 to representatives of other universities and that they, 8 too, have identified E&G funds they have used for 9 capital projects. 10 Do you recall which universities those were? 11 A. I don't, because there is an there's a group 12 of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president 13 vice president through administration and finance of the 14 universities. They regularly met, usually on conference 15 call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I 16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 	2	replacement, renovations. You didn't ever ask if you
 A. I didn't ask about a brand-new building. Q. Okay. Your letter indicates that you have spoken to representatives of other universities and that they, too, have identified E&G funds they have used for capital projects. Do you recall which universities those were? A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 	3	could if it was okay to construct a brand-new
 6 Q. Okay. Your letter indicates that you have spoken 7 to representatives of other universities and that they, 8 too, have identified E&G funds they have used for 9 capital projects. 10 Do you recall which universities those were? 11 A. I don't, because there is an there's a group 12 of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president 13 vice president through administration and finance of the 14 universities. They regularly met, usually on conference 15 call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I 16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation. 	4	building with E&G funds, correct?
 to representatives of other universities and that they, too, have identified E&G funds they have used for capital projects. Do you recall which universities those were? A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 	5	A. I didn't ask about a brand-new building.
 too, have identified E&G funds they have used for capital projects. Do you recall which universities those were? A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. It's just a one-page text conversation. 	6	Q. Okay. Your letter indicates that you have spoken
 9 capital projects. 10 Do you recall which universities those were? 11 A. I don't, because there is an there's a group 12 of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president 13 vice president through administration and finance of the 14 universities. They regularly met, usually on conference 15 call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I 16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation. 	7	to representatives of other universities and that they,
Do you recall which universities those were? A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13.	8	too, have identified E&G funds they have used for
A. I don't, because there is an there's a group of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 2 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 1 t 's just a one-page text conversation.	9	capital projects.
of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. It's just a one-page text conversation.	10	Do you recall which universities those were?
vice president through administration and finance of the universities. They regularly met, usually on conference call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all of the universities were being asked to talk about the other projects, that's where I think some of the assumptions came out and where, also, they said that they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. It's just a one-page text conversation.	11	A. I don't, because there is an there's a group
14 universities. They regularly met, usually on conference 15 call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I 16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	12	of people called CAFA, and it's the vice president
15 call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I 16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	13	vice president through administration and finance of the
<pre>16 sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all 17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.</pre>	14	universities. They regularly met, usually on conference
17 of the universities were being asked to talk about the 18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	15	call. And I think they also had meetings. And when I
18 other projects, that's where I think some of the 19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	16	sat in on a CAFA call during the investigation, when all
19 assumptions came out and where, also, they said that 20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	17	of the universities were being asked to talk about the
20 they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received 21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	18	other projects, that's where I think some of the
21 similar guidance, similar verbal guidance. 22 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to 23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	19	assumptions came out and where, also, they said that
 Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. It's just a one-page text conversation. 	20	they had talked to Chris Kinsley and they had received
23 the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13. 24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	21	similar guidance, similar verbal guidance.
24 It's just a one-page text conversation.	22	Q. All right. So I want to direct your attention to
	23	the third document in your packet, which is on Page 13.
25	24	It's just a one-page text conversation.
	25	



1	(Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked for
2	identification.)
3	Let me know when you're there.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Do you recognize that?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. Is that a text message or a text conversation
8	from your phone?
9	A. It is.
10	Q. Okay. Who was Elliot?
11	A. Elliot Potter was working for me at the time. I
12	had hired him on an emergency hire to do contracts and
13	real estate.
14	Q. Did you say his last name was P-O-T-T-E-R?
15	A. It is.
16	Q. Okay. So I am interested in the bottom portion.
17	The last text says: "Honestly, I do now" maybe
18	that's
19	A. Not. Should be not.
20	Q "know how you maintain any motivation when it
21	is obvious no one cares about standards and possible
22	illegality and corruption."
23	Who wrote that text?
24	A. Elliot wrote that. And
25	Q. Okay. Yeah, can you tell me, do you remember why

1	or what this was about?
2	A. I do. This was about a building. It's actually
3	the South Orlando campus.
4	And what had Elliot had surfaced we were
5	trying to give that property back to the state. And so
6	Elliot was looking at the real estate portion of that
7	and found that UCF had two private leases on that
8	property, one to a Christian academy and the other one
9	to Acorn Stairlifts.
10	And Elliot went back through the documents and
11	found that that property, when given to us from the
12	state and when donated to the state, had language in
13	there that said that the property was to be used only
14	for public higher education and research purposes.
15	And so when Elliot brought it to my attention, we
16	did report this, first of all, to Bill Merck, who told
17	us that we needed to report it to general counsel, and
18	we did.
19	General counsel was the one that had formed the
20	lease to the private Christian academy. And we showed
21	them language in there that would allow them to get rid
22	of that lease. And to my knowledge, they never did get
23	rid of the lease.
24	Q. Okay.
25	

25



1 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:

Q. What was the end result of that property? Did it
ever get reverted back?
A. It has not yet been reverted back. And you'll
see this was 2013, I think. It has not yet been
reverted back.
But the county has some interest in the property.
And so we have been working our government affairs
office has been working with the county on their
possible interest.
So when we did not get where we were trying to go
with general counsel on this, we did report this to the
division of state lands.
BY MS. MITZ:
Q. Division of state lands?
A. Yes.
BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
Q. Would that
A. This appears in here
Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Did UCF take in a lot of property they didn't
really have any need for and would just lease out or
later or
A. No. This this South Orlando campus had been

ORANGE LEGAL

1	used in prior years, my understanding is. And they no
2	longer had a use for it. They didn't have the
3	population there to use it. And so they wanted to to
4	give it back to the state.
5	Q. And who was who wanted to give it back to the
6	state, and why didn't they accomplish that?
7	A. I wanted to give it back to the state because it
8	was a money drain. This was one of the properties that
9	was old, and so fit into that base budget PO&M. So I
10	wasn't even getting enough money to maintain the
11	property. We wanted to turn it over. It was not being
12	used. We wanted to get it off our books. We didn't
13	want a failing property and homeless people in the
14	buildings and, you know, issues about the liability of
15	having the property. And in
16	Q. Did Mr. Merck agree with you on that?
17	A. He agreed with us, yes.
18	Q. Was the president told?
19	A. I don't know. Bill Merck would have had to tell
20	the president. But Mr. Merck agreed with us that we
21	needed to give this back, and we undertook actions to do
22	that.
23	Q. Would there be documents of those actions?
24	A. There should be documents within the general
25	counsel and with the contracts and real estate office



1	and with the division of lands. There were a lot of
2	things we had to do surveys. We also did an
3	environmental because we found that the creek running
4	behind it had environmental issues. So there were
5	surveys done. As part of trying to give this back, we
6	had certain documents that we had to do.
7	And so there should be records of those, yes.
8	Q. And do you know what authority blocked that from
9	happening? Was that somebody at the state didn't want
10	it or
11	A. No. It became that, again, the county has an
12	interest in the property. And so UCF, as part of its
13	relationship with the county, is working to turn it over
14	to the county.
15	Q. When was that started?
16	A. Probably during the time that they were working
17	on the downtown.
18	So it was part of partnership with the county on
19	the downtown and the county wanting this property. So
20	government
21	Q. How long how long between the time that you
22	started to try to give it back to the state and that
23	interest, how long a time period was that?
24	A. It was a matter of years.
25	Q. And in those years, what kept y'all from giving

it back to the state? 1 2 Those leases that were still on the property were Α. 3 one of the reasons. 4 0. Okay. That -- that makes sense. I -- that's just the piece that was missing. Thank you. 5 6 Α. Uh-huh. Okay. I'm going to go through, now, a little bit 7 0. about capital outlay budgets and the capital improvement 8 plans. And I will be coming back to those later. I 9 10 appreciate your patience with us. 11 Mr. Merck called us a few weeks ago. And we just 12 kind of had a general ranging conversation. I asked him 13 about capital outlay budget and -- and he acted like he really didn't know anything about that and others did 14 it. 15 16 So who was responsible for preparing the annual capital outlay budget? 17 The capital outlay budget would have been 18 Α. 19 prepared within facilities and safety. I would have 20 reviewed it. It was then also reviewed by finance and accounting. It was also then sent forward in packets. 21 22 So the vice president for government affairs, the CFO, 23 our chief audit officer, general counsel, chief of 24 staff, a number of people would see that as it was going 25 into packets for the trustees. And then it would go

ORANGELEGAL

into the finance and facilities committee packets, and 1 2 UCF tried to have those packets out 30 days prior to the 3 board meetings. You reviewed them, but who put them together? 4 0. 5 They would be put together by Gina Seabrook. Α. Were there any instructions on doing that for 6 Q. Was there any -- any standard methodology that 7 them? 8 went into completing that form? There was nothing that told us how to do it. 9 Α. No. 10 We tried to follow the board of governors regulation, which is what we were told to do. The board of 11 12 governors regulation doesn't even tell you what to put 13 in it. 14 0. And if it tells you more than you were doing, who would have been responsible to figure that out? 15 If the regulation told us more than we were 16 Α. 17 doing? 18 0. Yes, ma'am. 19 Then we would be advised by Scott Cole or it Α. 20 would have come to us from an audit or from any of those 21 people who looked at it. 22 Again, we passed this through a number of hands 23 every year. 24 0. Right. And it looks kind of the same every year. And so that's --25



It does. 1 Α. 2 0. I understand how -- how it happened -- in a 3 bureaucracy. 4 Α. It was used the same way --Was this circulated -- was this circulated with 5 Ο. the capital improvement plan --6 7 Α. No. -- and the university -- with the operating 8 0. 9 budget? Would they circulate all together or separately 10 or what? 11 It did not go to the capital improvement plan. Α. 12 They were submitted at two different times. 13 Q. Okay. 14 Α. I do believe it was on the same agenda as the 15 operating budget. 16 Q. Typically, yes. Uh-huh. 17 Α. 18 Okay. So did you instruct Gina how to fill them 0. 19 out? 20 Α. Actually, the knowledge of how to fill them out came when I got there to say this is how we have always 21 22 filled this out. So historical knowledge of this is how 23 we've always filled this out. So and I didn't instruct her how --24 Q. How did you educate yourself to improve that? 25



1	A. By going through now, I read the board of
2	governors regulation on it, which didn't really tell us
3	anything. And the the changes we made were to try to
4	improve it. So you'll notice that at one point we
5	put put some of the PO&M on there, even though it's
6	not capital, and I explained that to the trustees, that
7	I was putting that on there so that they could see that
8	they weren't getting that money. Because lack of PO&M $$
9	and deferred maintenance was becoming a hot topic, so we
10	added that.
11	We added the separate columns so that they could
12	say so that they could see a column that says here's
13	what we asked for in one column and then here's what we
14	got in the second in the it's actually the third
15	column because the title is in the first column.
16	So we say, okay for example, we asked for
17	\$38 million for Trevor Colbourn Hall. And then at the
18	second column, you'll see a dash that's a zero. So
19	here's what we asked for. Here's what we got.
20	Q. So when you were making those changes, did you
21	ask for help from the general counsel's office?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Were you aware that there was a statute that also
24	regulates capital outlay budgets?
25	A. We were not aware of that until this year.

1	Q. Okay. Did the auditor's findings on that
2	surprise you? Did you read those findings?
3	A. I did. Now and it surprised me a lot.
4	Q. Did that
5	A. I was curious, first of all, why I had not been
6	invited to the the exit interview. Normally, when
7	there's an item that's your item, you're invited to that
8	exit interview so that you can have discussion. I
9	believe if I had been invited to that interview, we
10	could have had that discussion to say, wait a minute,
11	this is not how we use this form. Certainly, we're open
12	to learning better on how we should use this form.
13	Q. Is it possible that the legislature, the BOG, and
14	everybody above the university level has been lax in
15	in directing and instructing and holding accountable on
16	those regulations and laws?
17	A. Absolutely. Absolutely. I can't tell you how
18	many times I asked for guidance and did not get it.
19	Q. Did you ever ask for guidance on capital outlay
20	budgets?
21	A. We asked for guidance on capital improvement
22	plans, capital outlay budgets, any of the other forms we
23	were required to fill out. We asked for guidance almost
24	every time.
25	Q. Well, one of our hopes is that they will be

ORANGELEGAL

getting that after we're through with this project. 1 2 Α. I do too. 3 0. What is your understanding of the purpose of the capital outlay budget? 4 5 Well, again, my knowledge now and my knowledge Α. then are two different things. My knowledge now, after 6 finding the statute and reading the statute, is that it 7 8 is to show all fund sources for your capital outlay. Right. But before this --9 Q. 10 Α. Until that, we understood it that the state told 11 us what -- what funds were coming in. We presented it 12 to our trustees and they approved that. You would 13 hear -- if you listen to any of the transcripts, you 14 would hear me saying to the trustees every year, well, here you are again approving the budget that the state 15 16 just gave you. Did it ever strike you as odd that you would --17 Ο. let's think about 20 -- think about the '16-'17 fiscal 18 I quess that would have been adopted in May of --19 year. 20 of -- of '16, or sometime in the spring. Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 21 Α. 22 Did you know that you would be starting Trevor 0. 23 Colbourn Hall before the end of the next fiscal year? We knew that we were moving forward with Trevor 24 Α. Colbourn Hall. And we had a project approval by the 25



1 trustees. And we thought that that --2 Did it strike you as odd --0. 3 Α. We thought that that was what we needed, was that 4 project approval. Right. I understand that. 5 ο. But did it strike you as odd that you put a 6 budget in front of them for that building and it said 7 8 zero? 9 No, it said zero next to PECO. So it shows --Α. 10 0. It meant zero PECO? 11 Yes, it shows that for PECO, I asked for 38 and I Α. got zero. That's what those columns showed. 12 Right. So you just -- I don't want to go further 13 Q. 14 on that because we really have more important things to talk about. 15 16 So you talked about the board authorization, I guess the 2014 authorization, the 2016 authorization. 17 18 Those -- those board approvals, that was the only 19 board approval of Trevor Colbourn Hall construction; is 20 that right? 21 As far as I know, yes. Α. 22 And you -- and that was board approval of funding 0. 23 as they were discussed in those meetings, right? 24 Α. Yes. Do you remember anything -- well, I'm going to 25 Q.

Orange Legal

800-275-7991

ORANGELEGAL

1 get to that later. 2 What -- what documents would the president have 3 approved to authorize the building? Was it programs -the TCH program documents published in February or March 4 of '17? Would that have been the only document that the 5 president would have signed? 6 He signed that document. Can't think of others 7 Α. that he would sign. 8 9 Do you know if Mr. Merck had any delegation of Q. 10 authority from the president to approve projects or transfer funds for capital projects? 11 12 I believe he had up to \$500,000. Α. 13 Q. Okay. So on his authority would have transferred -- I mean for Trevor Colbourn, there was 14 10 million the first year, 18 million the second year, 15 and 2 million the third, whose authority would those 16 17 transfers -- would that not have been in your area of 18 responsibility? 19 It would not. So we would be on the receiving Α. 20 end of transfers. And then normally, I wouldn't even see which funds were being transferred. 21 22 But that generally -- back to the annual capital 0. 23 outlay budget, do you recall any annual capital outlay 24 budget that showed any source of funds that was university funds or internal funds? 25



1	A. No. Again, we did not even it had never been
2	used that way before I got there or after to show
3	internal funds. It was always used to show here's what
4	the state has allocated this year. And then the
5	trustees approved it.
6	Q. So let's go to the capital improvement plan. Who
7	was responsible for preparing those?
8	A. Those also were prepared by Gina Seabrook and
9	then came to me. I would take them to Bill Merck first,
10	to the provost second, and then to the president third
11	for their input and changes. And then we would mark
12	those changes. At some point we started marking them in
13	red because the trustees had asked that they wanted to
14	see the line-throughs and see the changes. So at some
15	point we started marking them. And then they would go
16	to the trustees.
17	Then after the trustees had their say on any
18	changes they wanted made, they would clean them up and
19	then send them to the board of governors.
20	Q. How would the trustees direct changes? Because
21	my the meetings I have looked at, they voted for the
22	plan. I don't remember any amendments being voted. How
23	would the trustees direct changes?
24	A. I recall only I only recall one year when they
25	wanted to make a change. It was in a it was in one



of their board meetings. And they -- we made the 1 2 I don't recall what project it was. But we change. 3 made the change. Okay. Would that have been a priority change --4 0. I think it was a priority change. 5 Α. -- or adding a project? 6 0. I think it was a priority change. 7 Α. Thank you. I just missed that one. 8 0. Okay. 9 Do you get a form for that from the BOG, or do 10 you guys develop your own form? 11 This came to us from the board of governors. Α. And 12 we're filling it out as we've been instructed by them. If a project was on there, like Trevor Colbourn, 13 Q. that the administration had already identified and 14 secured university funding for, would either provost, 15 would Waldrop or Whittaker, or President Hitt have ever 16 17 asked, Why is this on PECO when we're paying for it ourselves? 18 19 No, we actually had those discussions. Chris had Α. 20 advised us to put a project anywhere it might be funded. 21 And if we --22 0. I understand. 23 If we were still requesting PECO, perhaps as a Α. 24 payback for what the university was funding, we would still put it under PECO. And Chris was aware that Bill 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	was asking for payback. And that happened on
2	Q. Were there other projects that Bill asked for
3	payback? I think I saw a facilities workshop in 2017,
4	where Merck and Whittaker were asking the BOG for
5	payback on the research, for research 1.
6	A. Yes. Yes, on research 1. There were
7	conversations with Chris on research 1 about
8	self-funding first and then using PECO as a payback.
9	There was also a you can't call it a joint use
10	facility because it gets into other issues.
11	So Chris had advised us on a building in Osceola,
12	where one of the state colleges needed 7 1/2 million
13	dollars and had come to us for that, that we could put
14	it on the PECO list, understanding that and fund it
15	ourselves with the understanding that PECO may not come.
16	So that was a risk. But yes, you could put it on there
17	and ask for the payback.
18	Q. Do you know of any building that you did get PECO
19	for after you had spent university funds to do the
20	project?
21	A. I don't know of any.
22	Q. When when you say Chris said it, was that
23	something he would volunteer or would that be something
24	that he would say, yeah, that makes sense to try?
25	A. On that Valencia Valencia or Seminole State



1	I can't remember which on that building, there was a
2	meeting and there were several people in the room
3	having asking the questions. On the board of
4	governors' side, Chris and Mickey, I believe, were both
5	in the room. Scott and Bill and I were in the room on
6	our side. I think Vanessa Fortier was also in the room,
7	maybe some others, where they were talking about how to
8	go about doing this putting the money onto that
9	building because they didn't have enough money to
10	complete the building. And so it was a it was a
11	large group talking about how to fund that.

And that's how the discussion came out that we 12 13 could put it on there. And they gave us several things to do, like move it up on the priority list, make sure 14 that we asked for a spot survey. And he gave us time 15 frames. And the reason I am remembering this clearly is 16 17 because I saw it last night. Make -- making sure that we have the survey on time, sending them a memo on what 18 19 they were going to do with it, and that they would try 20 to help get the funding, but with the understanding that we may not get the payback. 21

Q. Okay. Let me ask you to look at the document that starts on Page 17. I think that's the capital improvement plan that was adopted in 2014 --

25 A. Uh-huh.



1	Q which would have been right after the board
2	approved the new building. And I guess this is the
3	first time Trevor Colbourn Hall, then, would have
4	appeared on the capital improvement plan.
5	A. I think that's correct.
6	(Whereupon, Exhibit 4 was marked for
7	identification.)
8	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
9	Q. Okay. And I'm sorry I didn't I don't know
10	which year to look at. But let's just look at that
11	form.
12	When there's once or twice where I think
13	Trevor Colbourn and/or the renovation were included in
14	PECO and then also included in the other state sources
15	category.
16	Do you recall putting them in both categories?
17	A. That's correct. And that was based on guidance
18	from Chris Kinsley to say, put projects in any place
19	where you might get funding or spend spend money.
20	And so oftentimes we would have projects in more than
21	one category.
22	Q. Okay. Do you ever recall putting one on one of
23	those state categories, but also on the request from
24	nonstate sources?
25	A. That could also possibly happen. So it might be
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

800-275-7991

1	like a building that we might have donor funds for some
2	of it. And so we might have put it under possible state
3	funding as well as nonstate, which would be the donor
4	funds portion.
5	Q. Okay. Would did you ever consider putting
6	Trevor Colbourn Hall in that category, given the fact
7	that you were funding it internally?
8	A. No, because my understanding was that they were
9	spending internal funds. And to me, internal funds
10	could mean a number of things. Primarily, their
11	internal funds would be E&G funds, but it could also be
12	auxiliary sources or other sources.
13	Q. So when you look at nonstate funds, that would
14	haven't included internal. That would have been
14 15	haven't included internal. That would have been donations and debt?
15	donations and debt?
15 16	donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for
15 16 17	donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example.
15 16 17 18	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that helps me.</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that helps me. MR. RUBOTTOM: I'm going good. But does</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that helps me. MR. RUBOTTOM: I'm going good. But does anybody need a break?</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that helps me. MR. RUBOTTOM: I'm going good. But does anybody need a break? MR. GREENE: We're fine.</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	<pre>donations and debt? A. It would have been donations and debt, for example. Q. Okay. Well, that makes sense. I think that helps me. MR. RUBOTTOM: I'm going good. But does anybody need a break? MR. GREENE: We're fine. MR. RUBOTTOM: Okay.</pre>

1 14. 2 I think you have --Α. 3 0. Do you recognize those? 4 Α. I think you have them maybe out of order. 5 So you have the one upfront on Page 18 that's '15 6 through '20. Uh-huh. 7 0. 8 And then you have the one on Page 25 that's '17 Α. 9 through '22. And then you have the one on Page 31 10 that's '18 through '23. 11 Right. I intentionally left the -- the third one 0. 12 out. 13 Α. Okay. 14 0. Those were the three years that you included the building on the BOB-2 form, I believe. 15 Were these CIPs ever discussed with the board 16 chair -- with the financing facilities or the board of 17 trustees' chair prior to the meeting? 18 19 Not by me. Α. 20 Q. Okay. The BOB-2 in 14 and 16 both showed Trevor Colbourn at \$20 million. On Page 27 is the BOB-2 forms. 21 22 They don't have the year, but that would be the -- the 23 2016 BOB-2. 24 And the first one also shows -- the 2014 also shows 20 million. 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	A. I think the 2014 at 20 million was correct. It
2	was 80,000 gross square feet. And I think when it went
3	to 135-6, the project amount didn't get changed there.
4	Q. Think that's just a mistake?
5	A. I think it's a mistake because that's when the
6	building went up.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. So if you look at the CIPs and the story they're
9	telling, if you go to the first one sorry. In the
10	first one what you see is Trevor you have Colbourn
11	Hall renovation. And that's when we were still thinking
12	we were going to renovate the building and then build
13	the smaller new building, Trevor Colbourn Hall.
13	the smaller new bullding, nevor corbourn natr.
14	Q. Uh-huh.
14	Q. Uh-huh.
14 15	Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22,
14 15 16	Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building.
14 15 16 17	<pre>Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one building and a demolition.
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one building and a demolition. Q. Right.</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one building and a demolition. Q. Right. A. And what you see in the other state sources is
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one building and a demolition. Q. Right. A. And what you see in the other state sources is they come off of there because Chris said all we had to</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. Uh-huh. A. When you get to the next one, the 17 through 22, you see that it now becomes one building. Q. Right. A. So the renovation comes off and you have one building and a demolition. Q. Right. A. And what you see in the other state sources is they come off of there because Chris said all we had to do was notice, notice the the board of governors that

ORANGELEGAL

they could come off at that point. 1 2 And so the story, then, goes is that you're 3 taking the renovation off and you're putting the -- now it's one building and the demolition, that happens 4 5 there. 6 And then --7 0. Right. -- in '18 through '23, you see the full, again, 8 Α. 9 at the top, Trevor Colbourn, and the -- and they 10 disappear from the state sources because they've already 11 been noticed. Why do -- why -- why was the building added again 12 0. 13 to the BOB-2 for the '18 -- 2018 through '23, or 14 whatever. That -- the one adopted in July of 2017? Why 15 is --16 So you see that in red? Α. 17 ο. Right. Red is what -- how Gina was showing that we had 18 Α. 19 already requested it. And no money had come. This 20 was -- this got caught up in the part where PO&M wasn't 21 coming to us anymore. 22 0. Right. 23 And so she was putting the things on there that Α. 24 said, hey, these are all the ones we've asked for 25 before, but no money came. So they're in red. And the



ones in black are the new adds. 1 2 Did you-all understand what the legislature did 0. 3 with the BOB-2 list? Well, Chris just told us to put it on here this 4 Α. way and that they -- that he, then, would take the --5 the -- the board of governors staff would, then, take 6 the BOB-2 lists and they make up their own BOB-2 that 7 8 would be sent forward to the legislature for their 9 approval. 10 0. Right. But what I'm asking is, did you know the 11 legislature approved the building in the 2015 general 12 appropriation act and the 2017 general appropriation 13 act? So those first two requests, the second one was 14 the larger -- the larger. So it made sense to request approval for the larger building? 15 16 Α. Uh-huh. 17 ο. Were you aware that the legislature had approved the building both of those years? 18 19 Not that I recall. Α. 20 Well, that helps me understand a lot. And there Q. it goes back to the -- to the guidance. Okay? 21 22 Because the legislature did approve it, and then 23 they reapproved it in last year's general appropriation 24 act. Okay? So the legislature has repeated itself on that building. And we didn't -- we didn't understand 25

ORANGELEGAL

why it was on the list. And that's why you kept putting 1 2 it back on the list. So --3 Α. Correct. 4 0. Am I -- am I summarizing your understanding accurately? 5 6 Α. That's correct. Okay. I start talking about what I know 7 0. 8 sometimes, and I know it messes up the deposition. 9 So -- okay. Thank you for that. 10 And just -- just to kind of shorten the time, I 11 believe that we have heard you say, or others say, 12 you -- I just want to see if this is accurate to your 13 understanding. 14 You would put E&G as the funding source on a 15 BOB-2 if you weren't certain whether or not you would 16 get any other money for it and -- but you didn't have 17 donations or bonds or something; is that accurate? 18 On the BOB-2, Chris had instructed us that if we Α. 19 didn't know the source of the building -- source of 20 funding, but we knew that it was an E&G building, we 21 should put E&G as the source. Or if it was E&G, E&G 22 went there. But he said on the buildings we didn't know 23 what the source was, we should put E&G there, if it was 24 meant to be an E&G building. Q. Okay. Okay. Has -- has anybody ever asked you, 25



1 any trustee, any president, any provost, anybody at the 2 BOG, ever asked you about an E&G notation on a BOB-2 for 3 any building? Not until all of this started, no. 4 Α. Okay. Did you think that the BOG was approving 5 ο. E&G as a funding source when -- when the process -- was 6 ongoing? 7 Based on guidance from Chris, I believed that --8 Α. 9 that by us noticing it under the other state sources, we 10 had told them that we were doing it. And -- and no one 11 told us that we couldn't do it. 12 Right. I understand. But I'm talking about the 0. 13 BOB-2, particularly. Did you consider that an additional notice? 14 15 Α. I do consider that a notice. But, again, it 16 could go two ways, based on the guidance we had from 17 Chris that it could be there just because it's an E&G building or it could be there because it's E&G funding. 18 19 And we got that guidance both ways from Chris. 20 Q. Okay. Well, that's helped me a lot. But you understand -- did you understand that the 21 22 main purpose of the BOB-2 was to authorize future plan 23 operation and maintenance --24 Α. Yes. -- funding for those buildings? 25 Q.

Orange Legal 800-275-7991

ORANGELEGAL

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. In 2015, I think we see on a calendar for
3	a meeting. It's Document 5, Page 37. Show you on a
4	calendar for a meeting with Dr. Whittaker to talk about
5	the capital improvement plan for that year.
6	A. Yes.
7	(Whereupon, Exhibit 5 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
10	Q. Was that would that kind of meeting occur
11	every year?
12	A. It would.
13	Q. Okay. What what was the purpose of that
14	meeting?
15	A. That would be as I was talking about earlier,
16	the way capital improvement plans would flow, Gina would
17	put anything that she knew on there. She would reach
18	out to sources to find out what was you know, what's
19	happening with the with the projects. She would
20	bring it through me. I would take it through Bill
21	Merck, would be the next stop. And then I would go to
22	the provost, that's the next stop. Then I would go to
23	the president. And he would make
24	So they all had their opportunities to say what
25	they thought should be changed or added or deleted. And

ORANGELEGAL

1	after the president had his stop, then that's how we put
2	together what was going to the the trustees.
3	Q. Okay. Other than the current draft of the CIP
4	let's say that was the May 20th meeting. Other than the
5	current draft of that CIP, what other information would
6	you provide to Dr. Whittaker in that meeting?
7	A. It would have the other forms with it as well.
8	And we would talk about what those other forms were, you
9	know, the the authority to to take on debt, which
10	I think is the BOB-1, and the PO&M, BOB-2. So we would
11	go over all of the forms, not just the first one.
12	And we would talk about anything that had come to
13	us. So perhaps athletics was coming to us for projects
14	or housing or, you know, something that he might not
15	have from his own deans. We would tell him what we were
16	getting from the general population on the needs. And
17	we might depending on questions that were asked, we
18	might talk about the educational plant survey at the
19	same time.
20	Q. Would you talk about funding sources?
21	A. Not really, no.
22	Q. Did they ever ask questions about the BOB-2,
23	other than what is what buildings are on this list?
24	A. No. They never asked a question about it.
25	Q. Okay. Last July, the board of trustees

ORANGELEGAL

1	considered the current capital improvement plan. And
2	last June, the finance and facilities committee
3	considered that plan. And for the 2018 capital
4	improvement plan, BOB-2, Trevor Colbourn Hall is there
5	again. And it shows CF auxiliary as the funding source.
6	And that's document I think that's on Page 48.
7	No, I'm sorry. Let me figure out what document I
8	am on.
9	I'm still looking at the previous year. No, I
10	don't I don't think I have it in the list.
11	Do you recall that funding source being on the
12	BOB-2 for the current CIP?
13	A. No. And the only and I would not have made
14	that change. The only way it would have changed is when
15	it went forward to finance and accounting. Because they
16	reviewed these each year. Finance and accounting could
17	have made that change.
18	Q. So Mr. Merck or Tracy could have made that
19	change?
20	A. They could have. Or the chief of staff could
21	have. I'm not sure that he would know a funding source.
22	Again, the document went through a number of people
23	before it ever was put in their packets. And it was
24	reviewed by finance and accounting every year. It was
25	reviewed by the CFO. It was reviewed by I believe

ORANGELEGAL

1	I believe all of those kinds of document were reviewed
2	by the vice president for government affairs, the chief
3	audit officer, general counsel.
4	Q. But in the months of June and July, you didn't
5	hear Mr. Merck or Tracy talk about trying to refund the
6	E&G that the auditor was asking about?
7	A. No. And I don't even know exactly when that exit
8	interview was. If the exit interview
9	Q. The exit interview was August. This is what I'm
10	trying to get to and I'm going to ask Tracy and
11	Mr. Merck it looks like there was a they were
12	beginning to plan the refunding, which makes perfect
13	sense.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. I'm just trying to figure out who who who
16	knew about those decisions.
17	A. I did not know
18	Q. If you saw CFAUX, would that mean carry-forward
19	auxiliary to you?
20	A. Yes, it would.
21	Q. Okay. If you didn't know anything about that,
22	that saves me a lot of time.
23	Let's talk about master plans for a minute. I
24	know we had a conversation last week about that. But
25	for the record: Who was responsible for developing the

ORANGELEGAL

1	2015, 25 master plan?
2	A. That was also within facilities and safety. We
3	had brought back the former director, Pete Newman, to
4	assist with that because we had a brand new planner,
5	Maria Timouri. And Maria and Pete led that effort. The
6	master plan is not written by an individual. We have
7	the element group leaders for each of the element
8	groups. And the facilities and safety team works with
9	those element group leaders to get their changes made
10	and to incorporate them into the master plan.
11	The only element that we outsource is the
12	transportation element because that's usually the most
13	critical element, and it's usually also the one that our
14	host local government concentrates on as far as
15	concurrency. We
16	Q. There's a funding element, isn't there?
17	A. And that would have gone to the element group
18	leader responsible for funding. There are seven.
19	Q. Who would that have been?
20	A. I would have to look. We actually keep record of
21	who the element group leaders are for each element.
22	Q. If I ask the university, they could provide me
23	that list?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay.



1	A. And the person that you would ask is probably
2	Maria Timouri is still there. She's no longer in that
3	role. She's now doing more project management-type
4	things. And I hired someone as I mentioned last
5	week, I hired Suzy Hutson from Purdue to come in and
6	look at to do our planning, master planning, space
7	planning, working with us on space planning because she
8	did that job specifically at Purdue.
9	Q. Okay. Who developed the master plan amendments
10	that were submitted to the board in December, this last
11	December?
12	A. This last December would have been Suzy Hutson,
13	Susan Hutson, H-U-T-S-O-N.
14	Q. Do you know who would have worked with her on
14 15	Q. Do you know who would have worked with her on that?
15	that?
15 16	that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our
15 16 17	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to Scott Cole. And instead of one of his junior attorneys,</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to Scott Cole. And instead of one of his junior attorneys, Scott would review all of the master plan amendments and</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to Scott Cole. And instead of one of his junior attorneys, Scott would review all of the master plan amendments and master plan himself.</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to Scott Cole. And instead of one of his junior attorneys, Scott would review all of the master plan amendments and master plan himself. Q. Did you see the changes next to the building</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	<pre>that? A. Yes, she was working and always all of our amendments would be put together in facilities and safety. I would review them. They would go forward to Scott Cole. And instead of one of his junior attorneys, Scott would review all of the master plan amendments and master plan himself. Q. Did you see the changes next to the building name, there were changes in those amendments that</pre>

1	A. No, I'm not even sure which amendment we're
2	talking about.
3	Q. I'm sorry I didn't I'm sorry I didn't did
4	you review the amendments that were put in front of the
5	board in December?
6	A. If I was there, I reviewed them. Remember I
7	Q. I didn't send you the document, but
8	A. I got very ill after.
9	Q. I understand.
10	A. Yeah, and so I was I was out for some time.
11	Q. I understand.
12	Do you ever recall putting funding sources on the
13	building line in the master plan?
14	A. Oh, I know what you're talking about because Gina
15	Seabrook showed them to me. You're talking about the
16	capital improvements list that goes in the master plan.
17	Q. Exactly, yeah. I'm sorry.
18	A. And Gina added that column because I asked about
19	it. I said, "Do we have this column on all of the
20	things, Gina? Because this would clearly show that they
21	had an opportunity to see this." And she said, no, they
22	had not been on there. She, at some point, decided that
23	it would be helpful to have them on there. And so she
24	started putting them on there.
25	Q. You don't know if anybody directed her to do that

ORANGELEGAL

or if there was any discussion that that might be a good 1 2 idea or not? 3 Α. She normally would ask me. She didn't. And I didn't direct her to do it. 4 Is it possible that Scott Cole or Kathy Mitchell 5 ο. or President Whittaker or President Whittaker's chief of 6 staff might have directed that? 7 It's possible. Gina also had a lot of 8 Α. conversations with Chris Kinsley. So Chris could have 9 10 suggested it. Scott could have suggested it --11 Well, what -- what bothered me is -- is when you 0. 12 amend something, you're changing what it was to what it 13 is. And that thing shows E&G crossed out and CF 14 auxiliary added. And I have never seen a master plan --I have never seen a capital improvement list component 15 of a master plan that included the E&G, so I didn't 16 17 understand. We'll talk to Gina about that. 18 And I had not seen it either. Α. 19 0. Okay. 20 Α. As I was digging through documents to find out who knew what, I saw that and said, "Hey, Gina, was this 21 22 on all of the years?" And she said, no, she had added 23 it. So I'm not so sure if anyone else suggested that it 24 be added. I know I did not. I found it as I was going 25 though documents.

ORANGELEGAL

1	Q. Do you think the trustees would have understood
2	that change when it was presented to them in December?
3	A. I think that because we made our changes in red
4	so that they could see what we were doing, many of the
5	trustees who had asked us to do that said that they
6	were they would specifically look at those changes.
7	Q. Thank you very much.
8	Let's talk briefly about the plant survey. There
9	was a full plant survey completed in late 2015 that
10	found Colbourn Hall satisfactory.
11	Do you recall that plant survey?
12	A. I do recall the 2015 survey.
13	Q. I think Mr. Kinsley was on the survey group.
14	Do you recall that?
15	A. I don't know if he was on the survey group or
16	not. Those surveys are
17	Q. Those are peer groups
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q of the university to the BOG people?
20	A. That's correct. And it's usually Ken Ogletree
21	from the BOG. It's usually not Chris. It's usually Ken
22	and then Peter.
23	Q. Do you consider the plant surveys to be serious
24	planning activities or do you or do you consider them
25	just another hoop to jump through in the process?



1	A. I believe that they can be extremely helpful in
2	how you're planning. I don't know if I don't know if
3	they are taken seriously by all parties. I think we try
4	to we try to take them seriously.
5	Q. Right. But there's some discussion about
6	Colbourn Hall and they look at it and they find it
7	satisfactory, and yet very shortly thereafter you-all
8	decided to demolish it.
9	Did anybody ask about the satisfactory rating on
10	that building?
11	A. No, but I did have conversations with Chris
12	Kinsley later, when we were looking at demolition. And
13	Chris said he felt all along it should have been
14	demolitioned and that he believed that the building was
15	in demolishable state. That's when I was asking them to
16	come back out and do a spot survey. And Chris and Kim
17	decided that no one needed to come back out, that they
18	were fully aware of the conditions of of of
19	Colbourn Hall. And Chris said that he believed that it
20	was a smart move on our part to demolish that building
21	and that it was definitely in demolishable state.
22	Q. I understand that.
23	But if he was on the plant survey team, would it
24	make any sense for him in the in in the fourth
25	quarter of 2015 to say satisfactory on that building?

ORANGELEGAL

1	A. It was definitely not satisfactory at the time.
2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3	I have a bunch of questions about carry-forward
4	commitment lists.
5	Have you ever worked on those carry-forward
6	commitment lists or allocation lists?
7	A. That's the carry-forward I think there's a
8	report that's still not you're talking about the
9	report it goes forward
10	Q. The final one with the BOG. In August, and then
11	sometime asked for supplement.
12	A. No. Those are done in finance and accounting.
13	Christy Tant had, I know at least one occasion, maybe
14	more, asked about buildings that we were working for
15	deferred maintenance. And we provided lists to her of
16	the buildings and what we were doing with deferred
17	maintenance at the time.
18	And that's we didn't really fill out the list.
19	I understand it was a form that had certain categories
20	she had to fill out. And she filled those out with the
21	BOG categories. We provided those.
22	Q. Do you have copies of those lists that you
23	provided her each year?
24	A. I don't know. I'm trying to think who might. I
25	have very little access at this point.



1	Q. I understand.
2	A. But it's possible that LaShanda Brown-Neal might
3	have copies of the list that were provided.
4	Q. Are you aware of the of the of the amounts
5	of deferred maintenance dollars that were on those
6	documents in 2013, '14, '15, '16, and '17?
7	A. No. I think that there was an email at one
8	point I saw one email in one year saying something
9	about whether they had a certain amount of deferred
10	maintenance.
11	We always could have had enough deferred
12	maintenance. You can't you can't spend the money
13	fast enough to do everything you have to do at one time.
14	But we have enough deferred maintenance that could fill
15	up a list.
16	Q. Understand.
17	Did do you know who made the decision of how
18	much of your deferred maintenance would go on that list?
19	A. No, I don't know.
20	Q. Did you ever hear anybody discussing putting
21	money for Trevor Colbourn Hall or any other new projects
22	into the deferred maintenance number on that list?
23	A. No. I think Isis recently told us our deferred
24	maintenance is sitting at about \$260 million. So we
25	don't have to we don't have to fudge it.

ORANGELEGAL

1	Q. Do you know who would have presented that
2	commitment list to to to Provost Waldrop or
3	Provost Whittaker for an allocation list about the time
4	of the commitment list? Do you know who would have
5	presented those to to the provosts for signature
6	before they went to the president?
7	A. No. Sorry. I don't.
8	Q. Okay. Okay. I'm going to let Carine take back
9	over. We actually skipped some stuff. So I'm sorry.
10	MS. MITZ: No, we're trying to get through
11	this.
12	BY MS. MITZ:
13	Q. I was just reviewing the notes again that
14	Mr. Burby took while or or the other attorneys
15	said while they were interviewing you. And I want to
16	ask you about some of those things mentioned in the
17	notes.
18	They jotted down that you had mentioned a meeting
19	that occurred on September 27th of 2014 with Tracy Clark
20	and Mr. Merck in his office in which a discussion
21	occurred regarding the \$9 million that had been
22	allocated for Colbourn Hall. And then apparently Tracy
23	Clark mentioned something about an auditor having told
24	her that there would be a problem with using those
25	funds.

ORANGELEGAL

Based on what I just said, do you recall that 1 conversation? 2 3 Α. I do. Can you tell me a little bit more about it? 4 0. 5 The conversation I believe was in one of my Α. 6 one-on-ones, and there were a number of topics being discussed. I noticed -- when I -- when I was trying to 7 pull that note for -- for Burby, that it said with 8 9 Tracy, which to me means that she's entered the room or 10 something. And she does talk about -- I think at the 11 time, maybe it was \$29 million on the project and 9 of 12 it was -- was E&G and that the use of that could be the other funds at risk or that the auditors were unhappy. 13 And then we went on and talked about a number of 14 other topics again. And at the end of the conversation, 15 Merck came back and said that he would need to tell the 16 17 president. Okay. So when Tracy Clark referred to the 18 Ο. 19 auditor, do you know whether she was referring to a 20 state auditor with the auditor general's office or one of your internal auditors? 21 22 Α. I don't know. 23 Okay. And do you know whether Merck reported to 0. 24 the president? 25 I don't know what happened after that. But I --Α.



1	again, in May of the following year, when we were
2	talking about I think we were there talking about
3	return on investment or CIP, we were there talking about
4	one of those kind of things, and I know he discussed it
5	with him then.
6	Q. Okay. Another notation in the interview notes
7	says that you said audit has said that VP/president can
8	make the decision. I can't determine the context of
9	that statement within these notes.
10	Do you recall saying something to that effect?
11	A. Yes, I think it was similar to what we talked
12	about. It might have been the exact thing we were
13	talking about earlier in the conversation today,
14	where when I had reported that I thought some things
15	weren't compliant with the vice president level, the
16	audit office told me and I believe it was Kathy
17	Mitchell told me that's at the the
18	presidential/vice presidential level, it's their
19	decision.
20	First question was, "Did you make the decision?"
21	And I said, "No." And then the answer that I got back
22	then was, "Well, that's at the vice
23	presidential/president level. It's their decision.
24	It's their risk. It's not your problem." And I believe
25	I responded, "It might be their risk, but it still could

ORANGELEGAL

be my problem." 1 2 Okay. And what was that -- I know we talked 0. 3 about it earlier, but in the last three hours, everything is so jumbled up in my mind. 4 What was the initial subject that led to her 5 making that comment? 6 It was a list that I had taken to her of issues. 7 Α. And I believe that primary on that list was the 8 athletics funding and athletics -- athletics and DSOs 9 10 going around facilities, not getting permitting, hiring 11 their own vendors, and the funding going to those DSOs. 12 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 13 Q. But you never discussed E&G spending for construction with Kathy Mitchell in that context? 14 No, because I didn't -- you know, again, if I was 15 Α. 16 reporting something, it's because I thought there was something was wrong. And I didn't -- I didn't see 17 18 anything wrong. So I didn't report it. 19 BY MS. MITZ: 20 0. Okay. All right. And, you know, feel free to 21 let me know if I've asked this question before. I feel 22 like some of the questions I'm asking two or three 23 times. 24 And I think we did touch upon this earlier. But when Dale Whittaker came in as provost, he was 25



1	responsible for the university's annual budget; is that
2	correct?
3	A. I don't know if that was correct immediately when
4	he came on board.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. But yes, he did he did become responsible for
7	the for the university's budget.
8	Q. Do you know whether that came in time or with a
9	promotion or was there anything that led to that
10	responsibility?
11	A. I don't know, but I know that when a person comes
12	on new as provost, they're provost. And when they are
13	well within performing all of the duties, they become
14	provost and executive vice president. And Dale
15	Whittaker did become provost and executive vice
16	president.
17	Q. Do you know whether Dale Whittaker, when he first
18	came on board, met with Mr. Merck regularly?
19	A. Yes, he did.
20	Q. He did, okay.
21	Were you involved in any of those meetings?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. Okay. I would like to direct your
24	attention to Document 6 in the packet, which I believe
25	is Page 51 and 52. Let me know when you've had a chance

to take a look at that. 1 2 Α. Okay. 51 I have. 52 I have. 3 (Whereupon, Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.) 4 5 BY MS. MITZ: I would like you to pay particular attention to 6 0. It's a chart. I think it's entitled "Capital 7 Page 52. Project Funding Update." And I know that Don asked you 8 a couple of questions about a form like this earlier. 9 10 You see how there's different columns of -- for different forms of funding? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know whether documents like this 14 would have been provided to Provost Whittaker routinely? They were. I know that Tracy Clark -- again, 15 Α. 16 they set up -- they set up weekly meetings. They didn't always meet weekly, but they set up weekly meetings. 17 And she was corresponding with him almost daily. And 18 19 she was providing him all of these kinds of documents. 20 And would you have seen documents like this in Q. the facilities budget committee meeting? 21 22 Α. I did not see this one. This is the first time I 23 have seen this one. But there's a longer spreadsheet 24 that we did see, if it was passed out in the facilities budget committee, so that -- the facilities budget 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	committee could be educated by Tracy on the funding,
2	Tracy and Dale. And then it also went to the university
3	budget committee. And that's the longer version. I
4	don't know where this one came from.
5	Q. So on that note, why don't you flip to the next
6	document, on Page 55. Is that by any chance the longer
7	version that you're talking about?
8	A. Yes, this looks like one that I have seen.
9	Q. Now, this, however, does not show the different
10	forms of funding?
11	A. There's one just like this that that is
12	expanded, that has all of the forms of funding on it.
13	And it was presented to the university budget committee.
14	Q. Okay.
15	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
16	Q. Would that have been presented the same time as
17	this shorter version?
18	A. Yes, I think the shorter version was the one that
19	was sent out to the facilities budget committee. The
20	longer version with all the funds sources I understand
21	went to the university budget committee, and it also
22	went to Dale Whittaker. And Tracy had meetings with him
23	about this spreadsheet.
24	Q. Let me ask you something about facilities budget
25	committee meeting, looking at this, where it just says

ORANGELEGAL

1	"total external, total internal."
2	Did any participants in those facilities budget
3	committee I think the September 2017 meeting would
4	have been where this one was discussed. Did anybody
5	ask, Now, remind me what the internal sources are?
6	A. No.
7	BY MS. MITZ:
8	Q. Okay. How soon after starting with the
9	university did Provost Whittaker begin referring to
10	himself as the university budget officer?
11	A. I don't know that. But he began meeting with
12	Merck and Tracy immediately upon becoming provost.
13	Because those meetings had been started by the person
14	sitting in the chair before him. Tony Waldrop started
15	those meetings. So he immediately went into having
16	those meetings with Bill and Tracy to understand all of
17	the budget activities.
18	Q. Okay. Okay. Did you ever hear Mr. Merck tell
19	Chair Marchena that E&G carry-forward funds were being
20	used for the downtown electric plant?
21	A. I believe that on a board meeting day
22	oftentimes on board meetings days, they have side bars
23	in the you know, the break room. And Chair Marchena
24	had asked us about the some information on the
25	downtown plant. And in the side room, I seem to recall



1	Merck discussing that with him. And he talked about
2	options for it. And I believe that Marchena was fine
3	with all of the different options, whichever way we
4	could go.
5	And I seem to recall Merck saying that the state
6	seemed to think that we had a lot of cash reserves and
7	that this would probably be a good way to spend down
8	some of those cash reserves, the carry-forward.
9	Q. Do you recall whether Marchena said anything in
10	response?
11	A. I don't. And I didn't you know, if I took a
12	note, I didn't have it. But I don't I don't recall
13	him saying anything about it.
14	Q. All right.
14 15	Q. All right. BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
15	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
15 16	BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to
15 16 17	BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project?
15 16 17 18	<pre>BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the project. We were having discussions, the whole it</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the project. We were having discussions, the whole it was a bigger discussion. And it was about, do we go</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the project. We were having discussions, the whole it was a bigger discussion. And it was about, do we go with a tri-gen? Do we go with co-gen? Do we simply
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the project. We were having discussions, the whole it was a bigger discussion. And it was about, do we go with a tri-gen? Do we go with co-gen? Do we simply produce our chilled water needs? Do we try to hook on</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	<pre>BY MR. RUBOTTOM: Q. Was this in the context of Merck offering to Marchena faster ways to fund that project? A. I don't know that it was faster ways to fund the project. We were having discussions, the whole it was a bigger discussion. And it was about, do we go with a tri-gen? Do we go with co-gen? Do we simply produce our chilled water needs? Do we try to hook on with OUC?</pre>

ORANGELEGAL

3 ti 4 h	riginally, we were talking about doing tri-gen. And we
4 h	
5 g:	hought that from from the engineering reports that
	ad been done early on, it looked like there would be a
E	reat return on investment by doing that.
0	And later, some of the assumptions were proven
7 i:	naccurate. And so a later analysis showed that it
8 w	ould not be smart to proceed with a tri-gen plant, but
9 j [.]	ust to produce the chilled water that we needed. It
10 w	ould still be a payback versus what we would be charged
11 b	y OUC to do it ourselves.
12	And so it was a bigger discussion. And as part
13 of	f that discussion, they talked about the funding.
14	Q. Was that discussion happening because that
15 p:	roject was on the agenda that day or just coincidence?
16	A. I do not believe it was on the agenda that day.
17	Q. Okay. Okay. So going back to interview notes.
18 I	actually reviewed the notes of President Whittaker.
19 A:	nd he indicated in his interview that he held a meeting
20 w.	ith all of Mr. Merck's direct reports the day after the
21 S	eptember BOG meeting, during which he told all of the
22 d	irect reports, including yourself, to be transparent.
23	Do you recall that meeting occurring?
24	A. I do recall that meeting occurring.
25	Q. Great. I'm interested because the notes also say

ORANGELEGAL

1	that you asked President Whittaker, "Does that mean you
2	want us to be completely honest, even when we've been
3	asked to be less than forthright in the past?"
4	Do you recall making that statement?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. Okay. Can you tell us about that?
7	A. He as part of his meeting with us, he said
8	that originally when this investigation before this
9	investigation when the auditor came out with saying
10	that the funds had been misspent, his initial reaction
11	was to step up and say we had a building in trouble,
12	life and safety was more important than the old money,
13	and that he was going to take that tack, but that he had
14	been coached to respond differently and that he had been
15	coached to to show to the board of governors and the
16	legislature and others that that we were going to
17	admit that we had done something wrong and that we were
18	going to try to rebuild their trust by being open and
19	transparent.
20	And when he went around the room to ask
21	questions, I was sitting across from him. And I said,
22	Sir, just as you have been coached about how to respond
23	to this investigation, I want to tell you that I have no
24	spin. I'm not able to to say things that are partly

25 true. My daddy used to talk about lies of commission



1	and lies of omissions, and I think they're still lies.
2	And I have been coached over time to be careful about
3	what I say. So are you telling me, sir, that I can be
4	completely open and transparent about about what I
5	know?
6	And he was physically taken aback. Not only did
7	I notice it, but the chief human resources officer
8	noticed it. And he stepped back and then he said, Oh,
9	oh, of course, Lee, of course.
10	Q. Okay. Do you know who he was referring to when
11	he was describing that he had been coached?
12	A. He did not say who had been coaching him.
13	Q. Okay. All right. So based on that comment that
14	you made, have you been forthright about everything
15	since that discussion with President Whittaker?
16	A. I absolutely have.
17	MS. MITZ: Do you want to
18	MR. RUBOTTOM: Okay. Yeah, I've got just
19	walking through a little bit of history of things,
20	and I'll try to skip the things that we've already
21	covered or that you've clarified you might not know
	covered of that you ve chariffed you might not know
22	about.
22 23	
	about.
23	about. BY MR. RUBOTTOM:



1	And and that's after it came out that Mr. Merck was
2	apologizing for not going back to the board when that
3	decision was made earlier that year. You said something
4	about the Trevor Colbourn Hall building program was
5	about complete, but you needed some time on the Colbourn
6	Hall. That building from because y'all call the
7	same multiple things the same name.
8	Is that just the listing of space allocation? Is
9	that what you're talking about?
10	A. So over time, that changed.
11	Q. I understand.
12	I'm just trying to figure out what you had
13	that big document, that 50-something-page document
14	A. Correct.
15	Q that everybody signed in February. And that's
16	called Trevor Colbourn Hall building program?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. You weren't talking about that kind of document
19	in June of '15, were you?
20	A. We had begun preparation of that type of document
21	at the time. And we were
22	Q. Had you had you gone past just the space
23	allocation listing?
24	A. I think we probably had space allocation listings
25	done at that time.



1 Q. Okay. 2 Although, it kept -- it kept changing. That also Α. 3 kept changing. Because the provost wanted to continue to add faculty to go into the building and --4 Oh, I understand. Yeah. 5 Ο. 6 Α. Yep. I understand that was the constant conversation. 7 0. 8 Α. Yes. So what other elements of that would have been 9 Q. 10 worked on? 11 Α. We had been working on developing a template. 12 Because before that, the building program was really 13 usually taking the concept to the president and him 14 approving that in concept. That was the building program. And I had spoke -- in speaking with Chris 15 Kinsley, I said, I don't think that that's what a 16 17 building program is. Do you have any others or do you have something that you could provide? 18 19 And he said he didn't, but I could reach out to 20 the other universities. He said some of them had, you know, very thick documents and some of them had a few 21 22 pages. So there was no uniformity in the building 23 program throughout the system either. 24 0. Did he direct you to any regulations that were relevant to that issue? 25



1 Α. No. 2 That -- that -- that big document that was signed 0. 3 by everybody in February -- I understand people signed at different times -- was that the first time you had 4 done that kind of a document on a new building? 5 6 Α. It was. And who -- who put together that little history 7 0. discussion that included the BOB-2 references that 8 everybody has been talking about on Page 25 and 26? 9 10 Suzy Hutson is the lady whom I had hired to do Α. 11 building program. She was trying to base it on a 12 template that had been developed by Pete Newman, the 13 former director. But coming in new and trying to put 14 everything in there she possibly can, doing a lot of research to put what she could in that document. 15 Well, that helps a lot. Because that's in a 16 0. section about master plan compliance. And I can see how 17 in doing what you described she's doing, that she might 18 19 contain a history discussion, but it just -- it seemed 20 to me extraneous to the conformity to the master plan. So that's -- I was curious about that. 21 22 Oh, when did -- when the decision was made -- I 23 think Mr. Merck said in that meeting the design team 24 came up with an opportunity to actually renovate the old building and tie it in mechanically with the new 25

ORANGELEGAL

1 building.

2 Was that at you-all's request -- were you part of 3 the design team?

A. No, the design team is a -- we hire an
architectural engineering group. So it would be an
architect firm, usually, and may bring engineering
consultants with them.

8 Q. Were they just noodling the possibilities and 9 came up with tying the two buildings together? Or do 10 you know how that came about?

11 A. Yes. They actually put that together as part of 12 their presentation to be given to us to compete for 13 selection. And that was one of the novel ideas that 14 they put on the table to say, We think we can save money 15 by tying the two buildings together with -- the share of 16 mechanical.

Q. But that was after the board had voted to build a
new building and put the renovation kind of on hold - -A. Uh-huh.

20 Q. -- right.

A. Yes, but they said we -- that would still -- that would still follow through and build the new building. And they were trying to figure out how, then, they could build the mechanical so that later, when we could do the renovation, it would tie into that mechanical.



1	Q. But that was on their own initiative?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you don't think Mr. Merck asked him to
4	consider that?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay. I'm trying to skip some things. That's
7	why I'm taking just a second.
8	I notice in that meeting particularly, and I
9	can't I think maybe the April maybe the 2014
10	board meetings, I haven't looked at those notes lately.
11	But I noticed there was funding. April 15th finance and
12	facilities meeting when I think you were presenting
13	maybe both facilities, I'm not sure. But Colbourn
14	Hall, and Trevor Colbourn came up. And funding was
15	discussed again. As soon as somebody asked you, I think
16	Mr. Merck asked Tracy to answer the question.
17	Is that because she was responsible for funding
18	sources?
19	A. That's correct. Because I would not have known
20	the funding source. And so he turned to Tracy, who
21	would have known.
22	Q. Okay. He noticed that times Mr. Merck or
23	Tracy so Mr. Merck might answer questions as well.
24	A. Uh-huh.
25	Q. Would that he would address funding, also,

ORANGELEGAL

1 because that wasn't your responsibility? 2 Α. Correct. 3 0. Okay. I think it might have been the full board meeting in 2014, when the new building was being 4 approved, and I know that Mr. Marchena was chair of 5 finance and facilities, so he had been through a meeting 6 on that building. I think it was that building -- that 7 meeting where there was a discussion of funding and it 8 almost was like Trustee Marchena tried to cut off the 9 10 discussion or cut it short. 11 I think he said something about let me just be 12 clear or something. It look -- sounds like he was 13 looking directly at Mr. Merck, because you-all have 14 identified appropriate funding; is that correct? Do you recall that? Do you recall Marchena doing 15 16 that in meetings? That wouldn't be the first time that -- that he 17 Α. 18 did that in meetings. But I don't know that I recall 19 that specific time. 20 Q. Would -- when he did something like that. Would that be because he had a better understanding of the 21 22 issues than the other board members who were asking the 23 questions? 24 Α. I don't know his motive for doing it, but I do know that that was pretty typical. 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	Q. Would Trevor Colbourn have been one of the agenda
2	items that Mr. Merck would have briefed Marchena on
3	before facilities finance and facilities meeting?
4	A. I believe it would, yes. I think they had regular
5	meetings before the finance and facilities where he
6	would go over the items with the chair.
7	Q. Did it surprise you that Marchena was surprised
8	that the combination project was in the works?
9	A. Yes. I'm sure that he knew.
10	Q. So if he expressed surprise in that 2015 meeting,
11	that would have been he's just trying to bring it
12	before the other board members or
13	A. Again, I don't know why he would react that way.
14	But I would be I would be extremely surprised that he
15	didn't already know. Because he and Mr. Merck had
16	regular meetings before the finance and facilities. And
17	Merck if there was something problematic, Merck would
18	also have individual conversations with the other
19	members.
20	Q. Okay
21	MR. GREENE: Can we take a comfort break?
22	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
23	Q we go further to what we have on the
24	MS. MITZ: What's that, Mr. Green?
25	MR. RUBOTTOM: I'm sorry?

ORANGELEGAL

1	MR. GREENE: Can we take a comfort break?
2	MR. RUBOTTOM: Yeah, I think I've only got
3	about five more minutes. Let's take a break. I need
4	to check my office.
5	MR. GREENE: Great.
6	MR. RUBOTTOM: Let's take five minutes.
7	(Whereupon, a break was taken from 12:42 p.m.
8	to 12:45 p.m.)
9	BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
10	Q. I think we're talking about Marchena. You do
11	believe he was briefed by Merck before those meetings
12	and okay. Let's let's in the meetings and I
13	understand people use different words and they mean
14	things and people would say carry-forward or central
15	reserve or university funds or internal funds at
16	different times.
17	I'm just curious if it was ever thought of using
18	university on the BOB-2 form and not E&G. I know other
19	universities have done that on a BOB-2 form. Was there
20	any thought of using those other words besides E&G?
21	A. No, because both Gina Seabrook and I had
22	conversations with Chris Kinsley about how to fill out
23	the form. And we were following the directions we were
24	given.
25	Q. Okay. But it never occurred to you-all in the

ORANGELEGAL

1 board meetings to use the words E&G to be just more 2 specific? 3 Α. No. On funding, I use words if I -- based on what I knew. So if I knew something was E&G, I would 4 5 say "E&G." But if I just knew that -- that it was internal, then I would use the word "internal." 6 So it was all -- my words are all based on what I 7 knew or had asked direction and was told to do. 8 Okay. Well, I -- I -- I don't want to be on a 9 Q. 10 touchy subject here, but let's just be real clear. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Is it your testimony you did not know that Trevor 0. 13 Colbourn was funded with E&G funds? I knew that they had had discussions. I was in 14 Α. meetings when they talked about pulling sources of funds 15 together. Until September, I didn't know that the 16 entire \$38 million was E&G. That was in a conversation 17 18 I had with Tracy in September. But yes, I knew that 19 some was because I was in the room when they were 20 talking about it. Well, I -- if you go back to Page 52, and this is 21 ο. 22 an email -- this was attached in an email that you sent 23 to Judith DeJesus in November of '15. And Page 52 is one of those attachments, the capital projects funding 24 update. It's dated January 17th. And that shows for 25

ORANGELEGAL

the combined project 38 million of E&G. And that was --1 2 You would have seen that document? 3 Α. If I sent it, I would have seen it. But I really don't recall this document. 4 Okay. Okay. Well, I -- I -- thank you. And I 5 ο. 6 know you're -- I know you're trying to be honest, and I appreciate that. 7 I am. I really do not recall this document. 8 Α. The confusion -- the confusion of everybody --9 Q. 10 people using different words and saying everybody knew 11 what that meant, but you wouldn't necessarily know --12 when they said carry-forward in the meeting, you 13 wouldn't necessarily know that meant E&G? Is that what 14 you're saying? I would know that carry-forward funding was 15 Α. 16 carry-forward from year to year, and that probably most of it would have been E&G. It could be other sources, 17 but most of it, I think, would be E&G. 18 19 0. Okay. 20 Α. My own carry-forward, most of it would have been PO&M, or all of it would have been PO&M. 21 22 Okay. I understand that. 0. 23 And I can -- I'm not sure who prepared this Α. 24 document. And you say it's in an email I sent, then I 25 sent it. But I can tell you I didn't write it, because



I wouldn't misspell Colbourn. 1 2 Got ya'. 0. 3 Later in that April 2015 meeting -- oh, wait a minute. During the 2015 finance and facilities meeting, 4 Dr. Whittaker is quoted as saying, "I would just add, 5 the way I was looking at it also, would, you know, we 6 considered this -- moving forward with this 7 83,000 square feet per net 10 million minus the 8 demolition cost." 9 10 Sounds like he was trying to describe the economic benefits of the combined project. 11 12 Would I be correct to infer from that that 13 Dr. Whittaker had a very good grasp on the cost and 14 value of that project? 15 Α. He did. Because when we had meetings with him, that's the kind of conversation we would have. 16 We 17 wouldn't have been talking about funding source with my 18 group, but we -- we were talking about rising 19 construction costs. We were talking about options. We 20 provided him options on what we needed to do to try to get where he wanted to go. There are documents that he 21 22 had showing what his options could be to get where he 23 wanted to go and, you know, what the cost per square feet might look like for those options. 24 25 So he had all of that from my team, yes.

ORANGELEGAL

1	Q. Do you know if he had discussions about the
2	project with any trustees during that time period, say,
3	from January of '15 until until the the demolition
4	was was decided in 2016?
5	A. I wouldn't know.
6	Q. Okay. Later in that meeting, Mr. Merck mentioned
7	the shortage of PECO funds, talks about carry-forward
8	money, interest earnings, a whole bunch of other things.
9	Do you know what he meant by a whole bunch of
10	other things?
11	A. Can you tell me again what was said?
12	Q. He talked about the shortage of PECO funds and
13	how they were making it up with carry-forward money,
14	interest earnings, a whole bunch of other things.
15	Do you know what he meant by a whole bunch of
16	other things?
17	A. No, I really don't.
18	Q. Okay. Do you think the trustees would have
19	known?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay. After that, you mentioned, continuing your
22	presentation in that meeting, in carry-forward funds
23	continuing services contract.
24	Were you talking about your PO&M money?
25	A. That's correct. And I had had a state IG look at
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

800-275-7991

137

1	that several years before. And he had said that that
2	was fine, what I was doing with the continuing services
3	contract and encumbering those the only carry-forward
4	funds there.
5	Q. So describe that in detail. You would encumber
6	those. You would enter some kind of long-term contract,
7	and those funds would be then then committed to
8	paying that contract?
9	A. They're not committed to paying a specific
10	contractor. So we are allowed to have continuing
11	services contracts. And we would have continuing
12	services construction management firms, continuing
13	services general contracting firms, even some continuing
14	services trades.
15	And at the end of the year, when we had PO&M and
16	carry-forward, we would obligate the funds to on a
17	sitting in an account to be able to pay any of those
18	contractors for the work that they might be doing. And
19	then those would become projects, individual projects.
20	Q. So how would you obligate the funds if they
21	weren't committed to a particular contract?
22	A. Getting over my head again. But our business
23	office would put those funds in a an account. And it
24	might be called "deferred maintenance carry-forward" or
25	something. She would call it a name, "deferred



maintenance account." And she would put the funds in 1 2 that account. And we would have -- so we had contracts 3 with these continuing services vendors. And then when we had a project, then we went 4 5 through the full -- the full process for accounting for a project. And then the funds would then be removed to 6 that project. 7 Okay. So that would become the funding source 8 0. 9 for those contracts which were only paid, what, on 10 invoices or --11 We would put POs and we also had contract terms. Α. 12 Again, once a project became a project, then there would be a -- an amendment to the main contract showing that 13 14 they had -- you know, what project they were on. So perhaps I had -- let's just use a company. 15 Let's use a -- Balfour might be one of the companies 16 17 that was under continuing services. But then maybe I had a -- a boiler that was problematic in an engineering 18 19 building. And in order to do the repairs to that 20 boiler, we -- we got in and we found the duct work was 21 bad. We found a whole bunch of other stuff bad. And so 22 it became a million dollar problem. And so then we 23 would award individual projects. 24 So legal said we could just choose, but I didn't really want to do that. And so we would have these 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	contractors compete. Even though they were selected
2	based on the qualifications basis, we still often had
3	them go through a competition using a GC quote, general
4	contractor quotes, hidden email, so that there couldn't
5	be collusion in my own shop by telling somebody, oh,
6	this guy's going to bid 500,000. You better bid 4.
7	So we had those opened up separately in order to
8	make sure that we were not only having the contractors,
9	we selected them on qualifications, but now we can get
10	the best price.
11	And so then they would get a contract for that
12	project. And the monies would be put onto that project
13	at that point.
14	Q. You stated in that meeting again, this is
14 15	Q. You stated in that meeting again, this is April 2015 that you had bought down deferred
15	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred
15 16	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million
15 16 17	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time.
15 16 17 18	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that?
15 16 17 18 19	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that? A. I thought it was about 146 or hundred a few
15 16 17 18 19 20	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that? A. I thought it was about 146 or hundred a few numbers I remember it was down to 146 and then back up
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that? A. I thought it was about 146 or hundred a few numbers I remember it was down to 146 and then back up to 164.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that? A. I thought it was about 146 or hundred a few numbers I remember it was down to 146 and then back up to 164. Q. Would another \$85 million for deferred</pre>
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	<pre>April 2015 that you had bought down deferred maintenance from over 200 million to about 116 million at that time. Do you recall that? A. I thought it was about 146 or hundred a few numbers I remember it was down to 146 and then back up to 164. Q. Would another \$85 million for deferred maintenance have been helpful?</pre>

ORANGELEGAL

1	university got into that. We talked about this a little
2	bit the other day. I don't think I totally answered
3	your question the way you wanted it to be answered.
4	But over time, the some inefficient
5	operations, you have your maintenance guys walking their
6	building, which is what they told me they did. You
7	don't you don't your money doesn't go as far.
8	Q. I understand.
9	A. So we've put a lot of we've put a lot of
10	efficiencies in place, both in the operations and
11	maintenance side and in the utilities side, that's
12	allowed us to have money that can then go back and fix
13	the things that were deferred for so long.
14	Q. Right. I think I understand that.
15	A. Yep.
16	Q. What I'm trying to understand is what the
17	university did.
18	And what would you estimate the deferred
19	maintenance number was at the end of the last fiscal
20	year, last July last June 30th?
21	A. Probably based on the reports I have a
22	company by the name of Isis that comes in and looks at
23	our buildings.
24	Between combining deferred maintenance and
25	capital renewal, which go hand in hand, I think we're at

ORANGELEGAL

about \$260 million. 1 2 Would it disappoint you to know that UCF 0. 3 characterized \$85 million for these building projects as deferred maintenance in their reports to the BOG and to 4 the legislature? 5 6 It would disappoint me to know that if I didn't Α. have records in my facilities and safety business office 7 to show that. 8 9 Did Kinsley or anybody at the BOG ever -- after ο. 10 they got the August reports on carry-forwards, did 11 Kinsley ever come to you and say, Hey, can you verify 12 this 37 million or 27 million dollar deferred 13 maintenance number? 14 Α. No, because that -- I would have had good records in my facilities and safety business office to show 15 16 exactly what we had spent. 17 So like if you -- if you gave them a list -- if ο. you gave the finance people a list of 130 -- 130 million 18 19 in deferred maintenance, and they put 7 of that in this 20 form and added \$18 -- 18 million for Trevor Colbourn 21 Hall, you would have been able to show people the 22 combined number in deferred maintenance to ostensibly 23 justify it, even if the administration intended 18 million of that for Trevor Colbourn; is that right? 24 25 A. Yes, we have a pretty good record on what we were

ORANGELEGAL

1	actually buying down. I call it "buying down." It's
2	the things that we're fixing.
3	Q. Right. But they could put any number on that
4	on that form they filed with BOG, they put up to 260
5	million and say we have that much deferred maintenance
6	to do, right?
7	A. I think the BOG would have objections to that,
8	but I guess so.
9	Q. I don't think they'd have much objections as they
10	have to building new buildings
11	A. Well, I do have \$260 million of deferred
12	maintenance, according to Isis.
13	Q. And I believe you. And I'm glad I don't have to
14	be the one to figure out how to do all of that work.
15	Are you aware are you aware of any backdating
16	of transfers to facilities from the central
17	carry-forward?
18	A. No. I'm not even sure what you mean by that.
19	Q. Well, there were some emails that were going on
20	in July of '16, I think, that said the 18 million needs
21	to be backdated to last year, or maybe that was the next
22	year.
23	But the the the levers weren't pulled to
24	move the money until July, but they dated them June
25	transfers.
1	



1	A. No.
2	Q. But you didn't monitor those
3	A. No.
4	Q those construction numbers when you weren't
5	getting ready to build, right?
6	A. That's right.
7	Q. Okay. Just real curious. If you had done the
8	full renovation of Colbourn Hall, what would you have
9	expected the life span to be of the renovated building?
10	Do you have an idea?
11	A. If you can do a complete renovation, you're
12	probably talking another 50 years again. Generally, we
13	look at a 50-year life span on a building.
14	Q. Okay. Okay. Mr. Cole publicly went through a
15	timeline last September I think at one of the board
16	meetings or something saying that the 2014 engineering
	meetings or something saying that the 2014 engineering study established the nonfeasibility of renovation.
16	
16 17	study established the nonfeasibility of renovation.
16 17 18	study established the nonfeasibility of renovation. When did you understand that renovation was no
16 17 18 19	study established the nonfeasibility of renovation. When did you understand that renovation was no longer feasible? Was that based on your total maximum
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>study established the nonfeasibility of renovation. When did you understand that renovation was no longer feasible? Was that based on your total maximum budget or was that based on rising costs?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>study established the nonfeasibility of renovation. When did you understand that renovation was no longer feasible? Was that based on your total maximum budget or was that based on rising costs? A. I don't know exactly when that was. But the same</pre>
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>study established the nonfeasibility of renovation. When did you understand that renovation was no longer feasible? Was that based on your total maximum budget or was that based on rising costs? A. I don't know exactly when that was. But the same design team that was working on this and the engineers</pre>

ORANGELEGAL

1	when you decide to demolish versus renovate.
2	And when the as my daddy used to say,
3	poking money down a rat hole to do it, you don't do it.
4	And so we did those analyses. And if you look at
5	the board I think there's some notes in the board
6	meeting where we talked about the demolition, during
7	that demolition, the percentages that far outweighed
8	what the investment would it would take to renovate
9	that building. And that's what was presented to the
10	board.
11	Q. Okay. Let's go back to did Dr. Whittaker
12	participate in a decision to abandon the joint project
13	and demolish the old building?
14	A. Yes, he did.
15	Q. Who took that decision to Dr. Hitt?
16	A. He did.
17	Q. Do you know when Dr. Hitt agreed to demolish the
18	old building?
19	A. I think that there was email that came out from
20	Dale to Mr. Merck saying that Dr. Hitt approved it. It
21	might have been an email. Either that or a or Merck
22	called me. I don't remember which. But I was told that
23	the president had approved it.
24	Q. I mean, the board took that up in I guess May
25	or June of 2016. It would have been shortly before the

ORANGELEGAL

board meeting, or it would have been three or four 1 2 months before? 3 Α. Well, there -- I'm seeing an email Tuesday, January the 20th of '15 --4 Well, that was -- that was the decision to do 5 0. both buildings. I'm talking about the decision to 6 demolish the old building. 7 Okay. So then the demolition came -- we actually 8 Α. 9 had meetings with Dale -- the design team, facilities 10 planning and construction team, and I believe he had some of the folks from the -- from the original Colbourn 11 12 Hall there, talking about what the team had found and 13 that it was going to be just entirely too costly to -to do the renovation on Colbourn. And so they presented 14 options to Dale about increasing the size of Trevor 15 16 Colbourn with the same money and demolishing the 17 building. 18 So that was presented to Dale. And I believe 19 either Dale or Bill Merck would have taken that to the 20 president. 21 Q. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 22 Let's go back to the first decision to build the 23 new building in 2014. 24 I understand that everyone realized that we got to put these people someplace during the renovation, and 25

ORANGELEGAL

-	
1	so the most efficient thing is to build a new building
2	that will hold them. And I understand the options that
3	were presented to finance and facilities committee in
4	April of '14 and the full board later.
5	Marchena was chair of the committee at that time;
6	is that correct?
7	A. I think so.
8	Q. Do you recall him asking how the new building
9	would be paid for?
10	A. No, I don't recall.
11	Q. Do you recall anything about that April 2014
12	meeting where the recording is lost?
13	A. No. I recall preparing for it and working with
14	David Norvell on what those options would look like and
15	what the costs would be to do those. So I recall
16	preparing the options for the board. I don't really
17	recall the board meeting.
18	Q. Did you keep notes from the board meetings?
19	A. I normally would take notes from the board
20	meetings, not in my notebooks, but on the whatever
21	document I had in front of me. And I tried to go
22	through filing rooms to find any of those. And I was
23	not able to find many of those.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. But those would have been filed in filing



cabinets somewhere. 1 2 If the committee had discussed sources of funds, 0. 3 would Mr. Merck and Tracy have been answering those 4 questions? 5 Yes, they would. Α. Okay. After that -- and, again, I -- I'm not 6 0. trying to -- I'm not trying to mischaracterize anything. 7 But the board seemed pretty explicit in 2014 to put the 8 renovation on hold. But that October, you -- you 9 10 presented to a BOG facility workshop about a \$19 million 11 PECO request for Colbourn renovation. 12 What -- what -- why were you competent enough to 13 present that project to the BOG in September of '14, 14 that the renovation was going to happen? Because what the board had said was that they 15 Α. 16 were going to put it on hold until such time as the source of funds had been determined. And I recall 17 18 reading that somewhere in my notes over the last few 19 But they said that they were putting it on hold days. 20 until they could determine how to pay for it. Okay. The capital improvement plan on the PECO 21 ο. 22 list only shows like 19 million for total cost on the 23 renovation. 24 Α. Uh-huh. But the internal budget reports like the one on 25 Q.

Page 52 doesn't ever get above 15. 1 2 Can you explain the difference between a 3 \$15 million price on an internal budget document and 19 million in the capital improvement plan, PECO request? 4 5 Yeah, I found differences like that on a number Α. of budgets in reviewing them. 6 On the capital improvement plan, we're asked to 7 inflate the numbers by the market. So when construction 8 9 was rising at 4 percent per year, we inflated by 10 4 percent per year. When it was rising by now 11 and 11 12 percent per year, we're inflating by 11 and 12 12 percent per year. 13 If there were other things that we were trying to include, those would have also been in there. And we 14 would have explained those in the memo that would go to 15 16 the state to say, this building includes forest protection, for example, on a partnership building or 17 18 something. 19 But I have found a lot of discrepancies in budget 20 until numbers are firmed up through an architectural 21 plan. 22 When they're working on capital projects and you 0. 23 have a budget, are those just -- you keep a number for a 24 couple of years until you're working with contractors and decide, oh, it's going to be more than that, or you 25

ORANGELEGAL

don't have any built-in inflation on those; is that 1 2 correct? 3 Α. Yeah, we often base it on what the current cost 4 per square foot is. And then as we're --Like on Page 52, your office would have provided 5 Ο. the current estimate of cost on those projects, wouldn't 6 7 you? 8 I believe we would, yes. Α. 9 And that would be based on per square foot and Q. 10 the type of building --11 Yes, at that time. Α. 12 Okay. Okay. That helps me a lot. 0. 13 Do you think that -- back to the BOB-2s and when 14 you would go through the capital improvement plans with Dr. Whittaker, do you believe he understood the plan --15 16 plan operation and maintenance role of the BOB-2s? 17 Α. Yes, he understood it. He said he was guite 18 familiar with that. He had known it when he was at 19 Purdue. 20 BY MS. MITZ: Was that before? 21 ο. 22 Not -- no, not the Florida form, but --Α. 23 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 24 0. PO&M authorization? Yes, but seeking plan operations and maintenance 25 Α.

1	money to to operate and maintain facilities.
2	Q. Okay. Do you do you ever find academics to
3	try to act like they know more than they do?
4	Chuck doesn't like it when I tell lawyer jokes.
5	A. Well, they often think that they not only know
6	all of the amazing things that they know, but that they
7	know how to do all of our jobs better than we do.
8	They'll often tell me that, you know, I can go down to
9	Home Depot and do that for \$1.98.
10	Q. Do you believe that Dr. Whittaker was diligent in
11	his role as chief budget officer?
12	A. I believe that he was diligent in in trying to
13	understand those budgets. I believe he did understand
14	those budgets. And I believe he I believe he was
15	diligent in control of those budgets.
16	Q. Did you find Dr. Whittaker prepared and well
17	informed at the facilities budget committee meetings?
18	A. Yes. He had he had meetings prior to the
19	facilities budget committee meetings with Tracy so that
20	he would be prepared.
21	Q. Did those meetings ever discuss the limitations
22	on use of E&G funds?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you ever discuss, in those committee
25	meetings, your interactions with Chris Kinsley about

ORANGELEGAL

about different funding sources and -- or different projects and the ability to do them.

3 Α. No. In the first couple of meetings, we did have some educational sessions for people who were coming to 4 5 the facilities budget committee new. In those, we were more explaining the forms, the capital improvement form. 6 They said why do we have, you know, projects in two or 7 8 three places. And so we explained that. Chris had told 9 us to put it in all of those places.

10 Tracy -- Tracy did a lot of education in those 11 early meetings to talk about what we were trying to do 12 and how we were trying to really get our arms around 13 what facilities we could build in the next five years, 14 what the priorities were, what facilities you could 15 build or renovate or whatever in the next five years.

And so that -- so that we had a -- a smaller list, a list of what you actually could do in that amount of time, and not just a wish list.

19 Q. Okay. Thank you.

I think I saw some May 2016 emails about the larger building plan. And I think I saw something that said 26.8-gross-square-feet occupiable shelf. And that was in the context of how the building was going to be used.

Was that plan at that time that there was a



25

1	portion of that building that was not yet occupied or
2	planned, that that was gross space in that building?
3	A. That was based on what Dale had had
4	discussed with his faculty about current needs and what
5	their planned hires and not knowing what some of those
6	hires were going to be, that was actually supposed to be
7	shelf space for the growth in those departments.
8	Q. Do you know if the downtown expand the
9	downtown presence was already in the works in in
10	in May of 2016?
11	A. I don't know. But I I believe that they had
12	already started discussions on it.
13	Q. Did the expansion to downtown change the space
14	needs of I know Dr. Whittaker has his plan to add all
15	of the faculty. And did that downtown expansion change
16	those space demands?
17	A. So we have a group called "SPA," and it's space,
18	planning, and allocation something. They actually
19	report to the provost. Unlike many institutions, you
20	know, that group is tied in with facilities. At UCF it
21	reports to the provost. And that group has spent a
22	quite a bit of time working with faculty, working with
23	the deans on what their space needs are and how space
24	will be filled when programs move to the downtown.
25	Q. Okay. Okay. Thank you very much.

ORANGELEGAL

1 BY MS. MITZ:

2 Q. So, Ms. Kernek, we're going to wrap up now. Is 3 there anything else that you think we need to know that 4 we have not asked today that would help us in our 5 investigation for the misuse and misdirection of E&G 6 funds at UCF?

A. Well, I think that what you have is a really hard working group of people. We have all tried to do our jobs to the best of our abilities. I think not having written guidance from the state, from our own general counsel is a -- a huge part of this problem, not just for the staff, but also for the trustees.

I think we had a lot of conflicting guidance, where on one meeting where I have with -- with Chris Kinsley and I ask can PO&M and carry-forward be used to -- upon research fume hoods, or break rooms, or whatever? And he says no. And then the next meeting, when I say, How can PO&M carry-forward be used, and he and Tim Jung say, It's your decision.

Yeah. And so I have notes saying those thing s. Our general counsel hasn't given us any training, minimal advising. Yes, they'll answer questions, but that would then mean that we have to know the questions to ask. So I didn't ask a question about the capital outlay budgets because I didn't know a question to ask.



1	I didn't know that there was anything wrong with it.
2	We have a lot of circular direction in those
3	in the who do we ask. So we we go to BOG staff. We
4	go to audit. We go to general counsel. We go to our
5	boss. And if you go to one of those, they send you back
6	to the other one.
7	Q. Got ya'.
8	A. So audi would say, Ask Merck. Or Merck would
9	say, Have you talked to general counsel? Or general
10	counsel would say, Have you asked audit? And we would
11	try to do those things.
12	You'll see that I that one instance that you
13	saw in there of of a reporting is one of many. I put
14	that in the in my screenshots on purpose, to show
15	that we had incidents of reporting what we thought was
16	wrong. Whether it was because we thought it was a
17	compliance issue or legal issue, we reported those
18	things.
19	And so if you don't see me reporting this E&G
20	funding, it's because I didn't know it was wrong. I
21	would have reported it. I'm
22	Q. Sure.
23	A. I'm going to report things that I think are
24	wrong.
25	Q. Yeah. You've demonstrated that with just the

ORANGELEGAL

1	things you've told us today.
2	Well, we're going to ask that if anything else
3	comes to mind, if you're still reviewing all of your
4	stuff and something pops out at you and you think that
5	we would benefit from knowing about it, just please
6	either ask Mr. Green to communicate to us, give us the
7	stuff, call us, prepare an affidavit, whatever you need
8	to do to let us know. Because our investigation is
9	still ongoing and it's not going to end in the immediate
10	future.
11	And I also ask in the beginning we talked
12	about those notes that you had prepared. If you can get
13	us copies of those, that would be greatly appreciated.
14	And lastly, we're going to ask that you don't
15	discuss this deposition, particularly the questions
16	asked and the answers that you've given, with anybody
17	else who we intend on speaking to, at least until our
18	investigation is closed out.
19	A. I have been avoiding those I've been avoiding
20	those calls you know, our conversations on purpose.
21	Q. Okay. Good.
22	MR. GREENE: And I need to ask Ms. Kernek just
23	a few questions that about the nature of what's
24	going on.
25	MS. MITZ: Sure.
ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal

1	MR. GREENE: But can we agree I haven't had an
2	opportunity for a full and fair cross-examination
3	like I would do if this was a lawsuit; that the
4	purpose of the investigation is limited? And so if
5	somebody should try to use this deposition against
6	Ms. Kernek in another context, I haven't had the
7	opportunity of cross-examination and it's effectively
8	not closed?
9	Do you guys agree to that?
10	MR. RUBOTTOM: Yes. And, Chuck yes, we
11	agree. And, Chuck, I would also add that our rules
12	allow that if anything is brought up in our committee
13	meeting, speaking about somebody that's not present,
14	they have they have a right to to send a
15	written statement or appear in front of the
16	committee, depending on time availability. But they
17	have a right to respond to anything said about them.
18	So I think I have given you our rule number on
19	that, but
20	MR. GREENE: Yeah, you've been very helpful.
21	And ordinarily if this were a lawsuit, I'd probably
22	do a three-hour cross-examination just to elaborate
23	on some points that you made and maybe bring out some
24	facts that that you might not be aware of or that
25	might not have been punctuated.

ORANGELEGAL

1	We're going to try to get your documents and
2	things that will help you in that regard. Let me
3	just ask you, Ms. Kernek, a few questions.
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. GREENE:
6	Q. You're employed at UCF from 2007 until January of
7	2019?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Were you evaluated annually during that time for
10	your performance?
11	A. I was.
12	Q. Were your performances generally positive?
13	A. They were all exemplary.
14	Q. And were you commended for your good work you did
15	for your UCF community?
16	A. I was.
17	Q. Did you strive to fulfill your duties honestly?
18	A. Absolutely.
19	Q. Did you strive to fulfill your duties
20	competently?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Did you try your best to comply with the rules,
23	regulations, and statutes that you thought applicable to
24	your job?
25	A. I did.



ORAN	GELEGAL Orange Legal
25	attorneys from Bryan Cave.
24	Q. You were asked about being interviewed by the
23	A. No.
22	Q. Have any of them tried to influence you?
21	A. No.
20	investigation?
19	that they might have to say in connection with this
18	Q. Have you in any way tried to influence anything
17	A. Yes.
16	Do you recall that?
15	Mr. Merck and Christy Tant and Tracy Clark.
14	Q. You were asked about your relationship with
13	A. No.
12	law or the rules or regulations that governed you?
11	participate in any action that you thought violated the
10	Q. Did you, at any time while you're at UCF,
9	A. No.
8	you considered to be illegal?
7	Q. How about illegal? Did you ever do anything that
6	A. No.
5	anything that you considered to be immoral?
4	Q. Did you ever, at any time while you're at UCF, do
3	A. Yes, I did.
2	guidance from general counsel and other sources?
1	Q. When you didn't know the answer, did you seek

800-275-7991

5 6 7 1 8 9 10 3 11 3 12 3 13 1 14 1 15 16 17 3 18 1 19 3 20 3 21 3 23 3	ELEGAL Orange Legal
4 6 5 6 7 4 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 1 18 1 19 2 21 3 22 3 23 3	A. Yes.
4 6 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 1 18 1 19 2 21 3 22 1	from the narrative that they wanted you to tell?
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 1 18 1 19 20 21 3	Q. And did they react hostilely when you deviated
4 6 5 6 7 4 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 1 15 16 17 1 18 1 19 20	wanted to hear.
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 1 18 1 19 2	and they were forcing us to give the answers that they
4 6 5 6 7 4 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 1	A. I think that they had predetermined their answers
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 a 11 a 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 2	investigation?
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 1 15 16	had set out to get when they started their
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 a 11 a 12 13 14 1 15 5	looking for the truth or looking for answers that they
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 3 11 6 12 13 14 1	Q. And did you feel that the investigators were
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 a 11 a 12 13 	A. Yes.
4 6 5 6 7 1 8 9 10 4 11 6 12	hostilely?
4 a 5 6 7 t 8 9 10 a 11 a	Q. And you said earlier you felt you were treated
4 a 5 6 7 t 8 9 10 a	A. They do not.
4 o 5 6 7 t 8 9	done to you during the interview process?
4 d 5 6 7 t 8	accurately reflect all the things that were said and
4 d 5 6 7 t	Q. Do they tell everything do the notes
4 d 5 6	A. They do not.
4 (5	things that you said?
<u>4</u> c	Q. Are those notes do they accurately reflect the
	A. Yes.
3	of what you said during your interview?
	Q. And have you seen a what purports to be notes
2	A. Yes.
1	Do you do you recall that?

Orange Legal 800-275-7991 160

	FRIGUILLA	101
1	Q. You were asked whether your office gave	
2	information to Dale Whittaker about the funding for TC	Ή
3	being specifically designated as E&G.	
4	Do you recall that?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And you said your office did not provide that	
7	information to Dale Whittaker; is that right?	
8	A. That's correct.	
9	Q. But you know that as part of the facilities	
10	budget committee, that Dale Whittaker was given	
11	information at least at least monthly?	
12	A. He was given that information, yes.	
13	Q. And that information related specifically to the	ıe
14	carry-forward of E&G is that right?	
15	A. That's correct.	
16	Q. And Dale Whittaker was at least monthly given	
17	spreadsheets showing the projects that were funded by	
18	E&G?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And that would include Trevor Colbourn Hall?	
21	A. It would.	
22	Q. You were asked whether Dale Whittaker diligentl	-Y
23	pursued his job as provost. He was also chief budget	
24	officer, wasn't he?	
25	A. Yes, he was.	

1	Q. And would it be accurate to say that when it came
2	to UCF, he immediately began staking out his territory
3	as being the person in charge of budget matters?
4	A. That would be accurate.
5	Q. Did he play a role in forming the facilities
6	budget committee?
7	A. He did form that.
8	Q. And did he have Tracy Clark act as his direct
9	report to give him information about the funding of
10	projects?
11	A. He did.
12	Q. And would that have included Trevor Colbourn
13	Hall?
14	A. It would.
15	Q. Now, in your what was your title while you
16	were at UCF?
17	A. I was an associate vice president responsible for
18	facilities and safety.
19	Q. Did you as part as was your job essentially
20	to oversee the projects that were being built and
21	renovations that were doing done at UCF?
22	A. It was to oversee the facilities, whether it was
23	design, engineering, construction, operations,
24	maintenance, sustainability, and the safety of those
25	facilities.



1	Q. Did you have the authority to allocate funds to
2	your department?
3	A. I did not allocate funds to facilities and
4	safety, no.
5	Q. Did you have any authority to designate the funds
6	that were used for Trevor Colbourn Hall?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you play any role did you decide to use
9	E&G funds to construct Trevor Colbourn Hall?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Were you asked for any input before that decision
12	was made to use funds for Trevor Colbourn Hall?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Did you give any input?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Were you ever after the decision who made
17	the decision to use E&G carry-forward to fund Trevor
18	Colbourn Hall?
19	A. I would say the president made that decision in
20	consultation with the CFO.
21	Q. Did anyone at UCF ever ask you to conceal the
22	fact that E&G funds were used to construct Trevor
23	Colbourn Hall?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Was the fact that E&G funds were used to
ORANO	GELEGAL Orange Legal

ORANGELEGAL

1	construct Trevor Colbourn Hall reported internally
2	throughout various departments throughout UCF?
3	A. It was.
4	Q. Was it reported in the same manner that other
5	projects being conducted by UCF were reported?
6	A. It was.
7	Q. Was there anything done to change the method of
8	reporting so that the funding source could be in any way
9	concealed?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you ever try to conceal anything connected
12	with Trevor Colbourn Hall?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Were you ever instructed to conceal anything
15	connected with Trevor Colbourn Hall?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Do you know of anyone else who was instructed to
18	conceal anything connected with Trevor Colbourn Hall?
19	A. No, I don't.
20	Q. Now, you were asked about whether Dale Whittaker
21	gave information to the board of trustees.
22	Do you recall that?
23	A. Been a long interview. No, I don't recall.
24	Q. I'm going to show you a couple of emails dated in
25	March of 2017 and ask you to look at those for just a

1 second. 2 And here is one from May of 2016. 3 Do you recall that the department that Tracy Clark headed gathered information for Dale Whittaker 4 concerning the funding of the projects that were ongoing 5 at UCF in 2015? 6 7 Α. Yes, they did. And did the projects for which the department 8 0. headed by Tracy Clark gathered the information include 9 10 the funding source for Trevor Colbourn Hall? 11 Yes, it did. Α. 12 And was Dale Whittaker provided with information 0. 13 that specifically showed the funding source for Trevor Colbourn Hall being E&G? 14 15 Α. He was. 16 And that was on multiple reports that were given 0. to him; is that right? 17 18 That's correct. Α. And was it Dale Whittaker who -- was he invested 19 0. 20 with the responsibility to report the funding sources 21 for the capital projects being undertaken at UCF to the 22 board of trustees? 23 He was, as the university budget officer. Α. 24 0. In 2016 didn't he specifically gather information so that he could tell the trustees about the funding 25

ORANGELEGAL

1	sources for all the capital projects?
2	A. He did. This was in preparation for a
3	presentation he was giving to the trustees.
4	Q. And at the time he before he gave that
5	presentation, was he provided with information that
6	specifically showed that the funding source for Trevor
7	Colbourn Hall was E&G?
8	A. It showed that it was internally funded.
9	Q. And specifically on the on the reports in your
10	hands by the way, of those has nothing on it, doesn't
11	it?
12	A. Yes, it does.
13	Q. Whose handwriting is that?
14	A. That appears to be Dr. Whittaker's handwriting.
15	Q. And he's circling and making notes about funding
16	sources for Trevor Colbourn Hall?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. And then after he did that, he gave a
19	presentation to the board of trustees?
20	A. It does appear that it's after this, yes.
21	Q. And would you expect that if Dale Whittaker was
22	fulfilling his duties as the chief budget officer, that
23	he would accurately report everything he knew to the
24	board of trustees?
25	A. He should do that, yes.

ORANGELEGAL

1	Q. And if he didn't do that, whose fault would that
2	be never mind.
3	He had the information available to him to make
4	an accurate report, didn't he?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Now, you were asked about Marcos Marchena. He
7	was chair of the facilities and finance committee; is
8	that right?
9	A. He was.
10	Q. And was he a a potted plant who sat around and
11	didn't ask questions at the meetings where you attended
12	where he was present
13	A. No.
14	Q and in charge?
15	A. He directed those meetings.
16	Q. And you were asked, did he prepare for the
17	meetings and gather information in connection with
18	those.
19	Do you recall that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Isn't it true that at least 30 days ahead of
22	every board meeting, that Marcos Marchena and the other
23	trustees were provided with a package that gave them
24	information about the things that they were going to
25	consider during the upcoming board meeting?
1	

ORANGELEGAL

1	A. As a matter of course, those packages were
2	provided 30 days prior.
3	Q. And when the thing that was going to be
4	considered was a project that was being funded, would
5	that include information related to the funding sources
6	for that particular project?
7	A. The board packets?
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. They if it were under the the the things
10	that we provided, yes.
11	Q. And did the board members have the opportunity
12	of in advance of and during the meetings to ask
13	questions?
14	A. Yes, they did.
15	Q. Do you know of anyone at UCF who ever hid
16	anything from the trustees concerning Trevor Colbourn
17	Hall?
18	A. I don't.
19	Q. Do you know anyone at UCF who ever hid anything
20	from the trustees or tried to disguise in some way of
21	funding source for any project that was being done at
22	UCF?
23	A. I don't.
24	Q. Was a package that was provided to the trustees
25	in advance of the meetings was that package also

ORANGELEGAL

1	provided to the general counsel?
2	A. That package would normally go through a number
3	of of parties. It would go through finance and
4	accounting if there was finance on it. It would go
5	through the CFO. It would go through the vice president
6	in charge of government affairs. It would go to the
7	chief audit officer. And it would go to general counsel
8	prior to the to going to the trustees.
9	That is my understanding.
10	Q. And with respect to the Trevor Colbourn Hall
11	project, would information have went through each of
12	those departments that included information concerning
13	the funding source for the project?
14	A. It's my understanding, yes.
15	Q. And so Scott Cole, the general counsel, would
16	have had information showing that E&G was used to
17	construct Trevor Colbourn Hall?
18	A. Yes, and he also would have had it as part of the
19	university budget committee.
20	Q. And did Scott Cole readily attend the university
21	budget committee?
22	A. Yes, he did.
23	Q. And was carry-forward the balance
24	carry-forward and the demand to spend that down that
25	were being relayed by the state to UCF, were they the

ORANGELEGAL

subject of discussion at those meetings? 1 2 My understanding was that's a regular agenda Α. 3 item. Did Scott Cole or anyone from the general 4 0. counsel's office ever tell y'all that it was wrong to 5 use E&G to construct the new building? 6 7 Α. No. Did Scott Cole or anyone from the general 8 0. counsel's office ever tell y'all about BOG Regulation 9 10 9.009? 11 Α. No. 12 Did anyone from the general counsel's office ever 0. 13 tell you that there were any -- they had any concerns of 14 that -- or that there was anything wrong with the way that Trevor Colbourn Hall was being funded? 15 16 Α. No. If you had thought that there was something 17 ο. illegal or in direct violation of the statute of rule 18 19 concerning Trevor Colbourn Hall, would you have reported 20 that? I absolutely would have. 21 Α. 22 You, in fact, throughout your tenure at UCF, 0. 23 reported things that you thought were wrong when they 24 came to your attention, didn't you? 25 I did. And changes were often made because of Α.

ORANGELEGAL

1 it.

-	
2	Q. There's been much ado made about some of the
3	reports that your office prepared that said the trustees
4	don't say E&G, they say UCF internal funds, nonrecurring
5	funds, and similar terms.
6	Were those funds were those funds used in any
7	way to disguise the fact that E&G was used to fund
8	Trevor Colbourn Hall?
9	A. No. It said internal funds. That's what we
10	knew. Because we don't control fund sources. All we
11	knew was that the university was funding it. So we
12	would have said university funds or internal funds.
13	Q. Is there anyone who could possibly know about the
14	finances of of UCF the way that the chairman of
15	facilities and finance committee should, the way a chief
16	budget officer should?
17	Is there any way they possibly could not
18	understand that the term "carry-forward" included E&G?
19	A. Not if they were doing their jobs, no.
20	Q. Because isn't it true that carry-forward was
21	composed primarily of leftover E&G?
22	A. I believe so, yes.
23	Q. And so the lingo that y'all used internally
24	nonrecurring funds, UCF funds, carry-forward were all
25	of those funds used by y'all to to with the

understanding, at least as you understood it, to include 1 2 E&G? 3 Α. Yes. And do you think that the persons that you had 4 0. conversations with using those terms had a similar 5 6 understanding? I do. 7 Α. The audit ding you were in -- in a meeting where 8 0. Bill Merck told President Hitt that there may be an 9 10 audit comment or an audit ding, something of that 11 nature; is that right? 12 Α. Correct. Yes. 13 Q. Now, when that was said, did Bill Merck tell President Hitt or did President Hitt tell Bill Merck or 14 did anyone say that they thought they were going to 15 receive an audit ding because they were violating a rule 16 17 or statute? 18 Α. No. 19 Did either of them, Dr. Hitt or Mr. Merck, 0. 20 indicate that they thought they were doing something 21 illegal? 22 Α. No. 23 Did you think they were doing something illegal? 0. 24 Α. No. Did you feel that there was an emergency that 25 Q.

ORANGELEGAL

1	justified the action they were taking?
2	A. I did.
3	Q. Was there an emergency at Trevor Colbourn Hall?
4	A. There was.
5	Q. Why? Or at the old Colbourn Hall. Why?
6	A. I had the engineers do the reports. The
7	engineers said to me, "You might be able to get by with
8	this building for a couple of more years. I can tell
9	you your building is standing, but I can't tell you how
10	it's standing. And in a strong wind, I would evacuate."
11	Q. When the engineers told you that you had about
12	two years, did they tell you you had about two years
13	before the building fell?
14	A. They did.
15	Q. And how long would it take to construct a new
16	building?
17	A. It would take me that long to design and
18	construct a new building.
19	Q. Now, going back to the audit ding comment, was it
20	uncommon for UCF to receive audit comments?
21	A. No. I think we often invited audit in. I
20	
22	invited audit into my mix because that was the way that
22	invited audit into my mix because that was the way that I would learn how we could do things better. And there
23	I would learn how we could do things better. And there



	A, FRISCILLA
1	might take an audit ding, but we're going to do it
2	anyway. I think UCF saw it as we're either going to
3	disagree with this and we'll you know, we need to
4	know about it. We'll disagree with it. Or we'll see it
5	as a way to improve.
6	Q. So audit dings were not uncommon?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Would you agree with the statement that the fact
9	that somebody thinks there's going to be an audit ding
10	does not mean that they think that their actions would
11	be found to be illegal?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And were the fact that somebody said there's
14	going to be an audit ding, did that, to you, profess an
15	understanding that, Hey, we're going to get looked at
16	very closely here and we're going to have to explain?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You didn't you were asked about the allocation
19	of E&G funds to various departments.
20	You didn't have the authority to allocate those
21	funds, did you?
22	A. No.
23	Q. I think you testified you did not even know that
24	the PO&M funds you were allocated originated from E&G?
25	A. I didn't. I was always told those were PO&M

ORANGELEGAL

funds. 1 2 Q. You testified about conversations with Chris 3 Kinsley. He's from the board of governors, isn't he? 4 Α. He is. He's a staff member? 5 Ο. 6 Α. He's staff. He was a liaison between UCF and the state as 7 0. well as other universities in the state? 8 9 As well as others, yes. Α. 10 0. And did he give you conflicting guidance on the permissible uses of the funds that were allocated to 11 12 UCF? 13 Α. He did. On some occasions, he would say, yes, 14 can do that. On other occasions, he would say, no, you can't spend it for that. On some occasions, he said it 15 16 was our decision. And every time I asked for guidance, 17 there was no quidance. 18 Did you ask for written guidance? 0. 19 Α. I did. 20 Did they refuse to give it to you? Q. I don't know if it was a refusal, but they never 21 Α. 22 did give it to us. 23 If you had had written guidance that clearly told 0. 24 you about Regulation 9.009 or otherwise told you that the use of E&G for Trevor Colbourn Hall might not be 25



1	appropriate, would you have reported that somewhere?
2	A. I would have followed it and I would have
3	reported it.
4	Q. Were the trustees without going through all
5	the detailed information but the slides and
6	everything that were attachments to letters that I sent
7	to the board of governors on a couple of occasions, did
8	you have a chance to review those?
9	A. Excuse me?
10	Q. Did you review the slides and other information
11	that were attached to letters I sent to the board of
12	governors?
13	A. Yes, I did.
14	Q. That reported that included some of the
15	information that was given to the trustees about Trevor
16	Colbourn Hall?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Were the trustees specifically given information
19	that showed that E&G was used to construct Trevor
20	Colbourn Hall?
21	A. They were.
22	Q. Would one of the instances where they were given
23	that information include when Marcos Marchena, during a
24	transcribed meeting in September of 2014, was expressly
25	told that carry-forward was being used for Trevor

1 Colbourn Hall?

2 A. He was.

Q. Is there any -- knowing Marchena and what he knew in your other discussions with him, is there any way that he could not have known that the carry-forward being used to construct TCH included E&G?

7 A. No.

8 Q. I'm just briefly, on the Burby report, you were 9 asked about whether you disagreed with it. Let me just 10 ask you a few quick questions so we can wrap this up.

11 Do you believe the report of Joseph Burby 12 downplays his stint of Whittaker's knowledge and 13 participation in the Trevor Colbourn Hall project? 14 A. It definitely does.

Q. Does the Burby report inherently contradict
itself when it uses a letter from Tracy Clark to
attribute a guilty mind to Bill Merck, yet absolves
Whittaker, who was also copied on that same email?
A. He was on the same email, yes.

Q. Does Joseph Burby's report at all reference
Whittaker's handwriting on the E&G budget carry-forward
that's attached to one of the emails I showed you
earlier?

A. It does not.

25

Q. And you know that he had that because I sent that

ORANGE LEGAL

1 to him before the report was prepared? 2 Α. He had it. 3 0. Does Joseph Burby's report reflect a misunderstanding of how the terms carry-forward and 4 nonrecurring funds and UCF internal funds were used 5 interchangeably with E&G? 6 I believe it does. 7 Α. As a person who was fired as a result of the 8 0. Burby report, do you think it was fair that Marcos 9 10 Marchena, who was within scope of the possible targets 11 of the investigation, drafted the scope of the 12 investigation for Joseph Burby? 13 Α. I have stated on a number of occasions that it 14 wasn't right or fair. As a person who was fired as a result of the 15 0. 16 Burby report, do you think it's fair that Scott Cole, who is called "the boy" to Dale Whittaker, had a chance 17 to review and revise that report before it was 18 19 finalized? It was not fair. 20 Α. Switching gears. The capital outlay budgets and 21 Q. 22 the capital improvement plans, there's been mention of 23 those in the Burby report and questions here today. 24 Did you in any way try to conceal or disguise or hide anything that was a subject of those reports when 25

ORANGELEGAL

1 you reviewed them? 2 No, I didn't. Α. 3 Did you instruct anyone who worked under you to 0. hide or use those to disguise anything? 4 5 A. No, I didn't. And anyone working for me, even now, not working for me, would tell you that I have 6 always told them to tell the truth. 7 8 And did you fill out those forms to the best of 0. your ability --9 10 Α. Yes. 11 -- as you understood them? 0. 12 Did -- by the way, did Dr. Hitt or Bill Merck 13 review those forms? 14 Α. They did. After they were done? 15 0. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Did they instruct you -- either of them instruct 18 you as to what to put on the forms or to change anything 19 on them, to your knowledge? No. And nor did Scott Cole, our general counsel, 20 Α. who also reviewed them. 21 22 You said that Whittaker told you that he had been 0. 23 coached as to how to respond to the investigation; is that right? 24 25 I said that he had -- yes, that he had been Α.

Orange Legal

800-275-7991

ORANGELEGAL

1	coached as to how to respond to what had come out from
2	the auditor, yes.
3	Q. And he when he said that he had been coached,
4	he said that he was initially going to tell the
5	investigators that there was a sick building and that
6	UCF was trying their best to take care of it. Is that
7	what he said?
8	A. Along those lines, yes.
9	Q. And you believe do you believe if he had said
10	that, that would have been the truth?
11	A. Yes, I do.
12	Q. But he said he had been coached to say something
13	else?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. He had been coached to to blame other people
16	rather than the sick building?
17	A. I agree.
18	Q. Is that what is that what he said?
19	A. I believe that what he said was that he had
20	been coached to admit wrong, to say that our CFO took
21	full credit to admit wrong, to pretty much let the
22	university take its licks to to show that he was
23	going to be open and transparent.
24	Q. Did he ever tell you who had coached him to tell
25	this story?

ORANGELEGAL

Γ

1 Α. He didn't. 2 Part of the coaching was that he downplayed the 0. 3 emergency that existed because of Trevor Colbourn? 4 Α. That is correct. And the emergency that the Burby report also 5 ο. 6 downplays? 7 That is correct. Α. 8 Have you been forthright here today? 0. I absolutely have. 9 Α. 10 Q. Have you been forthright throughout this 11 investigation? 12 Yes, I have. Α. 13 Q. Do you feel you were wrongfully terminated? 14 Α. I do. 15 0. Why? 16 Every day I have done the best I can do with what Α. I have to work with. I have saved the university 17 millions of dollars. When we start talking about 18 19 taxpayer money, I have saved the university millions of 20 dollars through efficiencies, through energy savings 21 measures, through cost avoidance in contracting, through 22 any number of -- of measures. We've made that an 23 efficient organization. We know that we have additional areas that we 24 25 need to work on. We tried to work on those. Some of

ORANGELEGAL

them we work on with -- without the funds to be able to 1 2 do that. 3 I have a number of employees and my direct reports, who are the best and brightest because I have 4 5 hired the best and brightest and allow them to do their 6 jobs. I believe that I was scapegoated by Marcos 7 Marchena and now Dale Whittaker with him. Dale because 8 9 he was put in place by Marcos Marchena. The very 10 trustees who aren't being investigated and who are leading the course of the investigation are the ones who 11 12 put Dale in place, and who also were operating outside 13 of the sunshine and putting him in place. The general counsel, who also should be 14 investigated, is -- is providing -- is selecting the law 15 firm that's doing the investigation, is corresponding 16 17 with the outside investigator through -- through the 18 process. 19 And so people who should have been investigated 20 are: Dale Whittaker, driving the investigation; Scott Cole, leading the investigators; the trustees, who 21 22 are -- who do know are all covering themselves by 23 scapegoating people at lower levels. And so I do believe that it's wrongful 24 25 termination. The report in there nowhere says that I

ORANGELEGAL

1	was guilty of misconduct, and yet that's what they have
2	said, that they are firing me for misconduct. They then
3	also gave me the opportunity to resign or go to
4	predetermination hearing, which means that it's not yet
5	settled, and yet my name is all over the media. And
6	Dale Whittaker is further saying horrible things about
7	the four of us.
8	And I have not even gone to predetermination yet.
9	Q. Let's just make that clear, and then I'll stop.
10	You were given the option to either be fired for
11	misconduct or to resign so that the misconduct would not
12	be on your record; isn't that right?
13	A. They said I could resign and it would not go on
14	any of my records, or I could go to predetermination
15	hearing.
16	Q. And then you were given five days to make that
17	decision, weren't you?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And then the very same day the university,
20	through Dale Whittaker, announced publicly that you and
21	the three others who were fired were fired for
22	misconduct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. That was before you had the opportunity to weigh
25	that decision of the five days that had purportedly been



1	given to you?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Is there anything else you want to say to the
4	investigators?
5	A. (Shakes head.)
6	MR. GREENE: That's all I have, Don.
7	MS. MITZ: Don stepped out because he had a
8	meeting. So I'm the lone soldier left.
9	Madam Court Reporter, I think for this time we
10	can say that the depo has been concluded and we can
11	go off the record.
12	(Whereupon, Exhibit 7 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	(Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
17	1:48 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	THE STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
4	
5	
б	I, the undersigned authority, certify that PRISCILLA LEE
7	KERNEK personally appeared before me and was duly sworn
8	on the 12th day of February, 2019.
9	
10	
11	Signed the 1st of August, 2018.
12	
13	
14	Jazzmin Alicea Musrati JAZZMIN ALICEA MUSRATI, RPR
15	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No. FF984627
16	My Commission Expires: April 21, 2020
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
ORANG	GELEGAL Orange Legal 800-275-7991

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	THE STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
5	
6	I, JAZZMIN ALICEA MUSRATI, RPR, certify that I was
7	authorized to and did stenographically report the
8	^ deposition ^ sworn statement ^ examination under
9	oath ^ statement of PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK, Pages 1
10	through 188; that a review of the transcript was
11	requested; and that the transcript is a true and
12	complete record of my stenographic notes.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,
14	attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a
15	relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
16	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially
17	interested in the action.
18	
19	DATED the 1st of August, 2018.
20	
21	Jazzmin Alicea Musrati JAZZMIN ALICEA MUSRATI, RPR
22	
23	
24	
25	
ORANC	GELEGAL Orange Legal 800-275-7991

186

1			RATA SHEET
2		RE:	PT-ENTER CHANGES HERE UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA INVESTIGATION
3	DAT	'E: ONENT:	02/12/2019
4		ONEN I ·	PRISCILLA LEE KERNER
5	PAGE NO. LINE N	IO. CORRE	CTION & REASON
6		<u></u>	
7			
8			
9			
10		<u></u>	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			ry, I declare that I have read nd that the facts stated in it
22	are true."	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ina chiat the facts stated in It
23			
24	DATE		PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK
25			
ORANO	ELEGAL		e Legal 75-7991

187

1	02/15/2019				
2	PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK c/o Charles M. Greene, Esq.				
3	Charles M. Greene, P.A. 55 East Pine Street				
4	Orlando, Florida 32801				
5	In Re: 02/12/2019, Deposition of PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK				
б	Dear PRISCILLA LEE KERNEK:				
7	This letter is to advise that the transcript for the				
8	above-referenced deposition has been completed and is available for review. Please contact our office at				
9	(800)275-7991 to make arrangements for read and sign or sign below to waive review of this transcript.				
10	It is suggested that the review of this transcript be completed within 30 days of your receipt of this letter.				
11	<pre>completed within 30 days of your receipt of this let as considered reasonable under Federal Rules*; howev there is no Florida Statute to this regard. The original of this transcript has been forwarded</pre>				
12					
13	the ordering party and your errata, once received, will be forwarded to all ordering parties for inclusion in				
14	the transcript.				
15	Sincerely,				
16					
17	JAZZMIN A. MUSRATI, RPR Orange Legal, Inc.				
18	cc: CHARLES M. GREENE, Esquire CARINE L. MITZ, Esquire				
19	Waiver:				
20	I,, hereby waive the reading and signing of my deposition transcript.				
21	or my deposition transcript.				
22					
23	Deponent Signature Date				
24	*Federal Civil Procedure Rule 30(e)/Florida Civil Procedure Rule 1.310(e)				
25	FIOCEGUIE RUIE I.JIU(E)				

Index: \$1.98..account

Exhibits	\$85 140:22 142:3 \$9 114:21	18 89:15 96:5,10 98:8,13 142:20,23 143:20	27 96:21 142:1227th 114:19	7
021219_P.Kernek_ Exhibit 01 3:10	0	19 148:22 149:3	3	7 57:14 92:12 142:19 184:12
40:14	003 40:11	1:00 60:4	3 45:12 53:23 59:8	
021219_P.Kernek_ Exhibit 02 3:11	003 40.11	1:48 184:17	77:1	8
57:10	1	2	30 20:6 83:2 167:21	8 184:14
021219_P.Kernek_	1 40.14 45.10 12		168:2	80,000 97:2
Exhibit 03 3:12 77:1	1 40:14 45:10,12 92:5,6,7	2 42:2,3 57:10 89:16	300,000 44:22	83,000 136:8
021219_P.Kernek_	1/2 92:12	20 87:18 96:6,25 97:1	30th 141:20	
Exhibit 04 3:13	10 29:11 89:15 136:8	200 140:16	31 96:9	9
94:6	10,000 59:18	2007 6:11 158:6	35 20:6	9 115:11
021219_P.Kernek_	100 37:23	2013 79:5 113:6	37 102:3 142:12	9.007 27:2
Exhibit 05 3:15 102:7	10:54 57:8	2014 16:18 28:7,16	38 88:11 135:1	9.009 170:10 175:24
021219_P.Kernek_	10:57 57:9	64:17 88:17 93:24	4	90-second 57:5
Exhibit 06 3:16	11 149:10,11	96:24 97:1 114:19 130:9 131:4 144:16		9:39 4:3
119:3	116 140:16	146:23 147:11 148:8	4 50:24 51:1 94:6 140:6 149:9,10	
021219_P.Kernek_ Exhibit 07 3:18	12 28:6 149:11,12	176:24	40 20:7	A
184:12	12:42 133:7	2015 16:18 27:24 28:9 64:18 99:11	48 104:6	a.m. 4:3 57:8
021219_P.Kernek_	12:45 133:8	102:2 106:1 110:9,	40 104.0	aback 125:6
Exhibit 08 3:19 184:14	13 28:6 76:23	12 111:25 125:24 132:10 136:3,4	5	abandon 145:12
	130 142:18	140:15 165:6	5,100,07	abilities 154:9
\$	135-6 97:3	2016 88:17 96:23 137:4 145:25 152:20	5 102:3,7	ability 152:2 179:9
\$1.98 151:9	14 17:6 96:1,20	157:4 145:25 152:20	50 144:12	aboard 29:25
\$15 149:3	113:6 147:4 148:13	2017 21:24 68:23	50-something-page 126:13	absolutely 86:17
\$17,000 50:20	146 140:19,20	92:3 98:14 99:12 121:3 164:25	50-year 144:13	125:16 158:18
\$18 142:20	15 17:6 27:13 96:5 113:6 126:19 134:23	2018 98:13 104:3	500 20:5	170:21 181:9
\$19 148:10	137:3 146:4 149:1	2010 98.13 104.3 2019 158:7	500,000 140:6	absolve 73:25
\$20 96:21	15th 130:11	2019 136.7 20th 103:4 146:4	51 118:25 119:2	absolves 177:17
\$260 113:24 142:1 143:11	16 51:17 87:20 95:25 96:20 113:6 143:20	22 96:9 97:15	52 118:25 119:2,7 134:21,23 149:1	abused 58:7 academic 30:5,10
\$29 115:11	16-'17 87:18	23 96:10 98:8,13	150:5	academics 151:2
\$38 85:17 134:17	164 140:21	25 96:8 106:1 128:9	55 120:6	academy 78:8,20
\$5 45:8	17 89:5 93:23 95:25	26 128:9	5:00 60:4	access 64:24 112:25
\$500,000 89:12	96:8 97:15 113:6	26.8-gross-square- feet 152:22	6	accompany 62:3
\$6,500 43:14	17,000 50:25 51:1	260 143:4		accomplish 80:6
\$75,000 41:11,14	17th 134:25	262,704 44:24	6 45:12 118:24 119:3	account 37:9,22,24 39:13 40:3 58:25



138:17,23 139:1,2	admin 30:24 31:5	allowed 23:4,9	61:25 156:13	177:22
ccountable 86:15	37:7	34:20 37:25 40:3 43:5 138:10 141:12	approached 33:19	attachments 134:2
ccounting 21:21	administration 7:23 44:25 57:21	amazing 151:6	appropriation	176:6
37:7 39:21,24 82:21 104:15,16,24 112:12	76:13 91:14 142:23	amend 109:12	99:12,23	attempt 56:14 64:1
139:5 169:4	admit 124:17 180:20,21	amended 26:7,8	approval 87:25 88:4,19,22 99:9,15	attend 14:7,10 31:10,12 169:20
ccounts 38:18	ado 171:2	amendment 108:1	approvals 88:18	attended 14:9,13
ccreditation		139:13	approve 89:10	167:11
44:16,20	adopted 26:12 87:19 93:24 98:14	amendments 90:22	99:22	attention 24:8,10
ccumulated 38:16	advance 168:12,25	107:9,17,20,23 108:4	approved 28:7	40:8 57:3,13 76:22 78:15 118:24 119:6
ccurate 49:9 68:4 100:12,17 162:1,4	advise 17:13 75:17	America 25:19	56:23 72:11 87:12 89:3 90:5 94:2	170:24
167:4	advised 28:14 83:19	amount 44:12 97:3	99:11,17 131:5	attorney 5:23
ccurately 100:5	91:20 92:11	113:9 152:18	145:20,23	attorneys 26:17
160:6,10 166:23	advising 75:18	amounts 113:4	approving 63:9 87:15 101:5 127:14	33:25 107:19 114:1 159:25
ccusing 62:24	154:22	analyses 48:1,2,5	approximating 5:9	attribute 177:17
Acorn 78:9	affairs 79:8 82:22 105:2 169:6	145:4	April 130:9,11 136:3	audi 155:8
et 11:20 19:23 99:12,13,24 151:3	affect 19:24	analysis 123:1,7 and/or 6:15 12:24	140:15 147:4,11	audible 4:24
162:8	affidavit 62:3 156:7	94:13	apt 20:16	audio 64:12
acted 82:13	affirm 4:6	announced 69:6	architect 13:20 129:6	audio-visual 64:21
acting 29:8	affirmed 4:12	183:20		audit 16:23 17:3,18
action 159:11 173:1	afternoon 59:5,8	annual 22:1 82:16 89:22,23 118:1	architectural 129:5 149:20	19:23 20:3 23:24 24:3,5,8,18 34:7
actions 80:21,23 174:10	agenda 15:25 84:14	annually 158:9	area 14:12 24:10	35:2,5,11,16 39:8
activities 38:19	123:15,16 132:1 170:2	answering 148:3	29:13 48:5 89:17	67:12 75:20 82:23 83:20 105:3 116:7,
52:19 110:24 121:17		answers 62:12,16	areas 24:4,7,10 26:13 68:14 181:24	16 155:4,10 169:7
actual 10:6	agree 27:19 80:16 157:1,9,11 174:8	156:16 160:17,20,21		172:8,10,16 173:19
add 127:4 136:5	180:17	anymore 98:21	arms 23:2 152:12	20,21,22,24 174:1,0
153:14 157:11	agreed 60:12 80:17,	apiece 20:7	aspect 28:11	audited 13:25 24:1
dded 85:10,11	20 145:17	apologizing 126:2	assist 106:4	auditor 34:24 35:6
98:12 102:25 108:18 109:14,22,24 142:20	ahead 16:2 33:15,17 62:23 79:21 167:21	apparently 114:22	assistance 20:16,25 22:6	52:6 54:3 105:6
adding 91:6	airport 70:12	appeared 14:22	associate 7:1 162:17	114:23 115:19,20 124:9 180:2
addition 40:19 51:2	Alex 14:16	15:14 65:23 74:6,7	assuming 73:11	auditor's 86:1
dditional 5:25 20:8	allocate 163:1,3	94:4	assumptions 54:11,	auditors 34:24
54:25 55:12 101:14	174:20	appears 74:9 79:19 166:14	13,15 76:19 123:6	115:13,21
181:24	allocated 39:2 90:4	applicable 26:1	athletic 25:5,17	audits 24:6,16
ddress 33:25 50:2	114:22 174:24 175:11	73:14 158:23	athletics 23:7,23	173:24
66:15,16,25 130:25	allocation 112:6	applied 55:7	25:22 34:17,18,21	August 54:25 105:9
ddressed 29:5 57:19,20 61:19	114:3 126:8,23,24	apply 45:17 69:15	38:12 103:13 117:9	
adds 99:1	153:18 174:18	appreciated 25:9	attached 60:20 134:22 176:11	authenticate 62:3
iuus 77.1		appreciated 25:9	134.22 1/0.11	authority 18:19



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA'S USE OF EDUCATION AND GENERAL FUNDS KERNEK, PRISCILLA Index: authorization..buildings

	L		Index. a	lutionzationbuildings
19:2,6 39:4 81:8	background 57:25	31:6 34:14 42:9,10	boiler 139:18,20	63:23 64:1,3 68:10
89:10,13,16 103:9	58:4	55:3 63:21 70:20	boilers 41:13	72:19 73:5 80:9
163:1,5 174:20	bad 139:21	71:7,11 74:5,8 78:16 80:19 90:9 91:25	bond 72:23	82:13,17,18 84:9,15 87:4,15 88:7 89:23,
authorization 88:16,17 150:24	balance 40:3 169:23	92:2 93:5 102:20	bonds 100:17	24 118:1,7 119:21,
authorize 89:3	Balfour 139:16	121:16 146:19 172:9,13,14 177:17	books 80:12	25 120:3,13,19,21,24 121:2,10,17 144:20
101:22	band 44:14,15	179:12		148:25 149:3,19,23
authorized 13:18	bank 58:25	Bishop 18:21	boss 12:21,24 13:4, 10 155:5	151:11,17,19 152:5 161:10,23 162:3,6
18:7,10 27:3 71:20	Barnes 10:5	bit 8:10 16:25 22:15	boss's 21:7	165:23 166:22
autonomy 8:3	bars 121:22	63:10 65:19 82:7 115:4 125:19 141:2	bothered 109:11	169:19,21 171:16 177:21
auxiliaries 52:12,21 53:5	base 80:9 128:11	153:22	bottom 40:12 77:16	budgeted 22:13
	150:3	black 99:1	bought 140:15	
auxiliary 44:11 52:10,11,14,25	based 17:8 42:12 45:2 94:17 101:8,16	blame 180:15	box 69:2	budgeting 21:8,18, 19 22:8,22 30:12
72:23 95:12 104:5 105:19 109:14	115:1 125:13 134:3, 7 140:2 141:21	blocked 81:8	boy 178:17	budgets 30:13 72:15 74:2 82:8 85:24
availability 157:16	144:19,20 150:9	board 10:11,22	brand 23:12 106:4	86:20,22 149:6
avoidance 17:10	153:3	12:18,25 14:5,7,11 18:17 19:4,17 20:13,	brand-new 76:3,5	151:13,14,15 154:25 178:21
181:21	basically 27:2 62:15	22 21:5 56:5 63:14	break 57:5,8 95:21	
avoiding 156:19	basis 140:2	64:12 72:16 83:3,10, 11 85:1 88:16,18,19,	121:23 132:21 133:1,3,7 154:16	build 97:12 129:17, 22,24 144:5 146:22
award 139:23	beam 44:8,13	22 90:19 91:1,11	briefed 16:10 132:2	147:1 152:13,15
aware 13:4 26:25	began 4:3 52:6 69:7	93:3 94:1 96:16,17 97:23 99:6 103:25	133:11	building 12:13,16
27:4 33:10,12,18	121:11 162:2	107:10 108:5 118:4,	briefing 16:6	13:15 28:5,7 29:8,16 44:10,14,15 45:8,11,
49:19 52:4 75:19 85:23,25 91:25	begin 121:9	18 121:21,22 124:15	briefings 16:4	12,13,17 46:3,5,7,14,
99:17 111:18 113:4	beginning 11:7	126:2 129:17 130:10 131:3,22 132:12		22 49:15 50:6 72:15
143:15 157:24	70:14 105:12 156:11	131.3,22 132.12	briefly 75:24 110:8 177:8	76:4,5 78:2 88:7
	begun 126:20	10,24 146:1 147:4,		89:3 92:11,18 93:1, 9,10 94:2 95:1 96:15
В	belief 33:8	16,17,18,19 148:8,15	brightest 182:4,5	97:6,12,13,16,19
		164:21 165:22 166:19,24 167:22,25	bring 26:15,20 43:20	98:4,12 99:11,15,18,
back 10:21,23 32:23	believed 101:8	168:7,11 175:3	58:16,19 71:20	25 100:19,20,24
38:24 41:1,2 42:10 52:5 53:7,9,10,17,25	111:14,19	176:7,11	102:20 129:6 132:11 157:23	101:3,18 107:22 108:13 111:10,14,
54:5 70:9 71:18	benefit 156:5	BOB-1 103:10	bringing 18:7	20,25 124:11 126:4,
78:5,10 79:3,4,6	benefits 136:11	BOB-2 96:15,20,21,		6,16 127:4,12,14,17,
80:4,5,7,21 81:5,22	Beth 10:5	23 98:13 99:3,7	brought 24:9 26:13,	22 128:5,11,25
82:1,9 89:22 99:21 100:2 106:3 111:16,	Bev 20:22 66:3	100:15,18 101:2,13,	16 43:17 48:2 53:4 78:15 106:3 157:12	129:1,18,22 131:4,7 139:19 141:6 142:3
17 114:8 115:16		22 103:10,22 104:4,		143:10 144:9,13
116:21 123:17 125:8	bias 17:24	12 128:8 133:18,19	Brown-neal 53:20 113:2	145:9,13,18 146:7,
126:2 134:21 140:20	bicycles 42:21	BOB-2S 150:13,16		17,23 147:1,8
141:12 145:11 146:22 150:13 155:5	bid 71:22 140:6	BOG 26:3,7 42:5	Bryan 6:13 33:25 60:12,24,25 73:12	149:16,17 150:10 152:21,23 153:1,2
173:19	big 126:13 128:2	66:8,9 75:25 86:13	159:25	170:6 173:8,9,13,16,
backdated 143:21	bigger 122:20	91:9 92:4 101:2,5 110:19,21 112:10,21	budget 21:3,6,10	18 180:5,16
backdating 143:15	123:12	123:21 142:4,9	22:1,9,11,12,25 23:2	buildings 80:14
	Bill 7:22 16:8 17:16	143:4,7 148:10,13	30:7,8,14 31:11,12,	100:22 101:25
backdoor 71:9,12	26:16 27:16 28:14	155:3 170:9	16,19,24 32:19,22	103:23 112:14,16
			33:13 40:6 51:9	129:9,15 141:23



Index: buildout..Colbourn

143:10 146:6	78:3 79:25	60:24,25 73:12	109:12 127:2,3	circulate 84:9
buildout 45:13,18	Cap-ex 21:6,8,10,	159:25	characterized	circulated 84:5
46:4,6,9,12,13 50:5,	11,13,16,17 22:6,22	Cave's 60:12	142:3	clarification 68:2
6,10 51:3	capacity 15:16	caveat 73:17	charge 64:16,17,19	clarified 125:21
buildouts 45:22 46:24	capital 21:2,4,5 22:1	cell 59:17	162:3 167:14 169:6	clarify 22:4
built 47:16 54:8,9	33:10 72:15 75:14, 16 76:9 82:8,13,17,	center 45:5,7 50:4,6	charged 123:10	clarifying 49:9
162:20	18 84:6,11 85:6,24	central 6:10 37:1	chart 119:7	
built-in 150:1	86:19,21,22 87:4,8	44:23 47:21,24 52:5	Chase 29:17,20	Clark 9:5 21:18 22:7 27:7 28:13 30:1 52:8
bunch 112:3 137:8,	89:11,22,23 90:6 93:23 94:4 102:5,16	133:14 143:16	check 133:4	114:19,23 115:18
9,14,15 139:21	104:1,3 108:16	certification 54:1	checked 32:4,8	119:15 159:15 162:8
Burby 34:9 58:6	109:15 119:7 134:24	certified 54:7	chief 10:4,5,21	165:4,9 177:16
60:6 61:13 62:12	141:25 148:21 149:4,7,22 150:14	cetera 72:23	11:17,21 12:21,24	Clark's 64:3
114:14 115:8 177:8, 11,15 178:9,12,16,23	152:6 154:24 165:21	CF 104:5 109:13	13:8 16:5 18:22 64:22 67:12 82:23	clean 66:5,6 90:18
181:5	166:1 178:21,22	CFAUX 105:18	104:20 105:2 109:6	clear 48:18,19
Burby's 177:20	care 180:6	CFO 7:23 82:22	125:7 151:11 161:23	131:12 134:10 183:9
178:3	careful 125:2	104:25 163:20 169:5	166:22 169:7 171:15	clears 49:23
bureaucracy 84:3	carefully 39:7	180:20	chiefs 10:13,20	close 8:5 9:25 20:1
business 37:3,9,20	cares 77:21	chair 13:6 14:4,14,	chilled 44:8,13	59:12
39:15 52:12,13	Carine 16:19 114:8	15,16,17,18 15:9,15 16:15,22 17:11,17,	122:22 123:9	closed 156:18 157:8
58:24 59:12 71:4	carry 40:3	23 18:4,6 19:17	choose 139:24	closely 8:2,4 9:5,7,
138:22 142:7,15		20:19 22:17 63:7	Chris 36:6,15 42:2,	21 10:8,9 11:8,9,10, 14 23:17,23,24
buy 140:24	carry-forward 6:14 16:16 30:17 35:24	70:11 71:17 72:5 75:13,20 96:17,18	5,8,11 43:8 46:6,8 48:9,14 49:20 61:5	174:16
buying 143:1	37:1 44:25 49:14,18,	121:14,19,23 131:5	70:22 71:8 75:25	clusters 45:15,18
	21 52:5 72:12 75:13	132:6 147:5 167:7	76:20 91:19,25 92:7,	co-gen 47:23 122:21
С	105:18 112:3,5,7 121:19 122:8 133:14	chair's 71:3,9	11,22 93:4 94:18 97:22 99:4 100:18	coached 124:14,15,
cabinetry 47:1	135:12,15,16,20	chaired 32:14 64:2	101:8,17,19 109:9	22 125:2,11 179:23
cabinets 148:1	137:7,13,22 138:3,	chairman 171:14	110:21 111:11,13,	180:1,3,12,15,20,24
	16,24 143:17 154:15,18 161:14	chairmanship	16,19 127:15 133:22 151:25 152:8 154:14	coaching 125:12
CAFA 46:9,12 76:12,16	163:17 169:23,24	15:22	175:2	181:2
calendar 102:2,4	171:18,20,24 176:25	chance 8:5 25:7	Christian 78:8,20	coincidence 123:15
	177:5,21 178:4	118:25 120:6 176:8	Christina 9:5 67:11,	Colbourn 6:15 12:9
call 9:23 13:11 46:9 52:8,16,20 76:15,16	carry-forwards 142:10	178:17	20	13:19 16:12,14 25:2,
92:9 126:6 138:25		chancellor 66:10,13	Christy 53:20	3 27:10,11,17 40:20 52:7 53:18 54:8
143:1 156:7	case 8:6 13:1 46:18, 24 47:1	change 39:10 90:25	112:13 159:15	56:22 59:20 61:3
called 11:12 39:16	cash 40:6 122:6,8	91:2,3,4,5,7 104:14,	Chuck 62:6 151:4	65:20 68:5 72:13
67:10 76:12 82:11 126:16 138:24		17,19 110:2 153:13, 15 164:7 179:18	157:10,11	75:21 85:17 87:23, 25 88:19 89:14
145:22 153:17	categories 94:16,23 112:19,21	changed 7:14 26:12,	CIP 103:3,5 104:12	91:13 94:3,13 95:6
178:17	category 94:15,21	23,24 31:2 67:18,19,	116:3	96:21 97:10,13 98:9
calls 156:20	95:6	25 97:3 102:25	CIPS 96:16 97:8	104:4 110:10 111:6, 19 113:21 114:22
campaign 69:1	caught 98:20	104:14 107:24 126:10	circling 166:15	126:4,5,16 130:13,
campus 47:16,20	Cave 6:13 33:25		circular 155:2	14 132:1 134:13
	Cave 0.15 55:25	changing 35:3 67:21		136:1 142:20,24



completing 83:8 confusion 135:9 144:8 146:11.14.16 committed 31:24 32:1 49:8 138:7.9.21 148:11 161:20 completion 46:4 **connected** 164:11. 162:12 163:6,9,12, committee 14:10, 15,18 18,23 164:1,12,15,18 compliance 18:22 14,24 17:21 18:3,18 165:10,14 166:7,16 19:1,5 23:24 58:16 connection 159:19 22:10,11,12,25 67:8,12 128:17 167:17 168:16 169:10,17 30:14 31:11,13,14, 170:15,19 171:8 155:17 15,17,19,24 32:4,8, conscious 5:14 173:3,5 175:25 16,19,22,24 33:13 compliant 116:15 176:16,20 177:1,13 considered 104:1,3 42:18 43:7 56:4 181:3 comply 158:22 136:7 159:5,8 168:4 60:2,4 64:2 72:19 **Cole** 32:14,15,18 73:5 83:1 104:2 component 109:15 consolidated 39:19 34:6,15 56:24 70:21 119:21,25 120:1,3, composed 171:21 constant 127:7 74:19,22 83:19 13,19,21,25 121:3 107:19 109:5 144:14 147:3,5 148:2 compounded 42:21 construct 72:12 169:15,20 170:4,8 151:17,19,24 152:5 76:3 163:9,22 164:1 comprises 39:18 178:16 179:20 157:12,16 161:10 169:17 170:6 182:21 162:6 167:7 169:19, computers 42:22 173:15,18 176:19 21 171:15 177:6 Cole's 26:17 **conceal** 55:22 56:2,3 committees 74:3 constructed 40:19 63:8 163:21 164:11, **college** 23:6 38:12 14.18 178:24 44:23 committing 29:9 construction 6:15 concealed 164:9 7:10 13:17,21 17:13 colleges 23:3,23 communicate 30:23 36:24 37:8,22, 92:12 11:22,23 156:6 concentrated 30:10 24 40:25 42:2,4 communications collusion 140:5 65:14 88:19 117:14 concentrates 13:7 136:19 138:12 106:14 column 85:12,13,15. 144:4,24 146:10 community 158:15 18 108:18,19 concept 127:13,14 149:8 162:23 columns 85:11 companies 139:16 concerned 17:23 consultants 18:23 88:12 119:10 56:1 **company** 18:1,4 129:7 combination 132:8 43:17,18 74:20,22 concerns 67:10 consultation 163:20 139:15 141:22 170:13 combined 28:8 contact 8:12 9:9 38:23 125:25 135:1 **compete** 129:12 conclude 19:11 20:13,16 39:23 136:11 142:22 140:1 58:12 **concluded** 184:10, combining 141:24 competent 148:12 16 contacted 20:19,21, comfort 44:9 132:21 competently 158:20 22 33:19 71:5 conclusions 73:18 133:1 competing 65:8 context 34:3,11 35:5 concurrency comfortable 15:5 116:8 117:14 122:16 106:15 competition 140:3 152:23 157:6 **comma** 67:22 conditions 111:18 complained 70:11 continue 127:3 commended 158:14 conducted 69:1 complaint 65:1 continued 59:23 164:5 comment 34:7 70:10 67:18 75:20 117:6 125:13 conference 76:14 complaints 69:8 172:10 173:19 continuing 64:25 70:16.19 conflicting 154:13 137:21,23 138:2,10, comments 173:20, 175:10 complete 22:22 11,12,13 139:3,17 93:10 126:5 144:11 conformity 128:20 contract 37:16 commission 124:25 completed 110:9 137:23 138:3,6,8,21 confront 67:4,7 **commitment** 32:5 139:11,13 140:11 completely 11:2 confused 68:18 112:4,6 114:2,4

Index: Cole..costly

140:4contractors 138:18 140:1,8 149:24 contracts 17:9

contractor 138:10

77:12 80:25 138:11 139:2,9

contradict 177:15

control 25:23 151:15 171:10

convened 23:1 31:7

conversation 35:12 49:11,12 76:24 77:7 82:12 105:24 115:2, 5,15 116:13 127:7 134:17 136:16

conversations 12:3 13:2,5,10,14 30:3 48:9,11,13,21 49:24 53:15 92:7 109:9 111:11 132:18 133:22 156:20 172:5 175:2

convince 13:21

copied 177:18

copies 59:17 60:17 61:20 75:3 112:22 113:3 156:13

copy 25:7 58:17

correct 9:2,3 22:8 33:21.22 35:7.24 51:7 68:7,10,21 72:4 76:4 94:5,17 97:1 100:3,6 110:18,20 118:2,3 126:14,17 130:19 131:2,14 136:12 137:25 147:6 150:2 158:8 161:8, 15 165:18 166:17 167:5 172:12 174:12 180:14 181:4,7 183:18,23 184:2

corruption 77:22

cost 17:10 18:11 72:2 136:9,13,23 148:22 150:3,6 181:21

costly 146:13

contracting 138:13

181:21



commitments 69:4

124:2 125:4

24

confusing 63:1

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA'S USE OF EDUCATION AND GENERAL FUNDS KERNEK, PRISCILLA Index: costs..discrepancies

developing 105:25 **costs** 12:16 136:19 25 108:22 111:8,17 39:3.11.12.14.22 144:20.24 147:15 40:2 44:16 52:5 127:11 137:4 cut 131:9.10 163:2 165:3,8 development- 50:9 **counsel** 23:24 26:2 **decision** 28:8 45:19 cutting 8:9 32:18 39:8 54:12,17 113:17 116:8,19,20, departments 7:7 CV 67:19,20,25 68:3, 23 126:3 128:22 23:16 24:14 35:21 58:18 60:6 74:16,18 **Diane** 29:20 75:17 78:17,19 10,19 145:12,15 146:5,6, 153:7 164:2 169:12 174:19 79:12 80:25 82:23 22 154:19 163:11, 105:3 154:11,21 16,17,19 175:16 D depending 103:17 149:2 155:4,9,10 159:2 183:17,25 157:16 169:1,7,15 173:25 decisions 24:21,23 daddy 124:25 145:2 179:20 182:14 **depo** 184:10 31:16,20 74:2 daily 30:3 119:18 counsel's 26:9 33:9 105:16 deposition 4:16 55:8 85:21 170:5.9. 61:8 70:15 100:8 **Dale** 9:17 21:18 31:1 declined 24:19 12 156:15 157:5 33:6,8 45:15,19 deferred 85:9 51:3,4,5 65:2 67:18 counseled 55:25 **Depot** 151:9 112:15,16 113:5,9, 73:25 74:13,19 15 counsels 54:12,17 11,14,18,22,23 **describe** 7:24 9:18 117:25 118:14.17 138:24,25 140:15,22 10:25 11:6 15:12 120:2,22 145:20 county 79:7,9 81:11, 141:13,18,24 142:4, 16:24 62:11 136:10 146:9,15,18,19 13,14,18,19 12,19,22 143:5,11 138:5 153:3 161:2,7,10,16, **couple** 33:5 51:14 22 164:20 165:4,12, **Dejesus** 134:23 describing 125:11 66:8,9 119:9 149:24 19 166:21 178:17 152:3 164:24 173:8 delegation 89:9 deserve 69:21 182:8,12,20 183:6, 176.7 20 **deleted** 102:25 design 65:14 128:23 **court** 4:4,24 5:2,12 129:3,4 144:22 dash 85:18 deliver 59:11 60:5,7 184:9 146:9 162:23 173:17 dated 134:25 143:24 delivering 13:15 **cover** 5:17 designate 163:5 164:24 delivery 13:14 71:21 **covered** 125:21 designated 39:13 Dave 20:20,21,23,24 demand 169:24 161:3 21:7,15 22:20 23:9, **covering** 182:22 14 66:4 67:8 demands 153:16 desire 13:18 create 61:7,8 David 147:14 demeanor 62:25 desk 65:10 67:21 created 30:1,9 34:21 day 58:12,14 63:12, 61:9 64:3 74:2 demolish 111:8,20 detail 138:5 155:2 14 121:21 123:15, 145:1,13,17 146:7 credit 63:23 65:24 detailed 176:5 16,20 141:2 181:16 68:4,13,15 180:21 demolishable 183:19 details 55:15 111:15,21 creek 81:3 131:13 **days** 69:6 83:2 determination 23:1 demolishing 146:16 121:22 148:19 Creole 50:19,20,21, determine 41:15 167:21 168:2 24 51:5 demolition 97:19 128:13 42:18 116:8 148:20 183:16.25 98:4 111:12 136:9 critical 106:13 137:3 145:6,7 146:8 determined 31:17 deans 103:15 153:23 cross-examination 41:10 45:15 71:20 demolitioned debt 95:15,16 103:9 157:2,7,22 148:17 111:14 deceived 63:16 **crossed** 109:13 determining 43:19 demonstrate 72:10, 60:19 **December** 107:10. curious 46:3 86:5 18 11,12 108:5 110:2 128:21 133:17 144:7 develop 17:9 39:8 demonstrated 91:10 **decide** 145:1 149:25 current 103:3.5 155:25 163:8 104:1,12 150:3,6 developed 17:20 department 7:19 153:4 107:9 128:12 decided 17:17,23 13:24 17:18 20:4 149:19 18:16 46:15 51:24 curriculum 63:22, 24:19 31:4 35:23

deviated 160:23

difference 40:6

differences 149:5

differently 124:14

difficulty 60:19

digging 54:4 109:20

diligent 151:10,12,

diligently 161:22

ding 34:7 35:2,16 172:8,10,16 173:19 174:1.9.14

dings 174:6

direct 31:6 40:8 57:2 71:21 76:22 90:20, 23 109:4 118:23 123:20,22 127:24 162:8 170:18 182:3

directed 41:17 42:9 108:25 109:7 167:15

directing 86:15

direction 134:8

directions 133:23

directly 30:2 64:4

director 106:3

directorate 39:16

disagree 73:17,18, 23 174:3,4

disagreed 15:5 34:25 35:6 177:9

disappear 98:10

disappoint 142:2,6

discrepancies



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA'S USE OF EDUCATION AND GENERAL FUNDS KERNEK, PRISCILLA Index: discuss..expanded

NERINER, PRISCILLA	N		IIIu	ex. discussexpanded
discuss 27:14 46:6	78:10 80:23,24 81:6	52:5 53:18,25 54:8	element 106:7,9,11,	entail 46:13,23
65:1 151:21,24	89:2,4 109:20,25	56:6,9,16,21 63:9	12,13,16,17,21	enter 138:6
156:15	113:6 119:13,19,20 127:21 136:21 158:1	72:12,23 73:24 75:13 76:4,8 95:11	elements 127:9	entered 115:9
discussed 8:20 28:3,		100:14,20,21,23,24	eleven 32:5	
10 29:2 30:22 70:10,	dollar 139:22 142:12	101:2,6,17,18 105:6		entire 30:6,8 67:25
19,20,21 72:14 88:23 96:16 115:7	dollars 92:13 113:5	109:13,16 115:12	Elliot 77:10,11,24 78:4,6,10,15	134:17
116:4 117:13 121:4	181:18,20	117:13 121:19		entitled 119:7
130:15 148:2 153:4	Don 15:21 119:8	133:18,20 134:1,4,5, 13,17 135:1,13,17,18	email 58:15 59:9	environmental
discussing 29:22	184:6,7	151:22 154:5 155:19	66:15,18,19,23,25 67:1,2 113:7,8	7:16 81:3,4
113:20 122:1	donated 78:12	161:3,14,18 163:9,	134:22 135:24 140:4	equipment 44:18,19
discussion 13:23	donations 95:15,16	17,22,25 165:14	145:19,21 146:3	45:25
29:14 67:14,16 86:8,	100:17	166:7 169:16 170:6	177:18,19	essentially 162:19
10 93:12 109:1		171:4,7,18,21 172:2 174:19,24 175:25	emails 12:6 66:9,10	-
111:5 114:20 122:20	donor 95:1,3	174:19,24 175:25	143:19 152:20	established 144:17
123:12,13,14 125:15	downplayed 181:2	178:6	164:24 177:22	estate 77:13 78:6
128:8,19 131:8,10	downplays 177:12	earlier 20:12 102:15	emergency 7:19	80:25
170:1	181:6	116:13 117:3,24	77:12 172:25 173:3	estimate 141:18
discussions 13:12	downtown 31:25	119:9 126:3 160:13	181:3,5	150:6
16:19 27:9 29:4,24	45:8 47:10,16,21	177:23	Emerging 45:6,7	estimating 5:8
30:4 36:16 61:10 71:16 91:19 122:19	48:12 50:4,5 81:17,	early 10:5 34:13	employed 6:7,12 9:4	ethics 58:16 65:1
134:14 137:1 153:12	19 121:20,25 153:8,	35:9 53:10 123:4	158:6	67:9,12 69:21
177:4	9,13,15,24	152:11		
disguise 56:14	draft 75:3 103:3,5	earnings 137:8,14	employee 66:2	evacuate 173:10
168:20 171:7 178:24	drafted 178:11		employees 65:7,9	evaluate 17:25
179:4	drain 80:8	economic 136:11	182:3	18:17
disseminate 26:6		edit 10:16	employment 6:9	evaluated 158:9
disseminating 63:7	draw 57:13	editing 10:18,19	encompassed 30:6,	event 10:19
-	driving 182:20	edits 10:23	8	exact 116:12
distinction 49:18	dropped 59:9 65:10	educate 35:21 84:25	encumber 138:5	
distributed 35:20	DSO 34:21		encumbering 138:3	EXAMINATION 4:14 158:4
dive 73:16		educated 120:1		
division 38:7,11	DSOS 34:19 117:9, 11	education 78:14	end 5:20 79:2 87:23 89:20 115:15 138:15	examined 4:12
79:13,15 81:1		152:10	141:19 156:9	exchanged 12:6
document 10:20	duct 139:20	educational 103:18	onongy 7.11 14 15	Excuse 176:9
37:5,6 40:17 57:3,14	duly 4:12	152:4	energy 7:11,14,15 38:24 44:8 47:21,24	executive 118:14,15
76:23 89:5,7 93:22	duties 7:6 118:13	effect 34:6 56:21	181:20	
102:3 104:6,7,22	158:17,19 166:22	116:10	engaged 16:2	exemplary 158:13
105:1 108:7 118:24	duty 67:23	effectively 157:7		Exhibit 40:14 57:10
120:6 126:13,18,20 128:2,5,15 135:2,4,		efficiencies 141:10	engineering 123:3 129:5,6 139:18	77:1 94:6 102:7
8,24 147:21 149:3	E	181:20	129:3,6 139:18	119:3 184:12,14
documentation				existed 181:3
70:3	E&g 6:14 27:3,10,22	efficient 147:1 181:23	engineers 48:1 144:22 173:6,7,11	existing 45:7
	28:11 30:23 31:24			exit 86:6,8 105:7,8,9
documents 10:16,17 11:12 12:2,5,6,8,10,	32:5 33:11 34:18	effort 106:5	enrollment-type 50:9	
14 21:1,14 27:15	35:23 36:21 37:1	elaborate 157:22		expand 153:8
40:9 59:7 60:8 64:21	40:18 41:11 42:8 48:21,23 49:18,19	electric 121:20	ensuring 37:21	expanded 120:12
72:10,17 74:7,9 75:2	+0.21,23 47.10,17			
		0		
)RANGE LEGAL		Orange Legal		



Index: expansion..funding

expansion 153:13, 15	143:16 146:9 147:3 151:1,17,19 152:5,	54:13 62:25 111:13 160:13	firing 183:2	forthright 124:3 125:14 181:8,10
expansions 50:21	13,14 153:20 161:9 162:5,18,22,25	figure 44:4 83:15	firm 6:13 17:12 129:6 182:16	Fortier 93:6
expect 63:3 166:21	162:3,18,22,23	104:7 105:15 126:12	firmed 149:20	forward 10:17
expected 144:9	facility 13:22 14:13	129:23 143:14 file 69:8	firms 138:12,13	11:12 12:15 37:19 54:12,16,19 68:1
expenditure 21:6	22:8 41:25 42:7 43:13 64:3 92:10	filed 65:1 68:19 69:9	fiscal 87:18,23	72:1 82:21 87:24
expenditures 21:12	148:10	70:10 143:4 147:25	141:19	99:8 104:15 107:18
34:17	fact 42:12 56:25	filing 147:22,25	fit 43:19 80:9	112:9 136:7
experience 20:15	68:5,9,10 95:6	fill 84:18,20 86:23	fitting 62:16	found 78:7,11 81:3 109:24 110:10
explain 34:11 40:6	163:22,25 170:22 171:7 174:8,13	112:18,20 113:14	five-year 23:2	139:20,21 146:12
61:17 62:17 149:2		133:22 179:8	fix 141:12	149:5,19 174:11
174:16	facts 9:1 157:24	filled 84:22,23	fixing 143:2	fourth 111:24
explained 24:5	faculty 30:6 45:15, 18 127:4 153:4,15,	112:20 153:24		frame 55:2
49:14 85:6 149:15 152:8	22	filling 91:12	flip 40:11 120:5	frames 93:16
explaining 152:6	failing 80:13	final 68:24 112:10	floor 44:20	free 117:20
explanation 43:10	failures 74:14	finalized 178:19	Florida 6:10 66:8	frequent 48:21
•		finance 7:23 14:9,	150:22	-
explicit 148:8	fair 11:5 157:2 178:9,14,16,20	13,15,17,19 18:18	flow 102:16	frequently 56:17
expressed 13:16,17	fairly 35:9	21:21 30:24 31:5	focus 24:3 73:20	Friday 58:14 59:5,8
132:10		37:6,7 39:20,23 44:25 57:21 76:13	folks 23:22 44:20	front 88:7 108:4
expressly 72:11 176:24	falling 41:14	82:20 83:1 104:2,15,	67:19 71:22 146:11	147:21 157:15
	false 63:8,11	16,24 112:12 125:24	follow 15:22 83:10	fudge 113:25
external 19:16 121:1	falsifying 65:2	130:11 131:6 132:3, 5,16 136:4 142:18	129:22	fulfill 158:17,19
extraneous 128:20	familiar 26:1 72:14	147:3 167:7 169:3,4	foot 150:4,9	fulfilling 166:22
	107:25 150:18	171:15	football 69:2	full 14:10 74:12 98:8
extremely 111:1 132:14	fast 5:12 113:13	finances 171:14	forcing 160:21	110:9 131:3 139:5
	faster 122:17,18	financially 48:3	foremost 4:21	144:8 147:4 157:2 180:21
F	fault 167:1	financing 74:6	forest 149:16	fully 37:15 111:18
	feasible 144:19	96:17	form 22:9 41:3	fume 46:17 154:16
facilities 7:2,10 14:9,15,17,19 16:24	February 51:17	find 28:23,25 29:10 32:23 45:1 53:8 54:4	42:17 83:8 86:11,12	
17:12 18:18 21:19	89:4 126:15 128:3	59:4,19,24 74:20	91:9,10 94:11 96:15 112:19 119:9	fund 29:8 31:16 47:25 49:13 87:8
22:9,12,25 23:3,7,13	feed 21:12,13	102:18 109:20 111:6	133:18,19,23 142:20	92:14 93:11 122:17,
31:10,12,16 32:19, 21 37:2,9,20 39:15	feel 5:17 8:21,22	147:22,23 151:2,16	143:4 150:22 152:6	18 163:17 171:7,10
41:21,23 45:18 46:2	63:6 69:20 117:20,	finding 87:7	162:7	funded 31:17 33:10
49:11 63:24 65:14,	21 160:16 172:25	findings 86:1,2	formation 32:3	37:16,25 91:20
19,22 73:14 82:19	181:13	fine 5:5 95:22 122:2	formed 11:19 17:21	134:13 161:17 166:8 168:4 170:15
83:1 92:3 96:17 104:2 106:2,8	feeling 58:7	138:2	64:1,2 78:19	
107:17 117:10	feelings 9:2,12	finish 16:19	forming 162:5	funding 12:13 16:15 17:4 25:5,18 28:14
119:21,24,25	feet 97:2 136:8,24		forms 33:16 86:22	29:16 30:3,5,10,15,
120:19,24 121:2	fell 173:13	finished 64:9	96:21 103:7,8,11	16,22,25 31:1,3,19
125:24 130:12,13 131:6 132:3,5,16	felt 10:18 23:8 34:25	fired 178:8,15 183:10,21	119:11 120:10,12	33:20 34:17 47:14
		1 1 2 2 1 (1 2 1	152:6 179:8,13,18	48:25 49:2,12 51:4,5



Index: funds..Hitt

CERINER, PRISCILLA				
63:13,16,20,23 64:5	gathered 165:4,9	governors 63:15		hear 23:7,10 52:18
72:20 74:2 88:22	-	83:10,12 85:2 90:19	Н	56:20 87:13,14
91:15,24 93:20	gave 37:5 87:16	91:11 97:23 99:6		105:5 113:20 121:18
94:19 95:3,7 100:14,	93:13,15 142:17,18	124:15 175:3 176:7,	H-U-T-S-O-N	160:22
20 101:6,18,25	161:1 164:21 166:4,	12	107:13	heard 22:5 34:5
103:20 104:5,11,21	18 167:23 183:3	governors! 02.4		
106:16,18 107:24	GC 140:3	governors' 93:4	Hall 6:15,16 12:9	54:18 56:24,25 100:11 173:25
108:12 117:9,11	goong 179.01	grasp 136:13	13:20 16:12,14 25:2,	100:11 175:25
119:8,11 120:1,10,	gears 178:21	great 23:8 57:7	3 27:11,12,17 40:20	hearing 183:4,15
12 123:13 130:11,	general 23:24 26:2,8	123:5,25 133:5	52:7 53:18 54:8	heat 38:23
14,17,20,25 131:8,14	32:18 33:9 39:8 55:8	125.5,25 155.5	56:22 59:20,21 61:3	Heat 36.23
134:3,24 135:15	58:17 74:15,18	greatly 156:13	65:20 68:6 72:13	held 6:24 7:3 58:3
136:17 139:8 152:1	75:17 78:17,19	Green 132:24 156:6	75:22 85:17 87:23,	59:13 123:19
155:20 161:2 162:9	79:12 80:24 82:12,		25 88:19 94:3 95:6	helped 101:20
164:8 165:5,10,13,	23 85:21 99:11,12,	GREENE 8:8 57:5	97:11,13 104:4	neipeu 101:20
20,25 166:6,15	23 103:16 105:3	61:12,17,23 62:5,7	110:10 111:6,19	helpful 32:11 108:23
168:5,21 169:13	138:13 140:3	64:9 95:22 132:21	113:21 114:22	111:1 140:23,24
171:11	154:10,21 155:4,9	133:1,5 156:22	126:4,6,16 130:14	157:20
funds 6:14 16:11,16	159:2 169:1,7,15	157:1,20 158:5	142:21 144:8 146:12	helping 19:18 21:9
20:8 27:3,10 29:9	170:4,8,12 173:25	184:6	161:20 162:13	
30:17 31:24 33:11	179:20 182:14	Grindstaff 20:21	163:6,9,12,18,23 164:1,12,15,18	helps 54:20 95:19
34:22 35:20,24	general's 115:20		165:10,14 166:7,16	99:20 128:16 150:12
36:11,23 37:1,8,10,	_	gross 97:2 153:2	168:17 169:10,17	hey 98:24 109:21
11,21 38:1,16,23,25	generally 64:20	ground 4:19	170:15,19 171:8	142:11 174:15
39:10,13 40:18 41:8,	89:22 144:12 158:12		173:3,5 175:25	
18 42:8,15,19 43:5,8	generic 46:21	group 76:11 93:11	176:16,20 177:1,13	hid 168:15,19
44:12 45:17,20 46:8	-	106:7,9,17,21		hidden 66:7 140:4
48:6 49:14 51:24	gentleman 18:5	110:13,15 129:5	hand 4:4 141:25	
52:10,11,14,22 53:6,	Gina 83:5 84:18	136:18 153:17,20,21	hands 83:22 166:10	hide 178:25 179:4
10,18,21 56:6,8,12	90:8 98:18 102:16	154:8		high-level 69:3
72:12 75:14 76:4,8	108:14,18,20 109:8,	groups 106:8 110:17	handwriting	
87:11 89:11,21,24,	17,21 133:21	-	166:13,14 177:21	higher 78:14
25 90:3 92:19 95:1,		growth 153:7	handwritten 60:17	higher-ups 73:21
4,9,11,13 114:25	give 4:7 5:20 19:19	guess 16:23 60:11		-
115:13 120:20	30:21 34:11 48:3	87:19 88:17 94:2	happen 19:20,22	Hill 18:14,15 72:6
121:19 124:10	49:7 57:25 58:4 63:2	143:8 145:24	22:22 94:25 148:14	hire 18:16 77:12
133:15 134:13,15	78:5 80:4,5,7,21		happened 9:2 20:11	129:4
137:7,12,22 138:4,7,	81:5,22 156:6	guessing 25:13	25:11 51:22 65:24	
16,20,23 139:1,6	160:21 162:9 163:14	43:25 52:17	67:17 68:15 72:6,7	hired 17:25 77:12
148:2,17 151:22	175:10,20,22	guidance 36:6,18,20	84:2 92:1 115:25	107:4,5 128:10
154:6 163:1,3,5,9,	giving 13:2 81:25	49:3 76:21 86:18,19,		182:5
12,22,25 171:4,5,6,9,	166:3	21,23 94:17 99:21	happening 81:9	hires 153:5,6
12,24,25 174:19,21,	alad 142.12	101:8,16,19 154:10,	102:19 123:14	
24 175:1,11 178:5	glad 143:13	13 159:2 175:10,16,	144:24	hiring 18:23 117:10
182:1	Global 43:11,13,16	17,18,23	hard 71:22 154:7	historical 84:22
furniture 42:23	44:3			
45:25	good 05.20 100.1	guilty 5:11 177:17	hardscape 47:18	history 125:19
	good 95:20 109:1	183:1	Harris 20:19	128:7,19
future 101:22	122:7 136:13 142:14,25 156:21	guy's 140:6		Hitt 9:16,19 12:1
156:10	142:14,25 156:21		hate 5:12	15:9 27:9,14,19
		guys 7:25 8:15,20	head 138:22 184:5	28:8,10,15 33:3,5,6
G	governed 159:12	26:9 91:10 141:5		69:6 72:11 73:2,22
	government 24:1	157:9	headed 165:4,9	75:20 91:16 145:15,
	-		health 7:16	17,20 172:9,14,19
gather 165.24				
gather 165:24 167:17	79:8 81:20 82:22 105:2 106:14 169:6			179:12



Index: Hitt's..issue

	1			
Hitt's 10:25	ideas 129:13	incorporate 106:10	institutions 153:19	105:8,9 116:6
hold 52:3 129:18 147:2 148:9,16,19	identification 40:15 57:11 77:2 94:7	increasing 146:15	instruct 84:18,23 179:3,17	123:17,19 160:4,11 164:23
holding 86:15	102:8 119:4 184:13,	indication 30:22	instructed 55:14,20	interviewed 6:13
hole 145:3	15	individual 106:6 132:18 138:19	91:12 100:18	159:24
holes 44:19	identified 10:14 54:23 76:8 91:14	139:23	164:14,17	interviewing 114:15
home 45:16 151:9	131:14	individuals 71:17	instructing 86:15	invested 165:19
homeless 80:13	identify 56:5	inefficient 141:4	instructions 83:6	investigated
honest 4:21 6:21	IG 137:25	infer 136:12	instrumental 74:1	182:10,15,19
124:2 135:6	ill 108:8	inflate 149:8	insubordination 58:3 59:13	investigation 5:22
honestly 77:17	illegal 159:7,8	inflated 149:9	intend 156:17	8:16,17,19 9:14 27:1,5,11 36:22
158:17	170:18 172:21,23 174:11	inflating 149:11	intended 142:23	41:4,12,15 45:3
hoods 46:17 154:16	illegality 77:22	inflation 150:1	intent 54:2,3	46:10 59:12 61:15 74:17,21,22,23
hook 122:22	immediately 118:3	influence 159:18,22	intentionally 56:5	76:16 124:8,9,23
hoop 110:25	121:12,15 162:2	information 5:16,	96:11	154:5 156:8,18 157:4 159:20 160:19
hopes 86:25	immoral 159:5	25 12:13,24 21:20 22:7,17,21 26:6,9	interaction 14:3	178:11,12 179:23
hoping 35:21	important 5:18,21	34:3 39:21 40:22	interactions 15:8,	181:11 182:11,16,20
horizontal 47:6	61:15,22 88:14 124:12	55:6,15 58:11,23,25 60:10,22 61:5 64:15	12,14,16,18 24:13,14 151:25	investigative 60:13
horrible 183:6	impression 62:18	65:12 68:3 70:24	interchangeably	investigator 182:17
host 106:14	improve 84:25 85:4	71:9,12 74:23 75:12 103:5 121:24 161:2,	178:6	investigators 160:16 180:5 182:21
hostilely 160:14,23	174:5	7,11,12,13 162:9	interest 36:11 79:7,	184:4
hot 85:9	improvement 7:17	164:21 165:4,9,12, 24 166:5 167:3,17,	10 81:12,23 137:8, 14	investment 27:15 48:4 116:3 123:5
hours 117:3	21:2,4 82:8 84:6,11 86:21 90:6 93:24	24 168:5 169:11,12,	interested 18:6	48:4 110:5 125:5 145:8
housed 64:22	94:4 102:5,16 104:1, 4 109:15 148:21	16 176:5,10,15,18,23 informed 151:17	77:16 123:25	invitation 17:19
housing 50:16 103:14	4 109:15 148:21 149:4,7 150:14	infrastructure	interim 57:21	invited 86:6,7,9
HR 67:13 70:2	152:6 178:22	47:17,19	internal 19:15	173:21,22
huge 154:11	improvements 108:16	inherently 177:15	56:12,14 89:25 90:3 95:9,11,14 115:21	invoices 139:10
human 125:7	inaccurate 123:7	initial 117:5 124:10	121:1,5 133:15 134:6 148:25 149:3	involved 19:16 25:21,22 29:24
hundred 140:19	incidents 155:15	initially 180:4	171:4,9,12 178:5	48:16 53:12 68:6
husband 59:1 60:5	include 149:14	initiative 17:15,16	internally 37:25	70:13 71:4 118:21
Hutson 107:5,12,13	161:20 165:9 168:5	130:1	95:7 164:1 166:8 171:23	involvement 40:25 43:12 44:6 47:11,13
128:10	172:1 176:23	initiatives 7:13	international 43:13	50:19 68:13 71:10
HVAC 41:13	included 46:17,18 74:16 94:13,14	input 90:11 163:11, 14	interrogation 62:11	Iowa 65:4,9 68:19,25 69:4,11,12
I	95:14 96:14 109:16 128:8 162:12 169:12	inquire 37:17 55:9	interrupt 79:20	Isis 113:23 141:22
	171:18 176:14 177:6	insisted 37:23	interrupted 38:8	143:12
idea 43:15 49:19 62:15 70:6 109:2	includes 149:16	instance 155:12	interview 6:19	issue 24:12 25:18
144:10	including 16:12 123:22	instances 176:22	33:24 58:8 62:11,18, 19 63:5 86:6,8,9	27:1 28:3 34:16 64:13 127:25 155:17



Index: issues..made

issues 80:14 81:4 92:10 117:7 131:22	Kathy 41:6 53:6,19 54:16 59:6 109:5	Korosec 11:20	leaving 16:7	142:17,18 148:22 152:17,18
173:25	116:16 117:14	L	led 13:24 60:16 74:15,18 106:5	listen 87:13
item 86:7 170:3	Ken 110:20,21		117:5 118:9	listing 68:5 126:8,23
items 132:2,6	Kernek 4:11,16 6:6	lab 46:22	Lee 4:11 6:6 15:21	listings 126:24
ITN 17:25	154:2 156:22 157:6 158:3	labs 46:18,19,21	18:14 30:19 68:18 125:9	lists 99:7 112:4,6,15,
	Keyser 66:13	lack 85:8	left 8:13 9:10 17:24	22
J	kids 44:17	lady 128:10	61:13 96:11 184:8	lives 27:18
January 6:11 28:9	Kim 111:16	lands 79:13,15 81:1	leftover 171:21	loaned 38:18,23
134:25 137:3 146:4 158:6	kind 9:23 15:12	landscape 7:12	legal 139:24 155:17	50:12
	20:12 40:21 46:23	47:18	legislature 86:13	local 75:3 106:14
job 25:25 61:6,10 69:15 107:8 158:24	48:5 54:4 62:15	language 78:12,21	99:2,8,11,17,22,24	located 43:16 45:8
161:23 162:19	69:17,20 73:16 82:12 83:24 100:10	large 93:11	124:16 142:5	lone 184:8
jobs 20:9 151:7	102:10 116:4 126:18	larger 99:14,15	letter 57:17,18,22	long 17:6 26:20,21
154:9 171:19 182:6	128:5 129:18 136:16	152:21	58:1 59:9,15,16 60:7,21 62:10,14	35:15 36:12 38:2
Joey 58:6 60:5	138:6	Lashanda 53:20	63:6 64:25 70:9 72:9	70:6 81:21,23 141:13 164:23
John 9:16 10:6	kinds 12:14 39:11	113:2	76:6 177:16	141:13 164:25
joint 92:9 145:12	47:19 59:1 63:4 105:1 119:19 144:25	lastly 156:14	letters 176:6,11	long-term 138:6
jokes 151:4	Kinsley 36:6 42:5,11	late 110:9	level 24:13 74:10	longer 80:2 107:2
•	45:22 46:6,8 48:9,	law 26:1,3,4 159:12	86:14 116:15,18,23	119:23 120:3,6,20
Joseph 177:11,20 178:3,12	22,25 49:9 61:5	182:15	levels 182:23	144:19
	70:22 71:8 75:25 76:20 94:18 109:9	laws 86:16	levers 143:23	looked 36:25 47:22
jotted 34:1 114:18	110:13 111:12	lawsuit 157:3,21	liability 80:14	83:21 90:21 123:4 130:10 174:15
journals 60:18	127:16 133:22	lawyer 151:4	liaison 175:7	
Judith 134:23	142:9,11 151:25 154:15 175:3	lawyers 61:9	licks 180:22	losing 44:15
July 98:14 103:25	knew 20:7 31:2	lax 86:14	lies 124:25 125:1	lost 147:12
105:4 141:20 143:20,24	38:21 46:11 56:13,	lead 18:7 31:15		lot 5:15,16 8:3 25:21
	15 58:9 59:6 87:24		life 124:12 144:9,13	36:6 45:14 48:16 49:22,23 54:20
jumbled 117:4	100:20 102:17 105:16 109:21 132:9	leader 106:18	lightning 44:17	58:23 60:13 68:3
jump 110:25	134:4,5,8,14,18	leaders 106:7,9,21	limitations 48:20	69:3 72:2,14,24 79:22 81:1 86:3
June 104:2 105:4 126:19 141:20	135:10 166:23	leading 182:11,21	151:21	99:20 101:20 105:22
143:24 145:25	171:10,11 177:3	leaned 19:17	limited 30:5 35:12, 13 157:4	109:8 122:6 128:14,
Jung 154:19	knight 67:19	learn 21:9 36:21,22		16 141:9 144:23
junior 26:18 107:19	knowing 48:20	173:23	line-throughs 90:14	149:19 150:12 152:10 154:13 155:2
-	153:5 156:5 177:3	learned 18:21 65:5	lines 180:8	lower 182:23
justified 173:1	knowledge 35:13 40:1 72:18 73:19,21,	learning 86:12	lingo 171:23	
justify 142:23	24 74:1,12 78:22	lease 50:14 78:20,22,	list 7:8 25:4,5,6,7,16	lump 47:10
	84:20,22 87:5,6	23 79:23	32:6 92:14 93:14	M
K	177:12 179:19	leased 50:12	99:3 100:1,2 103:23 104:10 106:23	
K-E-R-N-E-K 6:6	knowledgeable	leases 78:7 82:2	108:16 109:15	Madam 4:24 184:9
A Z A I I Z A 0.0	14:23	leave 58:15 64:6	112:18 113:3,15,18,	made 17:8 23:1,9
		1Cave 30.13 04:0	22 114:2,3,4 117:7,8	



Index: magic..MITZ

KERNEK, PRISCILLA				Index: magicMI1
24:21 28:8 31:20	Marchena 13:7	measures 17:10,14	memo 93:18 149:15	149:3,4
34:8 45:19 74:4 85:3 90:18 91:1,3 99:14	14:4,14,18 15:9 16:15,23 17:11,17,	181:21,22	mental 10:25	million-6 44:4,7
104:13,17,18 106:9	23 18:5,6 21:16	mechanical 129:16,	mention 178:22	millions 181:18,19
110:3 113:17 125:14	22:17 63:7 70:12	24,25		
126:3 128:22 157:23	71:13,17 72:6 75:13	mechanically	mentioned 60:25	mind 49:17 117:4
163:12,16,19 170:25	121:19,23 122:2,9,	128:25	107:4 114:16,18,23	156:3 167:2 177:17
171:2 181:22	17 131:5,9,15 132:2,	media 45:6,7 183:5	137:6,21	minimal 154:22
magic 74:8	7 133:10 147:5		Merck 7:22 8:12	minor 42:4
-	167:6,22 176:23	meet 13:11 42:3 53:9	13:7 16:5,8,10 17:16	
mail 66:7	177:3 178:10 182:8, 9	119:17	19:2 26:16 27:9,16, 21 28:10,20 31:6	minus 136:8
main 101:22 139:13	-	meeting 19:17 22:19	34:8,14 42:9,10	minute 86:10 105:23
maintain 70:7 77:20	Marchena's 15:15,	28:11,13,20 29:1	56:20 63:21 70:20	136:4
80:10 151:1	22 19:23 75:21	34:14,15 41:7 51:3	71:7,11 74:5,8 78:16	minutes 57:7 133:3,
maintainal 0.0	marching 44:17	53:11,13 58:6 59:5,	80:16,19,20 82:11	6
maintained 9:9	Marcos 21:16 167:6,	6,8 64:12 93:2 96:18 102:3,4,10,14 103:4,	89:9 90:9 92:4	miashanaataniga
maintaining 36:3	22 176:23 178:9	6 114:18 119:21	102:21 104:18	mischaracterize
maintenance 7:11	182:7,9	120:25 121:3,11,21	105:5,11 114:20	
36:1,4,14 42:15 85:9		123:19,21,23,24	115:16,23 118:18	misconduct 183:1,
101:23 112:15,17	Maria 106:5 107:2	124:7 125:24 128:23	121:12,18 122:1,5,	2,11,22
113:5,10,12,14,18,	mark 90:11	130:8,12 131:4,6,8	16 126:1 128:23 130:3,16,22,23	misdirection 154:5
22,24 138:24 139:1	marked 40:14 57:10	132:3,10 135:12	130:3,10,22,23	missed 91:8
140:16,23 141:5,11,	77:1 94:6 102:7	136:3,4 137:6,22	133:11 137:6	missed 91:8
19,24 142:4,13,19,22	119:3 184:12,14	140:14 145:6 146:1	145:20,21 146:19	missing 64:12 82:5
143:5,12 150:16,25		147:12,17 154:14,17	148:3 155:8 159:15	misspell 136:1
162:24	market 149:8	157:13 167:22,25 172:8 176:24 184:8	172:9,13,14,19	-
make 13:4 17:22	marking 53:7 90:12,		177:17 179:12	misspent 124:10
49:17 50:7 53:10	15	meetings 9:21 10:1	Merck's 123:20	mistake 97:4,5
69:3 90:25 93:14,17	Martin 55:3	14:5,8,10,11,14,20		Misty 57:20 60:9
99:7 102:23 111:24		15:10,19,24 16:1,2, 3,9,13,18 20:13 21:5	message 77:7	
116:8,20 140:8 167:3 183:9,16	Martins 14:17	23:22 29:6 30:2,20,	messages 60:15	misunderstanding
	master 105:23	25 31:4,5,11,13 45:2	messes 100:8	178:4
makes 49:22 82:4	106:1,6,10 107:6,9,	48:15,16 53:3,14,20		misuse 154:5
92:24 95:18 105:12	20,21 108:13,16	54:23 56:5 60:1 61:9	met 30:15 34:22	Mitchell 41:6 53:6,
making 31:15 75:20	109:14,16 128:17,20	64:17,20 72:24	54:13 64:4 76:14 118:18	19 54:16 59:6 109:5
85:20 93:17 117:6	material 60:13	76:15 83:3 88:23		116:17 117:14
124:4 137:13 166:15	materials 10:10,12	90:21 91:1 118:21	method 26:5 71:21	MITZ 4:15 8:11
management 7:12,		119:16,17 120:22	164:7	16:21 19:10 22:3
14,16,17,19 13:17	matter 55:16 64:13	121:13,15,16,22 130:10 131:16,18	methodology 83:7	24:17 25:24 28:19
39:17,18 138:12	81:24 168:1	132:5,16 133:11,12	methods 13:15	31:9 32:12 33:1
management-type	matters 9:13 14:23	134:1,15 136:15		35:18 39:1 40:16
107:3	15:5 162:3	144:16 146:9	Mickey 20:20 93:4	44:2 47:9 50:1,18
	maximum 144:19	147:18,20 151:17,	million 29:12 42:2,3	51:20 52:24 54:21
manager 13:22		18,19,21,25 152:3,11	45:8,12,13 50:24	57:6,12 61:18,25
managers 18:8,12	Mcgladrey 17:3	167:11,15,17	51:1 85:17 89:15,16	62:9 64:10 66:22
20:5,6	meaning 51:9	168:12,25 170:1	92:12 96:21,25 97:1	69:23 73:10 75:11 79:14 114:10,12
	means 115:9 183:4	member 31:14	113:24 114:21	117:19 119:5 121:7
managing 20:5.6	110.7 100.7	32:15,16 175:5	115:11 134:17 135:1	125:17 132:24
managing 20:5,6		1	136:8 139:22	
managing 20:5,6 manner 164:4	meant 88:10 100:24	members 18.3		150:20 154:1 156:25
	meant 88:10 100:24 135:11,13 137:9,15	members 18:3 46:12 131:22	140:16,22 142:1,3,	150:20 154:1 156:25 184:7
manner 164:4		members 18:3 46:12 131:22 132:12,19 168:11		



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA'S USE OF EDUCATION AND GENERAL FUNDS KERNEK, PRISCILLA Index: mix..oversaw

ERNEK, PRISCILLA				Index: mixoversav
mix 173:22	natural 7:12	70:2,5 71:19 114:13,	114:19,21 133:25	operational 21:12
moment 52:3 73:20	nature 156:23	17 116:6,9 123:17, 18,25 130:10 145:5	occurring 123:23,24	operations 7:11
Monday 59:12,25	172:11	147:18,19 148:18	October 148:9	11:21 35:25 36:4,14 39:19 52:12,13 71:4
money 19:16,19	necessarily 135:11,	154:20 156:12	odd 87:17 88:2,6	141:5,10 150:25
34:18 36:1,2,3	13	160:3,6,9 166:15	offense 58:18	162:23
38:13,18 39:2,5 42:16 44:8 49:4,5,8	needed 10:18 13:21 20:3,7,8,14 21:20	notice 22:19 69:25 85:4 97:23 101:14,	offering 122:16	opportunities 71:23
42.10 44.8 49.4,5,8 51:22 53:1,25 54:4,5	20:3,7,8,14 21:20	15 125:7 130:8		75:4 102:24
55:5,6 72:2 80:8,10	31:17 38:2 42:3	noticed 41:23 97:25	offhand 12:11	opportunity 5:20,
85:8 93:8,9 94:19	45:15,17 66:5 78:17	98:11 115:7 125:8	office 12:8,15 19:1,6	23 14:19 108:21
98:19,25 100:16 113:12,21 124:12	80:21 88:3 92:12 111:17 123:9 126:5	130:11,22	26:9 30:11 33:10 37:9,20 39:16 51:22	128:24 157:2,7 168:11 183:3,24
129:14 137:8,13,24	136:20	noticing 101:9	55:8 59:10 64:22	
141:7,12 143:24 145:3 146:16 151:1	needing 21:5	November 134:23	65:9,15,20 79:9 80:25 85:21 114:20	opposed 13:16 38:21 71:24
181:19	negative 40:3	number 17:8 19:13	115:20 116:16 133:4	option 183:10
moneys 45:2	negotiate 17:20	36:7,16,17 39:18	138:23 142:7,15	options 122:2,3,24
monies 28:6 36:14	net 136:8	40:11 48:11 70:20, 21 82:24 83:22	150:5 161:1,6 170:5, 9,12 171:3	136:19,20,22,24
42:6 140:12		95:10 104:22 113:22		146:15 147:2,14,16
monitor 144:2	newly 64:2	115:6,14 141:19	officer 18:22 63:23 67:12 82:23 105:3	order 16:3 17:9,22
	Newman 106:3	142:13,22 143:3	121:10 125:7 151:11	20:9 23:2 38:14
monitored 39:12	128:12	149:5,23 157:18 169:2 178:13 181:22	161:24 165:23	52:22 61:6 96:4 139:19 140:7
month 30:15	news 66:8 67:19 75:3	182:3	166:22 169:7 171:16	orders 39:10
monthly 161:11,16	night 41:2 45:1	numbers 140:20	official 53:12	ordinarily 157:21
months 46:1 105:4 146:2	93:17	144:4 149:8,20	oftentimes 56:12 94:20 121:22	organization 23:20
morning 8:25	nonfeasibility 144:17	0	Ogletree 110:20	181:23
motivation 77:20			okayed 42:5,8	original 146:11
motive 131:24	nonrecurring 171:4,24 178:5	OARS 72:1 oath 6:18	omissions 125:1	originally 123:2 124:8
move 12:17 93:14	nonstate 94:24 95:3,	objections 143:7,9	omit 55:15	originated 174:24
111:20 143:24 153:24	13	obligate 138:16,20	one-on-one 48:13	Orlando 70:12 78:3
movement 39:13	noodling 129:8		one-on-ones 115:6	79:25
movement 39.13 moving 34:18,22	normal 12:20 37:3	observe 14:20 15:8, 17	one-page 76:24	Osceola 92:11
37:19 43:11 45:5	Norvell 147:14	obvious 77:21	ongoing 101:7 156:9	ostensibly 142:22
53:25 87:24 136:7	notation 101:2 116:6	occasion 112:13	165:5	OUC 47:25 122:23
multiple 70:11		occasions 70:11	Op-ex 21:13	123:11
126:7 165:16	note 115:8 120:5 122:12	175:13,14,15 176:7	open 86:11 124:18	outlay 21:3 22:1
music 44:16		178:13	125:4 180:23	72:15 82:8,13,17,18
	notebook 59:24	occupants 44:9	opened 140:7	85:24 86:19,22 87:4, 8 89:23 154:25
N	notebooks 60:18 147:20	occupiable 152:22	operate 151:1	178:21
nail 73:1	notes 33:24 34:3,4	occupied 153:1	operating 84:8,15	outsource 106:11
narrative 160:24	58:10,11,13,17,19,25	occur 42:19 102:10	182:12	outweighed 145:7
narratives 63:8,11	59:4,24 60:23 61:1, 7,8,9,13,19,21 62:19	occurred 17:1	operation 101:23 150:16	oversaw 7:7,18 23:17



Index: oversee..presentations

oversee 23:19 162:20,22	149:17	performed 17:3	places 152:8,9	portion 77:16 78 95:4 153:1
	parts 73:16	performing 118:13	plan 21:2,4 36:14	
overseeing 47:16 70:13	pass 39:20	period 69:9 81:23	38:23,24 42:12 84:6, 11 90:6,22 93:24	POS 139:11
	passed 71:5 83:22	137:2	94:4 101:22 102:5	position 6:24 7:3
oversees 43:7	119:24	permissible 41:11	104:1,3,4 105:12	8:22,23 11:3,19
oversight 30:13	past 11:10 20:17	175:11	106:1,6,10 107:9,20,	30:1,9 33:7 34:19 35:1 64:3 65:8
owner's 13:18 18:7,	30:9 124:3 126:22	permission 21:7	21 108:13,16 109:14,16 128:17,20	
10 71:20	173:24	76:1	148:21 149:4,7,21	positive 158:12
	patience 82:10	permits 25:22	150:15,16,25	possibilities 129
Р	pay 43:23 44:1 53:8	permitted 48:22	152:21,25 153:14	possibility 26:7
	54:5 119:6 138:17	-	planned 16:12 28:6	possibly 12:21 2:
P-O-T-T-E-R	148:20	permitting 25:21 117:10	153:2,5	34:8,18 48:3 94:2
77:14	payback 51:24,25		planner 106:4	128:14 171:13,17
p.m. 57:9 133:7,8	91:24 92:1,3,5,8,17	persist 71:17,25	planning 7:10 17:12	pot 35:23
184:17	93:21 123:10	persisted 71:18	107:6,7 110:24	potted 167:10
package 167:23	paying 91:17 138:8,	person 11:19 30:11	111:2 146:10 153:18	-
168:24,25 169:2	9	67:13 107:1 118:11	plans 82:9 86:22	Potter 77:11
packages 168:1	payments 50:8	121:13 162:3 178:8,	102:16 105:23	power 38:23
packet 57:3,14	PECO 88:9,10,11	15	150:14 178:22	practice 17:14
76:23 118:24	91:17,23,25 92:8,14,	person's 71:1	plant 35:25 36:4	predeterminatio
packets 16:2 33:14	15,18 94:14 137:7,	personal 58:15,23,	47:21,23,24,25	183:4,8,14
82:21,25 83:1,2	12 148:11,21 149:4	24	103:18 110:8,9,11,	predetermined
104:23 168:7	peer 110:17	personally 8:22	23 111:23 121:20,25 123:8 167:10	160:20
pages 59:22 127:22	people 17:25 23:10	55:23		preparation 126
paid 38:24 139:9	29:4,7,10 30:11	persons 172:4	play 162:5 163:8	166:2
147:9	42:23 54:22 55:24	pertained 13:13,14	plugged 11:2	prepare 156:7
paper 60:9	67:5 69:3 70:1,12	-	PO&M 36:8,9,21,23	167:16
	76:12 80:13 82:24 83:21 93:2 104:22	pertinent 59:11,17,	49:21 80:9 85:5,8	
parameters 17:20	110:19 128:3	19,24 73:13	98:20 103:10 135:21	prepared 14:23 15:24 16:1,3 20:1
part 25:25 42:6,12	133:13,14 135:10	Pete 106:3,5 128:12	137:24 138:15 150:24 154:15,18	70:3 82:19 90:8
51:23,25 53:19	142:18,21 146:25	Peter 110:22	174:24,25	135:23 151:16,20
64:15 67:14 81:5,12, 18 98:20 111:20	152:4 154:8 180:15	phone 9:23 58:20,		156:12 171:3 178
123:12 124:7 129:2,	182:19,23	21,22,23 59:17,19,23	point 16:22 19:24 23:15 53:4 60:15	preparing 27:16
11 154:11 161:9	people's 27:18	60:16 77:8	69:14 74:4,12 85:4	69:15 82:16 90:7
162:19 169:18 181:2	percent 37:23 149:9,	physical 36:3	90:12,15 98:1	147:13,16
participants 121:2	10,11,12	physically 125:6	108:22 112:25 113:8	presence 75:21
participate 145:12	percentages 145:7		140:13	153:9
159:11	perfect 105:12	pick 9:22 15:11	points 157:23	present 10:2 22:2
participated 23:14	perfectly 5:4	picked 67:19	poking 145:3	23:3,6 27:8,13 29
participation	1 0	piece 60:8 82:5	Police 7:18	13,14 148:13 157
177:13	perform 24:6	piping 47:18	policies 39:7,9	
	performance	place 6:9 17:15,25	-	presentation 13 129:12 137:22
parties 111:3 169:3	158:10	31:13 44:18 72:1	pops 156:4	166:3,5,19
partly 124:24	performances	94:18 141:10 182:9,	population 80:3	presentations 2
partnership 81:18	158:12	12,13	103:16	presentations 2.



Index: presented..Raise

ERNEK, PRISCILLA	l		lr	ndex: presentedRa
presented 21:1	169:8	122:17,19 123:15	providing 62:13	107:17 108:4 128:7
87:11 110:2 114:1,5	priorities 152:14	125:25 132:8 135:1	119:19 182:15	13,15 129:11,14,18
120:13,16 122:24	priorities 152:14	136:11,14 137:2	mmomost 11.7.16	138:23 139:1,11
145:9 146:14,18	prioritize 31:18	139:4,6,7,12,14	provost 11:7,16	140:12 141:9 142:1
147:3 148:10		140:12 145:12	15:17 22:6 29:8,9,	143:3,4 146:25
	prioritized 23:14	148:13 168:4,6,21	17,21,23 33:7 51:9	148:8,16 152:9
presenting 23:11 130:12	priority 91:4,5,7	169:11,13 177:13	63:20 73:21 74:5	155:13 179:18
150:12	93:14	nnoioota 16.7.11	90:10 91:15 101:1 102:22 114:2,3	182:9,12
presidency 11:1	Drigeille 41166	projects 16:7,11 17:4 20:5,7 31:25	102:22 114:2,3	putting 42:7 47:19
president 7:1,23	Priscilla 4:11 6:6	32:6 33:10,21 36:11,	117.23 118.12,14,13	72:1 85:7 93:8
9:15,19 10:25 11:6,	private 66:18 78:7,	24 38:18 40:19,22	127:3 153:19,21	94:16,22 95:5 98:3
18 12:1 13:9 15:9,17	20	41:10 47:10,12,14,	161:23	23 100:1 108:12,24
18 12.1 13.9 13.9,17 18:25 19:5 27:9		15 48:10,12,17	101.25	113:20 148:19
28:21 33:3,4 46:15	privy 60:11	53:17 54:9,13,25	provosts 11:10,14	182:13
57:21 63:7 64:22,23	problem 21:11	55:12 63:9 68:12	30:10 56:18 114:5	102.15
68:1 72:11 73:22	24:25 25:18 26:13	70:13 71:21 72:1	provosts' 51:22	·
76:12,13 80:18,20	27:17 114:24 116:24	75:14,16 76:9,18	-	Q
82:22 89:2,6,10	117:1 139:22 154:11	89:10,11 92:2 94:18,	public 78:14	
90:10 91:16 101:1	problematic 24:22	20 102:19 103:13	publicly 144:14	qualifications
102:23 103:1 105:2	38:22 132:17 139:18	113:21 134:24	183:20	140:2,9
109:6 114:6 115:17,	30.22 132.17 139.10	138:19 139:23 142:3		qualifications-
24 116:15 118:14,16	procedures 39:7,9	149:22 150:6 152:2,	published 89:4	-
123:18 124:1 125:15	proceed 123:8	7 161:17 162:10,20	pull 115:8	based 71:24
127:13 145:23	proceed 125.8	164:5 165:5,8,21	-	quality 7:16
146:20 162:17	proceedings 4:3	166:1	pulled 53:19 143:23	
163:19 169:5 172:9,	184:16		pulling 134:15	quarter 111:25
14	process 17:11 23:12,	promotion 118:9		question 5:15,19
	13 37:18 54:6 65:15	prompted 58:1	pulse 8:18	11:25 15:15 44:24
oresident's 69:2	67:18,25 69:7,14		punctuated 157:25	61:12 103:24 116:2
presidential 24:23,	101:6 110:25 139:5	proof 72:10	-	117:21 130:16 141
24 116:18	160:11 182:18	properties 80:8	purchasing 17:21	154:24,25
maridantial/			Purdue 107:5,8	questioning 52:7
presidential/	procurement 37:18	property 34:20,23	150:19	-
president 116:23	produce 122:22	50:12,13 78:5,8,11,		questions 5:24 6:1
presidential/vice	123:9	13 79:2,7,22 80:11,	purportedly 183:25	10:14,17 15:2 16:2
116:18		13,15 81:12,19 82:2	purports 40:18	20:14 22:14 26:3,4
	profess 174:14	proposals 18:1,24	160:3	35:22 36:7 49:20
residents 32:21	professional 15:14			61:4 62:13 63:2 93
56:17	67:23	protection 149:17	purpose 34:21 52:11	103:17,22 112:3
oretty 70:23 131:25		Protonmail 66:7,16	87:3 101:22 102:13	117:22 119:9 124:2
142:25 148:8 180:21	program 30:23		155:14 156:20 157:4	130:23 131:23 148
	72:15 89:4 126:4,16	proven 123:6	purposes 43:6 78:14	154:22,23 156:15,2
orevious 35:5 104:9	127:12,15,17,23	provide 12:1 26:9		158:3 167:11 168:1
oreviously 65:1	128:11	34:2 44:9 55:15	pursued 161:23	177:10 178:23
·	programs 89:3	58:11 64:15 65:13,	push 71:25	quick 177:10
orice 140:10 149:3	153:24	16 70:23 103:6	-	-
orimarily 35:25	• • • • •	106:22 127:18 161:6	put 6:18 17:14,19	quote 46:16 140:3
95:10 171:21	project 18:8,12	provided 10.15	22:10 31:13 33:16	quoted 136:5
	20:5,6 28:8 29:22	provided 10:15 22:18 33:23 40:9	38:1 42:25 43:3,4	-
orimary 117:8	36:12 37:15,19	60:20,24 61:1 65:16	44:24 54:17,18	quotes 140:4
orinted 59:22	38:14 40:4,25 41:20		55:25 56:12 73:20	
	42:1,4 43:9,12 50:2,	112:15,21,23 113:3 119:14 136:20 150:5	83:4,5,12 85:5 88:6	R
	16 51:6 56:23 75:22	165:12 166:5 167:23	91:20,25 92:13,16	
		1 10112 100 1 10/121	93:13 94:18 95:2	
28:11 29:6 37:24	87:1,25 88:4 91:2,6,			Doing 4.4
prior 6:18 17:2 28:11 29:6 37:24 38:2 49:12 80:1 83:2 96:18 151:18 168:2	87:1,25 88:4 91:2,6, 13,20 92:20 97:3 107:3 115:11 119:8	168:2,10,24 169:1	99:4 100:14,21,23 102:17 103:1 104:23	Raise 4:4



Index: ran..responsible

ran 64:21	76:20	39:23 132:4,16	renovation 6:14	representatives
ranging 82:12	receiving 74:23	170:2	25:3 27:11 28:5 45:9 46:2 94:13 97:11,18	13:19 18:7,10 76:7
rat 145:3	89:19	regularly 64:4 73:5 76:14 118:18	98:3 129:18,25	reps 71:20
rated 18:2	recently 9:16 75:2 113:23	regulates 85:24	144:8,11,17,18 146:14,25 148:9,11,	request 94:23 99:1 129:2 148:11 149:4
rating 111:9 reach 102:17 127:19	recognize 44:5 57:15 77:5 96:3	regulation 26:4,25 27:2,4 42:5 48:20	14,23 renovations 36:9	requested 20:10 65:13 98:19
reached 73:19	recollection 34:2	83:10,12,16 85:2 170:9 175:24	42:14 48:24 50:22 76:2 162:21	requesting 91:23
react 132:13 160:23	recommendations	regulations 26:1	reorganize 17:14	requests 72:5 99:1
reaction 124:10	31:18	86:16 127:24 158:23	20:8	required 25:25
read 66:23 73:11,13	recommended 19:12	159:12	repairs 48:24	86:23
85:1 86:2		reinstituted 30:14	139:19	requirements 34:2
readily 169:20	record 6:5 105:25 106:20 142:25	rejected 66:9,10	repay 52:22	53:9
reading 87:7 148:18	183:12 184:11	related 9:13 12:7,8	repeated 99:24	research 45:10,12, 14,16 46:14,15,23,2
ready 6:2 144:5	recorded 35:11	36:12 47:17 48:12 51:1 61:3,4 161:13	replacement 36:10 76:2	78:14 92:5,6,7 128:15 154:16
real 77:13 78:6 80:25 134:10 144:7	recording 64:12,17 147:12	168:5	replied 66:25 67:2	researchers 46:20
realized 146:24	records 81:7 142:7,	relating 12:16	reply 66:24 67:2	reserve 37:1 133:1
reapproved 99:23	14 183:14	relations 24:1	report 7:20 18:14,15	reserves 44:23
reason 11:13 39:5	recruited 33:6	relationship 7:24 9:18,22,25 11:6	19:11 30:1,20 53:17	122:6,8
56:10 93:16	43:16	12:18,20 15:12	54:1 60:25 61:13,19, 22 64:4 66:2,3 72:6	resides 39:16
reasonable 5:4	red 90:13 98:16,18, 25 110:3	19:24 20:1 33:3 81:13 159:14	73:12,23,25 74:12,	resign 183:3,11,13
reasons 82:3	redoing 12:8	relay 12:24,25	14 75:4 78:16,17 79:12 112:8,9	resource 7:17 39:1
rebuild 124:18	reference 177:20	relayed 12:4 169:25	117:18 153:19	18
recall 4:18 10:4	references 128:8	relevant 14:11	155:23 162:9 165:20 166:23 167:4 177:8,	resources 7:12 125:7
12:5,10,12,14 13:12	referred 21:6	127:25	11,15,20 178:1,3,9,	
25:10 29:23 32:22 34:9,15,16 35:15	115:18	relied 74:5	16,18,23 181:5 182:25	respect 169:10
36:25 38:15,17	referring 34:7	remember 5:4		respond 59:14 124:14,22 157:17
51:12 76:10 89:23 90:24 91:2 94:16,22	115:19 121:9 125:10	25:15,17 32:20 44:5	reported 7:22 34:5 71:10 115:23 116:14	179:23 180:1
90:24 91:2 94:10,22 99:19 104:11 108:12	reflect 160:6,10	77:25 88:25 90:22 93:1 108:6 140:20	155:17,21 164:1,4,5	responded 59:16
110:11,12,14 115:1 116:10 121:25	178:3	145:22	170:19,23 176:1,3, 14	116:25
122:5,9,12 123:23,	reformed 64:1,2	remembering	reporter 4:4,24 5:2	responding 27:21
24 124:4 131:15,18	refund 105:5	93:16	184:9	response 57:22 58:
135:4,8 140:18 147:8,10,11,13,15,17	refunding 52:18	remind 121:5	reporters 5:12	71:1 122:10
148:17 159:16 160:1	105:12	removed 139:6	reporting 59:21	responses 4:23 6:2
161:4 164:22,23 165:3 167:19	refusal 175:21	renewal 141:25	117:16 155:13,15,19	responsibility 37:21 63:8 68:9
receive 74:25 172:16	refuse 175:20	renovate 97:12	164:8	89:18 118:10 131:1
173:20	reg 26:7,8	128:24 145:1,8 152:15	reports 31:6 123:3, 20,22 141:21 142:4,	165:20
received 33:14	regard 75:21 158:2		10 148:25 153:21	responsible 7:1
40:17 52:8 60:10	regular 30:16,20	renovated 144:9	165:16 166:9 171:3 173:6 178:25 182:4	82:16 83:15 90:7 105:25 106:18

ORANGELEGAL

118:1,6 130:17	93:2,5,6 115:9	satisfactory 110:10	selected 68:1 140:1,	Shepherd 57:20
162:17	121:23,25 124:20 134:19	111:7,9,25 112:1	9	shop 140:5
restate 38:10	rooms 147:22	save 8:24 44:8 129:14	selecting 182:15	Shorelight 43:18,23
result 18:9 67:15 71:22 79:2 178:8,15	154:16	save-the-dale 69:1	selection 17:22,24 60:2,3 69:7 129:13	short 131:10
esulted 18:1	Rosen 20:19	saved 181:17,19	selections 71:24	shortage 137:7,12
results 24:15	routine 24:7	saves 105:22	self-funding 92:8	shorten 100:10
retained 60:6	routinely 119:14	savings 38:24	sell 42:20	shorter 120:17,18
etirement 69:6	RUBOTTOM 8:9 15:21,23 16:17	181:20	Seminole 92:25	shortly 111:7 145:25
retrofit 41:12,15	18:13 19:8 21:22	scan 58:21	send 10:10 66:15,16	show 40:18 61:22 87:8 90:2,3 102:3
return 27:14 48:4 116:3 123:5	24:2 25:14 28:2,18 30:18 31:22 32:17 35:4 36:19 43:22	scapegoated 8:23 182:7	67:1 90:19 108:7 155:5 157:14	108:20 120:9 124:15 142:8,15,21 155:14
reuse 43:2	45:21 48:8 50:11	scapegoating 182:23	sending 93:18	164:24 180:22
evamp 20:4	51:16 52:15 57:7 62:2,6,8 66:12 68:17	scary 69:17	sense 49:22 82:4 92:24 95:18 99:14	showed 18:10 65:12 72:2,20 75:3 78:20
revamped 30:11	72:21 75:6 79:1,17	scene 9:24	105:13 111:24	88:12 89:24 96:20
reverted 79:3,4,6	94:8 95:20,23,24 102:9 117:12 120:15	schedule 24:6	separate 16:13	108:15 123:7 165:13 166:6,8 176:19
review 18:23 75:5	122:15 125:18,23	Schell 10:6,7	85:11	177:22
107:18,20 108:4 176:8,10 178:18 179:13	132:22,25 133:2,6,9 150:23 157:10	scope 178:10,11	separately 84:9 140:7	showing 98:18 136:22 139:13
reviewed 82:20 83:4	rule 157:18 170:18 172:16	Scott 26:17 32:14,	September 28:13,16 52:17 54:25 114:19	161:17 169:16
104:16,24,25 105:1	rules 4:19 144:25	15,22 33:13 34:5,14, 25 56:24 70:21 71:3,	121:3 123:21	showroom 41:21,23 49:12
108:6 123:18 179:1, 21	157:11 158:22	6 74:19,22 83:19	134:16,18 144:15 148:13 176:24	shows 88:9,11 96:24
	159:12	93:5 107:19,20 109:5,10 169:15,20	Sera 67:11 70:1	25 104:5 109:13
reviewing 114:13 149:6 156:3	run 52:11,13 53:5	170:4,8 178:16		134:25 148:22
evise 178:18	running 18:6 69:21 81:3	179:20 182:20	serve 60:3	shy 15:1,4
Rhonda 18:21	e1.5 résumé 65:2,3,5,17,	Scott's 34:19	service 23:19 43:24	sick 180:5,16
Rick 10:6,7 20:20	23 67:18	screenshots 59:23 155:14	services 7:15 36:5 137:23 138:2,11,12,	side 74:3 93:4,6 121:22,25 141:11
rid 78:21,23		Seabrook 83:5 90:8	13,14 139:3,17	sidenote 43:15
rising 12:16 136:18	<u> </u>	108:15 133:21	sessions 152:4	
144:20 149:9,10	safety 7:2,16 16:24	Seay 20:22 66:4	set 9:23 22:11 30:2	sign 39:10 60:9 74:8, 11 89:8
risk 13:17,22 24:24	23:8 37:9,20 39:15	section 128:17	54:12 119:16,17 160:18	signature 114:5
27:19 44:15 92:16 115:13 116:24,25	82:19 106:2,8 107:18 124:12	sections 73:13	sets 27:2	signed 89:6,7 126:15
Robert 67:12	142:7,15 162:18,24 163:4	secured 91:15	settled 183:5	128:2,3
role 11:20 107:3	salaries 30:6	seek 16:23 60:12 159:1	shakes 184:5	significant 61:14,16
150:16 151:11 162:5 163:8	sale 43:5	seeking 19:23	share 62:20 129:15	signs 74:10
:oles 63:9	sales 43:5	150:25	sheets 45:1	similar 53:22 76:21 116:11 171:5 172:5
Ronnie 11:20	sat 33:13 42:21	seeks 73:25	shelf 152:22 153:7	simply 122:21
NOMINE 11.20	54:23 76:16 167:10	seldom 8:17	shell 45:14	5 11 1 1 2 2 . 2 1



24 43:8 49:11,14 158:21 46:16 50:9.10 107:6. 75:17 82:24 99:6 **stint** 177:12 7 126:8.22.24 153:2. 104:20 109:7 154:12 52:14 sit 23:10 48:15 stop 102:21,22 103:1 7,13,16,17,23 155:3 175:5.6 surprise 86:2 132:7, 183:9 site 47:20 span 144:9,13 Stairlifts 78:9 10 storage 41:24 42:1, sitting 64:20 113:24 speak 5:12,14 10:3 staking 162:2 surprised 86:3 14 121:14 124:21 21:16 38:20 67:10 132:7,14 138:17 standard 83:7 store 44:19 144:25 speakerphone 8:5 survey 93:15,18 situation 34:12 story 63:15 97:8 103:18 110:8,9,11, speaking 38:20 standards 77:21 98:2 180:25 size 146:15 12,13,15 111:16,23 71:23 127:15 156:17 standing 173:9,10 **strike** 87:17 88:2.6 skip 125:20 130:6 157:13 surveys 81:2,5 110:16,23 start 40:24 100:7 strive 158:17,19 **specific** 46:22,23 skipped 114:9 181:18 131:19 134:2 138:9 strong 173:10 Susan 107:13 slide 23:5 started 6:3 41:6,8 sustainability 7:11, specifically 13:11 student 50:4,6,8 slides 176:5,10 53:3 55:2 81:15,22 17:3 25:17 75:25 13,14 162:24 90:12,15 101:4 students 43:14,16, 107:8 110:6 161:3, **slowly** 5:14 Suzy 107:5,12 108:24 121:13,14 19 50:7 69:21 13 165:13,24 166:6, 128:10 **smaller** 97:13 153:12 160:18 9 176:18 study 18:9 20:10 152:16 starting 37:24 87:22 swear 4:6 144:17 specifics 55:6 smart 111:20 123:8 121:8 studying 46:1 sweeping 52:21 spell 6:4 starts 57:14 93:23 **soldier** 184:8 swing 42:13 stuff 46:16 60:14 spend 11:11 21:9 state 6:4 11:1 34:24 63:21 114:9 139:21 someplace 146:25 39:10 46:11 54:3 Switching 178:21 41:7,24 52:6 53:3, 156:4,7 94:19 113:12 122:7 sort 12:5 11,12,14,15 60:2 sworn 4:12,22 169:24 175:15 **subject** 117:5 65:4,9 68:20,25 **sounds** 53:24 68:3 134:10 170:1 178:25 system 127:23 spending 95:9 69:5,11,13 78:5,12 72:4.5 131:12 117:13 79:13,15 80:4,6,7 submitted 65:4 136:10 81:9,22 82:1 87:10, 84:12 107:10 spent 30:16 41:8 source 16:15 44:11 15 90:4 92:12,25 47:13 48:6 49:1.2 53:4,18 65:19 92:19 successful 43:21 94:14,23 95:2 97:21, table 55:25 129:14 142:16 153:21 63:16 89:24 100:14, 25 98:10 101:9 successor 33:8 19,21,23 101:6 tack 124:13 spin 55:23 124:24 111:15,21 115:20 104:5,11,21 107:24 suggested 55:18 122:5 137:25 149:16 Taft 67:13 70:1 130:20 136:17 139:8 spoke 21:7 67:11 109:10,23 154:10 169:25 70:1 127:15 148:17 164:8 takes 63:23 175:7,8 suggestion 27:21 165:10,13 166:6 spoken 17:16 76:6 **stated** 62:12 140:14 taking 52:9,21 68:4, 168:21 169:13 suite 65:20 178:13 15 70:2,5 98:3 **spot** 93:15 111:16 sources 16:11 30:22 summarizing 100:4 127:13 130:7 173:1 spreadsheet 40:12 statement 38:10 31:16 45:1 54:5 sunshine 69:2 talk 5:24 8:17,19 9:1 74:4 116:9 124:4 63:13 66:8 72:20 41:9 119:23 120:23 182:13 157:15 174:8 13:3,19 28:21 30:15, 87:8 94:14,24 95:12 spreadsheets 53:21 25 45:22 48:25 97:21 98:10 101:9 supplement 112:11 states 72:9 54:24 72:20,22 73:3 55:11 76:17 88:15 102:18 103:20 161:17 support 23:20,21 status 22:24 102:4 103:8,12,18, 108:12 120:20 121:5 47:19 20 105:5,23 109:17 130:18 134:15 **spring** 87:20 statute 85:23 87:7 110:8 115:10 124:25 135:17 148:2 152:1 supposed 26:11 square 97:2 136:8, 170:18 172:17 152:11 159:2 165:20 166:1, 36:2 59:25 75:17,18 23 150:4,9 statutes 158:23 16 168:5 171:10 153:6 talked 8:14,15 9:13 staff 10:4,5,13,20,22 21:5 24:21 26:16 South 78:3 79:25 stay 39:21 66:4,6 surfaced 75:2 78:4 11:17,21 12:22,25 36:10 48:19,21 **SPA** 153:17 13:8 16:5 20:9 26:3, step 124:11 surplus 41:21,23 49:23 54:24 65:6 6 55:19 63:13,15 42:1,6,16,17,19,20, 66:3,4 67:5,7 71:13 stepped 125:8 184:7 space 12:7 42:13 64:23 67:9 72:24



Index: sit..talked

Т

Index: talking..trustees

75:24 76:20 88:16	testimony 4:6	tied 153:20	114:23 116:16,17	106:12
115:14 116:11 117:2	134:12	Time 154 10	123:21 134:8 141:6	Among 1 (0, 12
122:1,25 123:13	tort (0.15 76.24	Tim 154:19	145:22 152:8 156:1	treated 160:13
134:15 137:12 141:1	text 60:15 76:24 77:7,17,23	time 5:25 7:18 11:11	172:9 173:11 174:25	Trevor 6:15 12:9
145:6 155:9 156:11	//./,1/,25	14:16 16:2 17:6,17	175:23,24 176:25	13:19 16:12,14 25:2,
talking 25:2 29:7,11,	texts 59:17,18 60:23	20:6 21:9,15,17 22:5	179:7,22	3 27:11,17 40:19
14 31:1 36:6 48:17,	61:21	24:18,20 25:12	tone 62:24	52:7 53:18 54:8
23 93:7,11 100:7	then-president	26:21 27:16 29:8,9,		56:22 59:20 61:3
101:12 102:15	73:22	18 30:19 33:15,17	Tony 29:19 121:14	65:20 68:5 72:12
108:2,14,15 112:8		34:16,19 38:1,17,25	top 23:4 98:9	75:21 85:17 87:22,
116:2,3,13 120:7	then-provost 33:3	41:14 46:15 50:22	-	24 88:19 89:14
123:2 125:25 126:9,	thick 127:21	52:10 53:22 55:2	topic 85:9	91:13 94:3,13 95:6
18 128:9 133:10		56:4 60:6 65:8,19,25	topics 30:16 115:6,	96:20 97:10,13 98:9
134:20 136:17,18,19	thing 34:4 47:19	67:23 70:3 71:6,18,	15	104:4 113:21 126:4,
137:24 144:12	109:13 116:12 147:1	25 77:11 81:16,21,		16 130:14 132:1
146:6,12 181:18	154:20 168:3	23 86:24 93:15,18	total 121:1 144:19	134:12 142:20,24
4allar 107.7	things 5:17 19:19	94:3 100:10 103:19	148:22	146:15 161:20
talks 137:7	23:8 24:9,11 25:5,22	105:22 108:10	totally 141:2	162:12 163:6,9,12,
Tant 9:6 53:20	34:1 39:11 41:2	112:1,17 113:13	-	17,22 164:1,12,15,18
112:13 159:15	42:6,20,22,24 47:6,	114:3 115:11 118:8	touch 117:24	165:10,13 166:6,16
targeted 28:7	22 49:16,23 50:7	119:22 120:16 122:25 125:2 126:5,	touched 20:12 65:3	168:16 169:10,17 170:15,19 171:8
largeleu 28.7	55:4,25 59:1,4 63:1,	10,21,25 128:4	70:14 75:25	173:3 175:25
targets 178:10	4,24 68:4 70:7 74:5,	131:17,19 137:2	4	175.5 175.25
taxpayer 181:19	10 81:2 87:6 88:14	140:17 141:4 147:5	touchy 134:10	181:3
	93:13 95:10 98:23	148:16 150:11	Tracy 9:5 21:18	
TCH 89:4 161:2	107:4 108:20 114:16	152:18,25 153:22	22:7,11 27:7 28:13	tri-gen 47:24 122:21
177:6	116:4,14 124:24	157:16 158:9 159:4,	30:1,19 52:8 53:19	123:2,8
team 106:8 111:23	125:19,20 126:7	10 166:4 175:16	64:3 73:7 74:3	trouble 124:11
128:23 129:3,4	130:6 133:14 137:8,	184:9	104:18 105:5,10	
136:25 144:22	10,14,16 141:13	4. 1. 144.17	114:19,22 115:9,18	true 13:6 23:2 37:14
146:9,10,12	143:2 144:24 149:13	timeline 144:15	119:15 120:1,2,22	63:17 124:25 167:21
	151:6 155:11,18,23	times 36:17 70:20,21	121:12,16 130:16,	171:20
telling 34:9 52:20	156:1 158:2 160:7,	71:19 84:12 86:18	20,23 134:18 148:3	trust 58:8,9,19
59:10 97:9 125:3	10 167:24 168:9	117:23 128:4 130:22	151:19 152:10	124:18
140:5	170:23 173:23 183:6	133:16	159:15 162:8 165:3,	tmuston 20.24 22.5
tells 49:4 74:11	thinking 48:23	timing 68:18	9 177:16	trustee 20:24 22:5, 16,23 55:11 73:2
83:14	97:11		trades 138:14	10,25 55.11 75.2
template 127:11	thinks 174:9	Timouri 106:5		101.1 131.9
128:12		107:2	trailer 44:18,19	trustees 10:11
	thought 8:1 23:23	title 85:15 162:15	training 154:21	12:17,19,20 13:3
ten 20:5 26:21	24:11,22 44:10		transcribed 176:24	14:4 18:17,22 20:13,
tendency 5:11,13	61:14 73:14 88:1,3	today 5:17 25:2		15 21:17,25 33:6,14
•	102:25 116:14	116:13 154:4 156:1	transcripts 87:13	55:16 56:18,21 67:8
tenure 170:22	117:16 123:3	178:23 181:8	transfer 37:8 41:18	68:25 69:2 74:15
term 56:16 171:18	133:17,20 140:19	told 5:6 16:16 18:5	51:17 89:11	75:18 82:25 85:6
	155:15,16 158:23	24:23 27:6,7,16 33:5		87:12,14 88:1 90:5,
terminated 181:13	159:11 170:17,23	36:18 42:10,11 46:8	transferred 37:2	13,16,17,20,23
termination 182:25	172:15,20	56:21,24,25 58:2,7,	40:18 89:14,21	103:2,25 110:1,5 137:2,18 154:12
4 72 04 120 11	thousand 59:22	12,20 63:13 66:4	transfers 52:4	164:21 165:22,25
terms 73:24 139:11	threatening 62:25	67:9 68:25 71:3,8	89:17,20 143:16,25	166:3,19,24 167:23
171:5 172:5 178:4		74:8,19 75:13,15		168:16,20,24 169:8
territory 162:2	three-hour 157:22	78:16 80:18 83:9,11,	transparent 123:22	171:3 176:4,15,18
		16 87:10 99:4	124:19 125:4 180:23	182:10,21
testified 1.12 174.22	fie 128.25 129.25			102.10,21
testified 4:13 174:23 175:2	tie 128:25 129:25	101:10,11 113:23	transportation	102.10,21



Index: trustees'..works

KERNEK, PRISCILLA				Index: trustees'works
trustees' 96:18	unable 21:13,20	14 54:7 63:22 64:1	violation 170:18	Whittaker 9:17
truth 4:7,8,22 160:17 179:7 180:10	uncommon 173:20 174:6	68:16 69:20 72:19 73:5 84:8 86:14	vitae 63:22,25	11:7,14,16 12:2 15:16 21:18 22:7
		89:25 91:15,24	volunteer 92:23	29:23 30:21 32:13
truthful 4:23 6:22	underground 47:17	92:19 106:22 110:19	vote 18:17,18 19:4	33:4,6 45:15 51:5
64:7	understand 9:20	120:2,13,21 121:9, 10 133:15,18 141:1,		63:7 65:2 67:4 72:11 73:2,4,22 74:1,13,19
Tuesday 146:3	16:22 17:17 35:19	17 165:23 169:19,20	voted 18:20 90:21,22 129:17	91:16 92:4 102:4
turn 8:6 58:20 80:11	38:16 45:25 46:2	171:11,12 180:22		103:6 109:6 114:3
81:13	50:17 54:6,7 73:17	181:17,19 183:19	voting 15:4 31:14	117:25 118:15,17
turned 29:21 42:23	75:7 84:2 88:5 91:22 99:2,20,25 101:12,	university's 68:10	32:15,16	119:14 120:22 121:9
130:20	21 108:9,11 109:17	118:1,7	VP/PRESIDENT	123:18 124:1 125:15
	111:22 112:19	Unlike 153:19	116:7	136:5,13 145:11 150:15 151:10,16
turnover 29:18	113:1,16 120:20			153:14 161:2,7,10,
twelve 32:6	121:16 126:11	upcoming 167:25	W	16,22 164:20 165:4,
tying 129:9,15	127:5,7 128:3	update 119:8 134:25		12,19 166:21 177:18
• 0	133:13 135:22 141:8,14,16 144:18	upfront 96:5	wait 86:10 136:3	178:17 179:22
type 126:20 150:10	146:24 147:2 151:13	-	waited 59:3,5,7	182:8,20 183:6,20
typed 57:17	171:18	upset 58:7	Waldrop 29:19	Whittaker's 67:18
types 10:12	understanding	utilities 7:15 36:4,5	91:16 114:2 121:14	109:6 166:14
typical 131:25	21:1 31:23 32:2,9,10	47:25 141:11		177:12,21
	35:10 36:13 40:1		walked 65:9	wind 173:10
Typically 84:16	55:19,21 80:1 87:3	V	walking 125:19	withdraw 69:4
	92:14,15 93:20 95:8		141:5	
U	100:4,13 131:21	Valencia 92:25	Walsh 20:20,21,24	withdrew 69:11,12
	169:9,14 170:2 172:1,6 174:15	valuable 60:24	21:7,15 22:5,16,20,	word 134:6
UBC 32:13,14,15			23 66:4 67:8	words 62:25 133:13,
UCF 6:12,25 7:18	understood 87:10	Vanessa 93:6	wanted 46:16 53:16	20 134:1,3,7 135:10
8:13 9:4,10 26:5	110:1 150:15,17 172:1 179:11	vendors 117:11	62:15 71:10,19 80:3,	work 7:25 8:2 9:5,7,
34:13 35:20 43:11,		139:3	5,7,11,12 90:13,18,	15 10:8 11:8,9 19:21
13,16 44:3 50:6 58:9	undertake 17:18	venue 40:24 41:1,12	25 127:3 136:21,23 141:3 160:22,24	46:18,21,24 47:1,4
60:12 65:24 67:8 69:15 70:13 74:15	19:14 20:2	verbal 70:17,19		59:23 65:18,21
78:7 79:22 81:12	undertaken 17:15	76:21	wanting 81:19	67:22 138:18 139:20
83:2 142:2 153:20	165:21		warehouse 41:24,25	143:14 158:14
154:6 158:6,15	undertook 19:14	verbatim 65:17	watch 23:11,13	181:17,25 182:1
159:4,10 162:2,16,	80:21	verified 46:9,12		worked 6:10 8:1,4
21 163:21 164:2,5	undetermined	verify 142:11	water 122:22 123:9	9:8,16,20 10:9,12
165:6,21 168:15,19, 22 169:25 170:22	45:14	version 120:3,7,17,	ways 29:15 101:16,	11:9,13 22:8 23:17,
171:4,14,24 173:20	unhappy 115:13	18,20	19 122:17,18	23 39:7 74:3 107:14 112:5 127:10 179:3
174:2 175:7,12			week 36:10 59:15	
178:5 180:6	uniformity 127:22	versus 123:10 145:1	76:1 105:24 107:5	working 12:18,20 18:22 21:19 24:3
uh-huh 5:1 82:6	universities 36:15	vice 7:1,22 24:23,24	weekly 30:2 119:16,	33:2 51:2 67:21
84:17 87:21 93:25	46:10 53:16 76:7,10,	32:21 46:14 57:21	17	74:21 77:11 79:8,9
96:7 97:14 99:16	14,17 127:20 133:19	76:12,13 82:22	woolrg 92.11	81:13,16 107:7,16
105:14 129:19	175:8	105:2 116:15,22 118:14,15 162:17	weeks 82:11	112:14 127:11
130:24 148:24	university 6:10	169:5	weigh 183:24	144:22,23 147:13
uh-uh 5:1	22:10 23:21 30:7,8,	violated 159:11	whichever 122:3	149:22,24 153:22
ultimately 22:16	13,14 31:19,23 33:13 34:20,23		whispered 19:18	154:8 179:5,6
	42:25 43:23 50:13,	violating 172:16	winspercu 19.10	works 106:8 132:8
				153:9



