## In the Matter of:

Investigative Hearing

### **GINA SEABROOK**

February 14, 2019



# Investigative Hearing SEABROOK, GINA

	<b>,</b> -			
1		LORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES		
2	Public 1	ntegrity & Ethics Committee		
3				
4	IN RE:			
5	Investigative Heari			
6	Unauthorized Use of Funds for Fixed Cap	oital Outlay		
7	Projects at the University of Central Florida.			
8		/		
9	DEPOSITION OF:	GINA SEABROOK		
10	DATE:	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2019		
11	TIME:	1:25 P.M 2:00 P.M.		
12	PLACE:	UCF COMMUNICATIONS & MARKETING		
13		12443 RESEARCH PARKWAY SUITE 301		
14		ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32826		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	STENOGRAPHICALLY			
25	REPORTED BY:	ANTHONY ROLLAND, CRR		



```
APPEARANCES:
 2
   CARINE L. MITZ, ESQUIRE (BY VIDEOCONFERENCE)
    OF: FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
 3
        402 SOUTH MONROE STREET
        TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1300
 4
        850.717.4881
        CARINE.MITZ@MYFLORIDAHOUSE.GOV
 5
    AND
6
   DON RUBOTTOM, ESQUIRE
7
   OF: FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
        402 SOUTH MONROE STREET
8
        TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1300
        850.717.4881
9
        DON.RUBOTTOM@MYFLORIDAHOUSE.GOV
        APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA HOUSE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	I N D E X
2	TESTIMONY OF GINA SEABROOK
3	EXAMINATION4
4	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
5	CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT34
6	
7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
8	FLORIDA HOUSE EXHIBITS
9	EXHIBIT 1 PREMARKED
10	
11	
12	STIPULATIONS
13	It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between
14	the counsel for the respective parties and the deponent
15	that the reading and signing of the deposition
16	transcript be waived.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * * * *
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Would you raise your right
4	hand, please?
5	Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the
6	testimony you're about to give in this case will be
7	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
8	truth?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you, ma'am.
11	THEREUPON
12	GINA SEABROOK
13	was called as a witness and, having first been duly
14	sworn, testified as follows:
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. MITZ:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Seabrook.
18	A. Good afternoon.
19	Q. So we touched upon the ground rules before the
20	court reporter arrived. Did you understand them for
21	today's deposition?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you have any questions?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Okay, great. Let's get started. Can you state



- 1 your full name for the record?
- 2 A. Novenia Gina Seabrook.
- 3 Q. And have you discussed this deposition with
- 4 anyone?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Have you reviewed anything in preparation for
- 7 this deposition?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Were you previously interviewed by the Bryan
- 10 Cave attorneys?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And do you recall how many times you were
- 13 interviewed?
- 14 A. Three.
- 15 Q. And was everything that you stated in those
- 16 interviews true?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were you ever interviewed or asked to answer
- 19 questions of anybody else, like people in the general
- 20 counsel's office, people in the president's office, your
- 21 immediate supervisor?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. How long have you been with UCF?
- A. Almost 30 years.
- 25 Q. And what positions have you held in that time?



- 1 A. I was a computer specialist. Right now I'm a
- 2 construction specialist. I've held like four titles.
- 3 Q. Let me ask you this: How long have you been a
- 4 construction specialist?
- 5 A. Probably six years maybe.
- 6 Q. Okay. And in that position do you work
- 7 regularly or did you work regularly with Lee Kernek?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Would you say you guys worked closely together?
- 10 A. Not all the time.
- 11 Q. Okay. Were you basically preparing documents
- 12 that then went to her for either review or edits?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MS. MITZ: All right, Don. Do you want to ask
- 15 the questions about the capital?
- 16 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 17 Q. Yes. Ms. Seabrook, are you responsible for the
- 18 preparation of the five-year capital improvement plans?
- 19 A. Not the entire thing, but yes.
- 20 Q. Do you develop the document?
- 21 A. Not the entire thing, but yes.
- 22 Q. Is that a form created by UCF?
- 23 A. The form, it depends on which one you're
- 24 talking about. The five-year plan is the forms are
- 25 created by the board of governors. The CIP form, that's



- 1 the colorful form, yeah, that's a UCF form.
- 2 O. So which part is created by the board of
- 3 governors?
- 4 A. The entire capital improvement plan, so all of
- 5 the plans that are within the entire packet that's
- 6 submitted.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what is your understanding of the
- 8 purpose of the five-year capital improvement plan?
- 9 A. To get projects on the list to be built.
- 10 Q. And how did you learn that was the purpose?
- 11 A. Just by going through the forms and everything
- 12 that needs to be put together, you know, you go through
- 13 the approval process and all of that. So just by the
- 14 whole, the whole packet, the way it's put together.
- 15 Q. Do you know how many people it goes through for
- 16 final approval before they present it? I think they
- 17 present it to the finance and facilities committee every
- 18 year before it goes to the full board. Do you know how
- 19 many people approve it before the committee sees it?
- 20 A. From the beginning?
- 21 Q. Uh-huh.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Can you just list the people that you can --
- 24 A. Probably about six people. Well, I don't take
- 25 it through the process so I can't really list them, I



- 1 just know kind of what the process is. So from what I
- 2 understand it goes from Lee Kernek to the provost, to
- 3 the Mr. Merck, to the VP to the president, and then to
- 4 the finance and facilities committee.
- 5 Q. Do you know if the general counsel reviews it?
- 6 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 7 Q. So who would have final approval? Would the
- 8 president approve it, to your knowledge?
- 9 A. Yes, the president will approve it before it
- 10 goes to the board of trustees. Yes.
- 11 Q. Would Mr. Merck have approval after the provost
- 12 or the provost after Mr. Merck?
- 13 A. I don't know because I don't go to those
- 14 meetings, so I wouldn't know.
- 15 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you the five-year
- 16 capital improvement plan from last summer. This is from
- 17 the board agenda, it's behind tab one of this exhibit.
- 18 Do you recognize that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you worked on that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. To your knowledge, is it a true and accurate
- 23 copy of what was on the board agenda on that day?
- 24 A. If I can read it. It's so tiny.
- 25 Q. Yeah, we've got some time.



- 1 A. I mean it's tiny, I can't even see it. I mean
- 2 it looks like it, yeah.
- 3 Q. Do you go to those board meetings?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. I want you to go to the BOB-2 list on that
- 6 document. Do you know why, and this is last July, the
- 7 building was going to be completed within a month. Do
- 8 you know why Trevor Colbourn and the Colbourn demolition
- 9 are listed on that BOB-2 for this current year?
- 10 A. Well, yes, because these projects, the ones
- 11 that you see in red are all the projects that were
- 12 approved by the legislature but didn't get funded, so
- 13 they just, they're just back on. So like this was from
- 14 16-17, 17-18, 18-19, so that's why they're still on
- 15 there and that's why they're in red.
- 16 Q. Would you read the heading of that BOB-2?
- 17 A. Yes. It's "Fixed capital outlay projects that
- 18 may require legislature approval and general revenue
- 19 funds to operate and maintain."
- 20 Q. Are you aware that the legislature actually had
- 21 approved that project multiple times in fact?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Just not funded any?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So who told you that it should go back on the



- 1 document, even though it had been approved, simply
- 2 because it hadn't been funded?
- 3 A. I think, I'm not sure, but I think the board of
- 4 governors originally when they sent this out to, they
- 5 said put the projects back on, and I think that was for
- 6 one year that they said to do that. And it was not
- 7 clear in the instructions, so I think they just go ahead
- 8 and put them on again and just leave them there as
- 9 approved but not funded.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry, I don't even remember, but would you
- 11 go back to the PECO list and see if that project's on
- 12 the PECO list?
- 13 A. On the Trevor Colbourn Hall?
- 14 O. Yes. The Trevor Colbourn and the demolition.
- 15 A. What year did you say this?
- 16 O. That's this last summer.
- 17 A. I can't read these.
- 18 Q. Let me see if I can.
- 19 MS. MITZ: It is a bit small.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's really small, I can't
- 21 read them, and kind of blurry.
- MS. MITZ: Very.
- I don't see it.
- 24 THE WITNESS: If it's last year's I believe
- it's not on there. If it's the one that we just



- 1 submitted in last June or July, I believe it's not
- 2 on there.
- 3 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 4 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the BOB-2. Who gives
- 5 you the information for the funding sources on the
- 6 BOB-2?
- 7 A. The funding sources, for these funding sources?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. These funding sources came from finance and
- 10 accounting.
- 11 Q. And each year when you do that, they give you
- 12 the list of buildings and you put them on the form?
- 13 A. Actually, they'll just tell me the projects
- 14 that go on the form, they'll just tell me, add, they'll
- 15 give me the names of the buildings to add and then I
- 16 just add them on.
- 17 Q. Would they ever change any of that after you're
- 18 through with it through the approval process or would
- 19 any changes come back to you to make on the form?
- 20 A. If there was approval by going through the
- 21 approval process, yes, they'll come back to me and I'll
- 22 make the changes before it's officially submitted.
- Q. Do you see the funding source there for Trevor
- 24 Colbourn Hall on that BOB-2?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. And what does that say?
- 2 A. It says CF Auxiliary.
- 3 Q. And who would have given you that information?
- 4 A. That would have come from finance and
- 5 accounting.
- 6 Q. Who particularly would have given you that
- 7 information?
- 8 A. I believe it was probably either Tracy or
- 9 Christy.
- 10 Q. But you're pretty sure, you don't think it was
- 11 Lee?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if there were any -- do you
- 14 know if that was added after you did an initial draft of
- 15 the BOB-2 last summer?
- 16 A. You mean initially before it was submitted?
- 17 Q. Well, before it went up for review, or was that
- 18 your original, was that the original form of the BOB-2
- 19 where they had CF Auxiliary there?
- 20 A. No. This was actually, this was changed once.
- 21 It said E&G before.
- 22 Q. Yes. Previous years.
- 23 A. And then it was changed once, I think Christy
- 24 and F&A group, they changed it. I don't know why, I'm
- 25 not involved in all of that, so I wouldn't know why.



- 1 O. Right. I understand. But that's what I needed
- 2 to know is who put CF Auxiliary on there.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. So you did draft it for last year with E&G
- 5 again and then they changed it?
- 6 A. They changed it.
- 7 Q. Okay. And that's understandable, I'm just
- 8 trying to figure out who did.
- 9 MS. MITZ: Let me just ask one question. Do
- 10 you recall when that change was made? Just the
- 11 month even.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I believe this was changed when
- Jeff asked for the funding sources for these
- projects, for the three projects that they was being
- audited and they had to provide the funding sources,
- and I believe that's when this was changed. Yes.
- MS. MITZ: And by Jeff you're referring to the
- 18 auditor general, right?
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes.
- 20 MS. MITZ: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- Q. Have you ever heard anybody say that those
- 23 funding sources are somehow approved by the legislature
- 24 in the budget process?
- 25 A. No.



- 1 Q. Let me ask you about capital outlay budget.
- 2 Are you responsible for preparing that form?
- 3 A. Yes, I put information on the form, yeah.
- 4 Q. Where do you get the information for that form?
- 5 Because the legislature gets finished and then -- where
- 6 did you get the information for that current year?
- 7 A. I usually just pull it from the bill. Once the
- 8 bill is approved and out, I usually just pull the
- 9 information from there and go through what's UCF's and
- 10 then just put that on there, based what's UCF's.
- 11 Q. Right. But you also included projects that
- 12 weren't funded, so do you take from this from the
- 13 previous year CIP?
- 14 A. Yes, it comes from the CIP, yes.
- 15 Q. And who instructed you how to do the capital
- 16 outlay budget?
- 17 A. I don't think I was ever instructed on how to
- 18 do it. I think it's just been done like that forever.
- 19 And way back, I think, I wasn't doing it in the
- 20 beginning, I kind of inherited it because people leave
- 21 and you just inherit stuff, so I just continued to do it
- 22 the same way that it was being done.
- Q. Okay. Did anybody in finance and accounting
- 24 ever give you any instruction or any feedback on that
- 25 form?



- 1 A. No.
- 2 O. Okay. Did they seem to care much about that
- 3 form? I mean was there much editing or playing around
- 4 with it at all?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Do you know who has final approval of that form
- 7 before it goes to the board?
- 8 A. No, I don't know. I just -- it goes to Lee, it
- 9 goes to Lee Kernek, and then from her, I'm not sure if
- 10 she takes it anyplace or not, but then it goes to the
- 11 board of trustees. So I'm not sure if she takes it
- 12 anywhere.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you seem to be more aware on the CIP
- 14 of the steps, but you're not aware on the capital outlay
- 15 budget?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Are you aware of any time when a board member
- 18 sought any additional information about a capital outlay
- 19 budget?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any time that the general
- 22 counsel, provost or president has sought additional
- 23 information about that document?
- A. When you mean "sought additional," what do you
- 25 mean?



- 1 Q. Well, I mean the document comes to them or they
- 2 see it on the agenda and then they ask questions about
- 3 it.
- 4 A. Oh, no, I wouldn't know. I don't attend those
- 5 meetings, no.
- 6 Q. Would you go to tab two now? That's the Trevor
- 7 Colbourn Hall building program.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were you responsible for preparing that
- 10 document?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 O. Do you know who prepared that document?
- 13 A. This document was prepared by Susan Hudson.
- 14 Q. Did you participate in that at all?
- 15 A. In this document?
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Actually, yes, I did. I helped, I gave her the
- 19 breakout of the, if it's in here, the plan and
- 20 construction equipment, I'm not sure if it's in here.
- 21 Q. It might be in the detail budget.
- 22 A. No, not the detail budget.
- This piece.
- Q. Well, that's what I meant. That's the project
- 25 budget.



- 1 A. This piece right here.
- 2 Q. Do you know where the program funds piece would
- 3 have come from?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 MS. MITZ: What page are you guys looking at?
- 6 MR. RUBOTTOM: This is appendix C and Appendix
- 7 D.
- 8 So she did participate in appendix D which is
- 9 kind of a general construction budget on the
- 10 project.
- 11 MS. MITZ: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 13 Q. Are you aware if those documents have been
- 14 created on other projects, other buildings?
- 15 A. Not of late. It used to be done a long time
- 16 ago, but not as of late, no.
- 17 Q. And you don't know anything -- do you work on
- 18 the master plan?
- 19 A. I work on it a little bit, but not really. I
- 20 mean I kind of inherited a little bit of it when there
- 21 was nobody to do it, so I kind of had a grasp of it, so
- 22 I kind of inherited a little bit.
- Q. What piece is that?
- 24 A. I did maybe one or two amendments. So not the
- 25 original plan itself, but I'm familiar with it.



- 1 Q. Do you work on the ten-year building list
- 2 that's in that?
- 3 A. Yes. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you keep that document, I mean do you
- 5 maintain that document as projects come into the plan?
- 6 A. As they add projects and they do the amendments
- 7 for them, yes. I would add them to the list as an
- 8 amendment, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. I was thinking that you helped work on
- 10 that, so it's Susan Hudson you say?
- 11 A. Well, Susan Hudson did the program, this
- 12 program. I did, was involved a little bit with the one
- 13 that was done a long time ago, but it was way before
- 14 this one and it was never submitted, it never went
- 15 anywhere, but I was involved were it a little bit.
- 16 Q. For the smaller version of the building?
- 17 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 18 Q. So there was a document close to that that was
- 19 worked on. I mean I know I've seen a chart of all the
- 20 space allocations.
- 21 A. It was worked on, but it was never finalized or
- 22 went anywhere. It was pretty much similar to this.
- Q. Okay. I didn't realize there was one.
- A. Yeah, it's pretty much similar to this one.
- 25 Q. Would that have been like in late 2014, early



- 1 2015?
- 2 A. I don't recall. I did give a copy to Berby,
- 3 but I don't recall when exactly it was done.
- 4 Q. Berby have has a copy of it?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you still have copies available? If we ask
- 7 you for it could we get that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That document also contains some history that
- 10 includes references to the BOB-2s that the building had
- 11 appeared on. Did you help anybody pull that information
- 12 for that? I think that's on like page 24.
- 13 A. I know what you're talking about. Susan Hudson
- 14 pulled them from the board of trustees' meetings, and
- 15 then she just asked me and I said, yeah, that looks
- 16 right, but I didn't pull them, no, she did.
- 17 Q. Do you know why they included a timeline there?
- 18 Because that section of the document talks about
- 19 basically how does this impact the master plan. Do you
- 20 know why they decided to do a timeline?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 O. Are you familiar with a timeline on other
- 23 projects?
- 24 A. No.
- You mean on other building programs that were



- 1 previously done?
- 2 O. Yeah.
- 3 A. Not that kind of a timeline, no.
- Q. On the BOB-2's, back to the BOB-2, have you
- 5 ever seen -- have you ever seen CF Auxiliary, auxiliary,
- 6 auxiliary overhead listed as funding source on a BOB-2?
- 7 A. Prior to this one?
- 8 Q. Right, prior to this one.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Have you ever seen investment gains put down on
- 11 a BOB-2?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. There's been a whole bunch that have said E&G.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. And other universities have done that as
- 16 well --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- so we're asking all of them about that.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you have any involvement at all in the
- 21 funding aspects of capital projects?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you track any commitments to buildings or --
- A. No, I don't track any commitments to buildings,
- 25 but I do do a preliminary budget which goes within the



- 1 five-year plan, so it's that third sheet that looks like
- 2 a scheduled budget. So when I have a dollar value of
- 3 what the total project is going to cost, then I have a
- 4 spreadsheet that I plug that in and it filters out
- 5 everything that we need to do with that project, which
- 6 is the same thing that you'll see in the five-year plan.
- 7 Q. Would you have any information of where that
- 8 money came from?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. When the auditor general started asking
- 11 questions, I think that was probably April, were you
- 12 asked or did you provide any information when they were
- 13 trying to respond to the auditors' questions?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. What information did you, were you responsible
- 16 to pull up?
- 17 A. I was responsible to do the selection piece of
- 18 it, so it's the selection, how we select the firms, so
- 19 it's the selection part of it.
- Q. Okay. So they were looking at the procurement?
- 21 A. Yeah. They were looking at did we
- 22 competitively select is how did we do it, do we do it
- 23 correctly pretty much is what it is. And then how we
- 24 short-listed the firms, and from there how we finalized
- 25 it, and we go through the whole process of that phase.



- 1 So they asked for those types of documents. They asked
- 2 for the narrative on Trevor Colbourn Hall.
- 3 Q. The history?
- 4 A. The narrative that's within the five-year plan,
- 5 so it's how the breakout. So it's within the five-year
- 6 plan, so within the five-year plan for each project
- 7 you'll have a narrative and then you'll have a
- 8 spreadsheet, and some of them have an ROI with them.
- 9 Q. And that's the stuff they submit to the BOG on
- 10 each project?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Some stuff I filtered through me because the
- 13 questions was asked to me and then I would go to other
- 14 people to get the answers, and then or through email
- 15 even when they send them to me I would just forward them
- 16 on to the auditor.
- 17 Q. Were you asked to provide any of the BOB-2
- 18 information during that process?
- 19 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 20 Q. Do you know who asked?
- 21 A. I believe it was Jeff. It was either Jeff or
- 22 Nick, but I think it was Jeff. I'm almost sure it was
- 23 Jeff.
- Q. So when you were asked to get information but
- 25 you needed others to help, what others would you have



#### 1 involved in gathering that information?

- 2 A. There were a few people that were involved in
- 3 it. So depending on what it was, it was our business
- 4 office, facilities and safety business office, our F&A.
- 5 Those I think are pretty much two of the departments
- 6 that if I couldn't get the information, that those were
- 7 the -- I went to them.
- 8 O. What information would you have asked F&A
- 9 about?
- 10 A. They asked for the funding sources for the
- 11 project that they were auditing, they asked for the
- 12 funding sources for those projects. And then so I in
- 13 turn moved it on to the business office and asked them,
- 14 which they in turn moved it on to F&A for the funding
- 15 sources because that's ultimately, I believe, where they
- 16 come from.
- 17 Q. So were you just point with Jeff on the project
- 18 because were you project manager, or were you -- because
- 19 it's funny that that question would come to you about
- 20 funding sources.
- 21 A. Yeah. I think a lot of stuff maybe flows
- 22 through me or questions asked of me because I've been
- 23 here a long time and they figure I can get them the
- 24 information, I know where to turn it to to get the
- 25 information. So I'm not really sure. I know I was



- 1 involved in it, but I would just go and --
- 2 Q. But usually a state auditor wouldn't talk to
- 3 you unless it was about a construction project, right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. You're not assigned to interface with auditors?
- 6 A. No. Only if it's for a construction project,
- 7 yeah. No.
- 8 Q. And did you have a special role in Trevor
- 9 Colbourn Hall that you might have peers that do similar
- 10 roles on other projects?
- 11 A. No, I didn't, I didn't have any special role in
- 12 Trevor Colbourn Hall, I mean besides the selection
- 13 process, no.
- 14 Q. But were you on the selection committee or did
- 15 you lead that effort?
- 16 A. I led the effort. I'm not on the committee.
- 17 There's other people on the committee.
- 18 Q. You were staff lead?
- 19 A. I'm the liaison, so I'm able to answer
- 20 questions for anybody that would have questions.
- 21 MR. RUBOTTOM: Okay. Thank you very much.
- Carine, you can talk about the other projects.
- 23 BY MS. MITZ:
- 24 Q. Ms. Seabrook, did you have any involvement in
- 25 addition to possibly preparing the capital outlay budget



- 1 and any five-year capital improvement plans that
- 2 identified the band building? Did you do anything more
- 3 than those forms?
- 4 A. Nothing more than those forms.
- 5 Q. Have you been asked since the auditor became
- 6 involved any questions similar to the questions that you
- 7 were asked about Trevor Colbourn Hall?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. So same question for the research buildings,
- 10 did you do anything more than prepare those basic forms
- 11 we've been talking about?
- 12 A. I believe research one, the research building
- 13 was one of the ones that was being audited as well. I
- 14 think that's one of the ones that was being audited.
- 15 Q. So as a result were you asked to do some
- 16 digging or provide any documents or anything like that?
- 17 A. Just, they would ask for the same documents for
- 18 all three of the buildings that they were auditing, so
- 19 they would ask for the same documents. Whatever they
- 20 asked for I provided to them. But they asked for the
- 21 same funding sources and they asked for everything, the
- 22 same thing they asked for Trevor Colbourn Hall with the
- 23 exception of the narrative.
- Q. You mean they didn't ask for the narrative in
- 25 the research building?



- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. How about the Center for Emerging Media, did
- 3 you prepare the basic documents for that project?
- 4 A. Just the basic documents, yeah.
- 5 Q. Anybody, the auditor or anybody ask you
- 6 questions about that?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Same question for the downtown campus
- 9 infrastructure, did you prepare the basic documents for
- 10 that project?
- 11 A. Yes. With that one I think, it's just on the
- 12 list, there's no backup documentation with that, so it's
- 13 just listed on the list.
- 14 Q. On the capital improvements?
- 15 A. Yes. Like there's no narrative with it or
- 16 anything like that, yes.
- 17 Q. All right. And how about the venue, did you do
- 18 anything special for that project?
- 19 A. Nothing at all.
- 20 Q. And for the projects that I've just identified,
- 21 did you know anything more about the funding source
- 22 besides what you were marking down on the form?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And then how about the main campus district
- 25 energy plant, did you do anything besides the basic



- 1 documents?
- 2 A. Just the basic documents, yes.
- 3 Q. And the Global UCF building?
- 4 A. Yes, just the basic documents.
- 5 Q. Were you asked about that by the auditor
- 6 general?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 O. And how about the CREOL building, did you do
- 9 the basic documents on that one?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And were you asked about that by the auditor?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Know anything special about the source of
- 14 funding for that project?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you ever hear anyone discussing the
- 17 possibility that a funding source for any project may be
- 18 illegal?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever hear anybody say that using E&G
- 21 funds to construct Trevor Colbourn Hall might lead to an
- 22 audit comment?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Were you ever told to include information on
- 25 the capital outlay budget or the five-year capital



- 1 improvement plan that you felt might be misleading
- 2 information?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you ever take -- were you in the habit of
- 5 taking notes or keeping journals or diaries of things
- 6 that you worked on?
- 7 A. I do keep the changes to the five-year plan, I
- 8 do keep those, and I also put notes when it goes to the
- 9 board of trustees, I also put notes on why things moved
- 10 around or why things changed, what was the reason for
- 11 the move or the change or whatever it may be, yes.
- 12 O. Okay. Would you have any notes about
- 13 information on the source of funding that's in those
- 14 documents?
- 15 A. No.
- MS. MITZ: I think that concludes my questions.
- 17 MR. RUBOTTOM: Carine, I did want to ask her
- about another thing and it's to figure out the
- 19 relationships.
- 20 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 21 Q. I've got an email here, Gina, and I'll show it
- 22 to you. It's from 2016, I don't expect you to remember
- 23 it. You're on the list, but it's from Christy to Lee
- 24 and Bill Martin and yourself and others, and she's
- 25 asking for information about projects. Let me just read



- 1 from the email. She says, "The attached schedule
- 2 contains three vertical sections; estimated costs,
- 3 secured funding sources and funding needed." And she
- 4 asks them to review all these sections. "If an amount
- 5 needs to be updated, please provide the new amount."
- 6 Why would you have been included on that
- 7 question?
- 8 A. Probably included on there because I do the
- 9 CIP, so I do the capital improvement plan.
- 10 MR. RUBOTTOM: I accidentally muted it.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I think that was sent to me
- because I do the capital improvement plan and the
- list of projects on there kind of mirrored what they
- had on the, what was on the list. So I just went
- through -- so my list shows, my list makes sure just
- 16 to see if our numbers match up. So I just reviewed
- it for those purposes.
- 18 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 19 Q. Would you have -- would you have had any
- 20 special responsibility for project costs?
- 21 A. The project costs? The project costs? The
- 22 project costs would have come off of the, on some of
- 23 them, they would come off of the CIP. So they would
- 24 come off of the CIP list that we have. But if they're
- 25 different than that, those, yeah, those are not my



- 1 numbers.
- 2 O. But you would have had no responsibility for
- 3 anything about funding sources?
- 4 A. Nope.
- 5 Q. Okay. This is 2016, after the decision was
- 6 made to demolish the old building.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And it looks like it's showing, does that look
- 9 like the previous year's numbers for the new Colbourn
- 10 and the renovation?
- 11 A. Yes. The 15 million and the 23 million, yes.
- 12 O. In this process that summer, would you all have
- 13 then adjusted that and moved all 38 to Trevor Colbourn
- 14 Hall in the process of working on this document?
- 15 A. Yes. Well, I don't know about this document,
- 16 but on my CIP, on the CIP that I do it was changed to
- 17 one project, Trevor Colbourn Hall and Colbourn Hall
- 18 demolition, and it was changed to 38 million, yes.
- 19 O. Right. So let me go back to her email.
- 20 MS. MITZ: Don, for the record, can you
- 21 identify the email, like who sent it, who received
- it, the date and time?
- MR. RUBOTTOM: Yes, I will. This is, it's an
- 24 email entitled Capital Projects List. This is
- 25 Monday, June 27, 2016, 11:34 a.m. from Christina



- 1 Tant. The subject, Capital Projects List. Response
- due, July 1. And it says, "All, I've been asked to
- 3 track estimates of funding sources for capital
- 4 projects. Your assistance in reviewing the attached
- 5 draft report is needed. It includes projects that
- 6 have been approved to start, but should also include
- 7 projects that are urgent or very high on the
- 8 university's priority list, so imminent funding
- 9 needs are clearly understood."
- 10 So you all would have updated this, that chart,
- 11 based on current information, and you would have
- 12 already updated the CIP that year?
- 13 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 14 BY MR. RUBOTTOM:
- 15 Q. So the same updates on the CIP, you would have
- 16 added those to this document?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 MR. RUBOTTOM: Thank you very much.
- 19 BY MS. MITZ:
- 20 Q. So the only other statement or request that we
- 21 have, Ms. Seabrook, is that you not discuss this
- 22 deposition with anyone, and that would include the
- 23 questions that you've been asked and answers that you've
- 24 given until the house concludes its investigation. Do
- 25 you agree to do that?



```
1
     Α.
           Yes.
          MS. MITZ: Thank you very much. We appreciate
 2
     it.
 3
           (Deposition concluded at 2:00 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE:
5	
6	I, Anthony Rolland, Notary Public, State of Florida,
7	do hereby certify that GINA SEABROOK personally appeared
8	before me on February 14, 2019 and was duly sworn and
9	produced a Florida driver's license as identification.
10	Signed this 16th day of February, 2019.
11	
12	
13	
14	Anthony Rolland
15	Anthony Rolland
16	Notary Public, State of Florida
17	My Commission No.: GG 162479 Expires: December 17, 2021
18	Empires December 11, 2021
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	COUNTY OF ORANGE:
5	
6	I, Anthony Rolland, Notary Public, State of Florida,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the deposition of GINA SEABROOK;
9	that a review of the transcript was not requested; and
10	that the foregoing transcript, pages 4 through 31, is a
11	true and accurate record of my stenographic notes.
12	I further certify that I am not a relative,
13	employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
14	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	
18	DATED this 16th day of February, 2019.
19	
20	Anthony Rolland
21	Anthony Rolland
22	Antiony Rolland
23	
24	
25	



<b>Exhibits</b>	additional 15:18,22,	27:5,11	18:1,16 19:10,25	27:21 30:9,13,17
Exhibits	24	auditors 24:5	25:2,12,25 27:3,8 30:6	colorful 7:1
021419_G.	adjusted 30:13	auditors' 21:13	<b>buildings</b> 11:12,15	comment 27:22
Seabrook_ Exhibit 01 3:9	affirm 4:5 afternoon 4:17,18	<b>auxiliary</b> 12:2,19 13:2 20:5,6	17:14 20:23,24 25:9, 18	commitments 20:23,24
1	<b>agenda</b> 8:17,23 16:2 <b>agree</b> 31:25	<b>aware</b> 9:20 15:13, 14,17,21 17:13	built 7:9 bunch 20:13	<b>committee</b> 7:17,19 8:4 24:14,16,17
<b>1</b> 31:2	ahead 10:7		<b>business</b> 23:3,4,13	competitively 21:2
<b>11:34</b> 30:25	allocations 18:20	B		completed 9:7
<b>15</b> 30:11	amendment 18:8	back 9:13,25 10:5,11	C	computer 6:1
<b>16-17</b> 9:14	amendments 17:24	11:4,19,21 14:19 20:4 30:19	called 4:13	concluded 32:4
<b>17-18</b> 9:14	18:6	<b>backup</b> 26:12	campus 26:8,24	concludes 28:16
<b>18-19</b> 9:14	amount 29:4,5	band 25:2	capital 6:15,18 7:4,8	31:24
	answers 22:14 31:23	based 14:10 31:11	8:16 9:17 14:1,15	construct 27:21
2	anyplace 15:10	basic 25:10 26:3,4,9,	15:14,18 20:21 24:25 25:1 26:14	<b>construction</b> 6:2,4 16:20 17:9 24:3,6
2014 10 25	appeared 19:11	25 27:2,4,9	27:25 29:9,12 30:24	continued 14:21
<b>2014</b> 18:25	appendix 17:6,8	<b>basically</b> 6:11 19:19	31:1,3	copies 19:6
<b>2015</b> 19:1	<b>approval</b> 7:13,16	beginning 7:20	<b>care</b> 15:2	copy 8:23 19:2,4
<b>2016</b> 28:22 30:5,25	8:7,11 9:18 11:18, 20,21 15:6	14:20	<b>Carine</b> 24:22 28:17	<b>correct</b> 9:24 13:19
<b>23</b> 30:11	<b>approve</b> 7:19 8:8,9	<b>Berby</b> 19:2,4	case 4:6	22:11 24:4 31:13,1
<b>24</b> 19:12	approved 9:12,21	<b>bill</b> 14:7,8 28:24	Cave 5:10	correctly 21:23
<b>27</b> 30:25	10:1,9 13:23 14:8	<b>bit</b> 10:19 17:19,20,22	Center 26:2	<b>cost</b> 21:3
<b>2:00</b> 32:4	31:6	18:12,15	<b>CF</b> 12:2,19 13:2 20:5	costs 29:2,20,21,22
3	<b>April</b> 21:11	<b>blurry</b> 10:21	<b>change</b> 11:17 13:10	<b>counsel</b> 8:5 15:22
	arrived 4:20	<b>board</b> 6:25 7:2,18 8:10,17,23 9:3 10:3	28:11	counsel's 5:20
<b>30</b> 5:24	asks 29:4	15:7,11,17 19:14	<b>changed</b> 12:20,23, 24 13:5,6,12,16	<b>court</b> 4:3,10,20
<b>38</b> 30:13,18	aspects 20:21	28:9	28:10 30:16,18	created 6:22,25 7:2
	assigned 24:5	<b>BOB-2</b> 9:5,9,16 11:4,6,24 12:15,18	<b>chart</b> 18:19 31:10	17:14
A	assistance 31:4	20:4,6,11 22:17	Christina 30:25	CREOL 27:8
<b>a.m.</b> 30:25	attached 29:1 31:4	BOB-2's 20:4	<b>Christy</b> 12:9,23	<b>current</b> 9:9 14:6
accidentally 29:10	attend 16:4	<b>BOB-2S</b> 19:10	28:23	31:11
accounting 11:10	attorneys 5:10	<b>BOG</b> 22:9	<b>CIP</b> 6:25 14:13,14 15:13 29:9,23,24	D
12:5 14:23	<b>audit</b> 27:22	<b>breakout</b> 16:19 22:5	30:16 31:12,15	
accurate 8:22	<b>audited</b> 13:15 25:13,	Bryan 5:9	clear 10:7	date 30:22
<b>add</b> 11:14,15,16 18:6,7	14	<b>budget</b> 13:24 14:1,	<b>close</b> 18:18	day 8:23
added 12:14 31:16	<b>auditing</b> 23:11 25:18	16 15:15,19 16:21, 22,25 17:9 20:25	closely 6:9	decided 19:20
added 12:14 31:16 addition 24:25	auditor 13:18 21:10	21:2 24:25 27:25	<b>Colbourn</b> 9:8 10:13, 14 11:24 16:7 22:2	decision 30:5 demolish 30:6
	22:16 24:2 25:5 26:5	<b>building</b> 9:7 16:7	24:9,12 25:7,22	uemonsn 30:6



demolition 9:8 infrastructure 26:9 **email** 22:14 28:21 **forever** 14:18 10:14 30:18 29:1 30:19.21.24 Η **form** 6:22,23,25 7:1 **inherit** 14:21 departments 23:5 Emerging 26:2 11:12,14,19 12:18 inherited 14:20 **habit** 28:4 14:2,3,4,25 15:3,6 depending 23:3 **energy** 26:25 17:20.22 26:22 Hall 10:13 11:24 depends 6:23 **entire** 6:19,21 7:4,5 **initial** 12:14 16:7 22:2 24:9.12 forms 6:24 7:11 25:7.22 27:21 30:14. 25:3,4,10 entitled 30:24 initially 12:16 **deposition** 4:21 5:3, 17 7 31:22 32:4 equipment 16:20 forward 22:15 **instructed** 14:15,17 **hand** 4:4 **detail** 16:21.22 full 5:17:18 estimated 29:2 instruction 14:24 heading 9:16 develop 6:20 **funded** 9:12.23 10:2. estimates 31:3 instructions 10:7 hear 27:16,20 9 14:12 diaries 28:5 **EXAMINATION** interface 24:5 **heard** 13:22 digging 25:16 4:15 **funding** 11:5,7,9,23 interviewed 5:9,13, 13:13,15,23 20:6,21 **held** 5:25 6:2 discuss 31:21 exception 25:23 18 23:10,12,14,20 **helped** 16:18 18:9 discussed 5:3 exhibit 8:17 25:21 26:21 27:14, interviews 5:16 17 28:13 29:3 30:3 **high** 31:7 discussing 27:16 **expect** 28:22 investigation 31:24 31:3,8 history 19:9 22:3 district 26:24 investment 20:10 **funds** 9:19 17:2 F **house** 31:24 27:21 **document** 6:20 9:6 involved 12:25 18:12,15 23:1,2 24:1 10:1 15:23 16:1,10, **Hudson** 16:13 **funny** 23:19 **F&a** 12:24 23:4,8,14 12,13,15 18:4,5,18 25:6 18:10,11 19:13 19:9,18 30:14,15 **facilities** 7:17 8:4 involvement 20:20 G 31:16 23:4 24:24 I documentation fact 9:21 **gains** 20:10 26:12 identified 25:2 J familiar 17:25 19:22 gathering 23:1 26:20 documents 6:11 feedback 14:24 17:13 22:1 25:16,17, gave 16:18 **Jeff** 13:13,17 22:21, identify 30:21 19 26:3,4,9 27:1,2,4, felt 28:1 22,23 23:17 general 5:19 8:5 9 28:14 **illegal** 27:18 9:18 13:18 15:21 **figure** 13:8 23:23 journals 28:5 imminent 31:8 dollar 21:2 17:9 21:10 27:6 28:18 **July** 9:6 11:1 31:2 **impact** 19:19 **Don** 6:14 30:20 **Gina** 4:12 5:2 28:21 filtered 22:12 **June** 11:1 30:25 downtown 26:8 improvement 6:18 filters 21:4 **give** 4:6 11:11,15 7:4,8 8:16 25:1 28:1 14:24 19:2 draft 12:14 13:4 K **final** 7:16 8:7 15:6 29:9,12 31:5 Global 27:3 finalized 18:21 **improvements** due 31:2 keeping 28:5 Good 4:17.18 21:24 26:14 **duly** 4:13 **Kernek** 6:7 8:2 15:9 **governors** 6:25 7:3 **finance** 7:17 8:4 **include** 27:24 31:6. 10:4 11:9 12:4 14:23 22 **kind** 8:1 10:21 14:20  $\mathbf{E}$ 17:9,20,21,22 20:3 **grasp** 17:21 finished 14:5 included 14:11 29:13 19:17 29:6,8 firms 21:18,24 **great** 4:25 E&g 12:21 13:4 **knowledge** 8:6,8,22 includes 19:10 31:5 20:13 27:20 ground 4:19 **five-year** 6:18,24 7:8 8:15 21:1,6 22:4, information 11:5 early 18:25 group 12:24 L 5,6 25:1 27:25 28:7 12:3,7 14:3,4,6,9 guys 6:9 17:5 editing 15:3 15:18,23 19:11 21:7, **Fixed** 9:17 **late** 17:15,16 18:25 12,15 22:18,24 23:1, **edits** 6:12 flows 23:21 6,8,24,25 27:24 lead 24:15,18 27:21 **effort** 24:15,16 28:2,13,25 31:11

Index: demolition..lead



Index: learn..ROI **process** 7:13,25 8:1 11:18.21 13:24 R 21:25 22:18 24:13 30:12,14 raise 4:3 procurement 21:20 **read** 8:24 9:16 10:17.21 28:25 **program** 16:7 17:2 18:11,12 realize 18:23 programs 19:25 **reason** 28:10 **project** 9:21 16:24 recall 5:12 13:10 17:10 21:3,5 22:6,10 19:2.3 23:11,17,18 24:3,6 received 30:21 26:3,10,18 27:14,17 29:20,21,22 30:17 recognize 8:18 project's 10:11 record 5:1 30:20 **projects** 7:9 9:10,11, **red** 9:11.15 17 10:5 11:13 13:14 references 19:10 14:11 17:14 18:5,6 19:23 20:21 23:12 referring 13:17 24:10,22 26:20 regularly 6:7 28:25 29:13 30:24 31:1.4.5.7 relationships 28:19 **provide** 13:15 21:12 remember 10:10 22:17 25:16 29:5 28:22 provided 25:20 renovation 30:10 **provost** 8:2,11,12 report 31:5 15:22 **reporter** 4:3,10,20 **pull** 14:7,8 19:11,16 request 31:20 21:16 require 9:18 **pulled** 19:14 research 25:9,12,25 **purpose** 7:8,10 respond 21:13 purposes 29:17 Response 31:1 **put** 7:12,14 10:5,8 11:12 13:2 14:3,10 responsibility 20:10 28:8,9 29:20 30:2 responsible 6:17 Q 14:2 16:9 21:15.17 result 25:15 question 13:9 23:19 25:9 26:8 29:7 revenue 9:18 **questions** 4:23 5:19 review 6:12 12:17 6:15 16:2 21:11,13 29:4 22:13 23:22 24:20

learn 7:10

leave 10:8 14:20

**led** 24:16

Lee 6:7 8:2 12:11 15:8,9 28:23

legislature 9:12,18, 20 13:23 14:5

**liaison** 24:19

list 7:9.23.25 9:5 10:11,12 11:12 18:1, 7 26:12,13 28:23 29:13.14.15.24 30:24 31:1,8

**listed** 9:9 20:6 26:13

long 5:23 6:3 17:15 18:13 23:23

**lot** 23:21

#### M

made 13:10 30:6

**main** 26:24

maintain 9:19 18:5

make 11:19,22

makes 29:15

manager 23:18

marking 26:22

**Martin** 28:24

master 17:18 19:19

match 29:16

meant 16:24

Media 26:2

**meetings** 8:14 9:3 16:5 19:14

**member** 15:17

Merck 8:3,11,12

million 30:11,18

mirrored 29:13

misleading 28:1

MITZ 4:16 6:14 10:19,22 13:9,17,20

17:5.11 24:23 28:16 30:20 31:19 32:2

**Monday** 30:25

money 21:8

month 9:7 13:11

move 28:11

moved 23:13,14 28:9 30:13

multiple 9:21

**muted** 29:10

#### N

names 11:15

**narrative** 22:2.4.7 25:23,24 26:15

**needed** 13:1 22:25 29:3 31:5

Nick 22:22

**notes** 28:5,8,9,12

Novenia 5:2

numbers 29:16 30:1,9

#### 0

**office** 5:20 23:4,13

officially 11:22

operate 9:19

original 12:18 17:25

originally 10:4

outlay 9:17 14:1,16 15:14,18 24:25

27:25

overhead 20:6

#### P

**p.m.** 32:4

packet 7:5,14

part 7:2 21:19

participate 16:14

17:8

PECO 10:11.12

**peers** 24:9

**people** 5:19,20 7:15, 19,23,24 14:20 22:14 23:2 24:17

**phase** 21:25

**piece** 16:23 17:1,2, 23 21:17

**plan** 6:24 7:4,8 8:16 16:19 17:18,25 18:5 19:19 21:1,6 22:4,6 28:1,7 29:9,12

plans 6:18 7:5 25:1

**plant** 26:25

playing 15:3

**plug** 21:4

**point** 23:17

position 6:6

positions 5:25

possibility 27:17

possibly 24:25

preliminary 20:25

preparation 5:6 6:18

prepare 25:10 26:3,

prepared 16:12,13

**preparing** 6:11 14:2 16:9 24:25

**present** 7:16,17

president 8:3,8,9 15:22

president's 5:20

**pretty** 12:10 18:22, 24 21:23 23:5

previous 12:22 14:13 30:9

previously 5:9 20:1

**prior** 20:7,8

priority 31:8

reviewed 5:6 29:16

reviewing 31:4

reviews 8:5

25:6 26:6 28:16

31:23

**ROI** 22:8



<b>role</b> 24:8,11	30:3 31:3	testimony 4:6	understanding 7:7	
<b>roles</b> 24:10	<b>space</b> 18:20	thing 6:19,21 21:6	understood 31:9	
RUBOTTOM 6:16	<b>special</b> 24:8,11	25:22 28:18	universities 20:15	
11:3 13:21 17:6,12 24:21 28:17,20	26:18 27:13 29:20	things 28:5,9,10	university's 31:8	
29:10,18 30:23	specialist 6:1,2,4	thinking 18:9	<b>updated</b> 29:5 31:10,	
31:14,18	spreadsheet 21:4 22:8	time 5:25 6:10 8:25 15:17,21 17:15	12	
<b>rules</b> 4:19	<b>staff</b> 24:18	18:13 23:23 30:22	updates 31:15	
S	<b>start</b> 31:6	timeline 19:17,20,22	urgent 31:7	
	<b>started</b> 4:25 21:10	20:3		
safety 23:4	<b>state</b> 4:25 24:2	times 5:12 9:21		
schedule 29:1	stated 5:15	tiny 8:24 9:1	<b>venue</b> 26:17	
scheduled 21:2	statement 31:20	titles 6:2	version 18:16	
<b>Seabrook</b> 4:12,17 5:2 6:17 24:24 31:21	steps 15:14	today's 4:21	vertical 29:2	
section 19:18	<b>stuff</b> 14:21 22:9,12	told 9:25 27:24	<b>VP</b> 8:3	
sections 29:2,4	23:21	total 21:3		
secured 29:3	subject 31:1	touched 4:19		
sees 7:19	submit 22:9	track 20:23,24 31:3	work 6:6,7 17:17,19	
select 21:18,22	<b>submitted</b> 7:6 11:1,	Tracy 12:8	18:1,9	
<b>selection</b> 21:17,18,	22 12:16 18:14	<b>Trevor</b> 9:8 10:13,14 11:23 16:6 22:2	<b>worked</b> 6:9 8:20 18:19,21 28:6	
19 24:12,14	summer 8:16 10:16 12:15 30:12	24:8,12 25:7,22	working 30:14	
<b>send</b> 22:15	supervisor 5:21	27:21 30:13,17		
sheet 21:1	<b>Susan</b> 16:13 18:10,	true 5:16 8:22	Y	
short-listed 21:24	11 19:13	<b>trustees</b> 8:10 15:11 28:9	<b>year</b> 7:18 9:9 10:6,	
<b>show</b> 8:15 28:21	swear 4:5	trustees' 19:14	15 11:11 13:4 14:6,	
showing 30:8	<b>sworn</b> 4:14	<b>truth</b> 4:7,8	13 31:12	
<b>shows</b> 29:15	T	turn 23:13,14,24	year's 10:24 30:9	
similar 18:22,24		<b>types</b> 22:1	years 5:24 6:5 12:22	
24:9 25:6	<b>tab</b> 8:17 16:6			
simply 10:1	takes 15:10,11	U		
<b>small</b> 10:19,20	taking 28:5	UCF 5:23 6:22 7:1		
smaller 18:16	talk 24:2,22	27:3		
solemnly 4:5	talking 6:24 19:13	<b>UCF's</b> 14:9,10		
sought 15:18,22,24	25:11	<b>Uh-huh</b> 7:21		
<b>source</b> 11:23 20:6 26:21 27:13,17	talks 19:18	ultimately 23:15		
28:13	Tant 31:1	understand 4:20		
sources 11:5,7,9	ten-year 18:1	8:2 13:1		
13:13,15,23 23:10,	testified 4:14	understandable		

