

Investigative Hearing

## **RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC**

February 15, 2019



www.OrangeLegal.com 800-275-7991

/

1		LORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Integrity & Ethics Committee
2		
3	IN RE:	
4	Investigative Hea Unauthorized Use	-
5	Funds for Fixed C Projects at the U	Capital Outlay
6	Central Florida,	/
7		/
8		
9		
10		
11	Deposition of:	RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC
12	Date Taken:	February 15, 2019
13	Time:	9:46 a.m 10:10 a.m.
14	Location:	
15	Location.	UCF Communications & Marketing 12443 Research Parkway Suite 301
16		Orlando, Florida 32826
17	Reported By:	Emily W. Andersen, RMR CRR FPR
18		Stenograph Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, State of
19		Florida at Large
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	_	
ORANC	GELEGAL	Orange Legal

1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 3 Carine L. Mitz, Esquire (Via Videoconference) Florida House of Representatives 402 House Office Building 4 402 South Monroe Street 5 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300 (850) 717-4881 Carine.Mitz@MyFloridaHouse.gov 6 7 Don Rubottom, Staff Director Florida House of Representatives 8 402 House Office Building 9 402 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300 10 (850) 717-4881 Don.Rubottom@MyFloridaHouse.gov 11 12 John Zielinski, Esquire Nejame Law Firm 13 189 South Orange Avenue Suite 1800 14 Orlando, Florida 32801 (407) 500-0000 15 John@nejamelaw.com 16 17 Appearing on behalf of the witness. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Orange Legal **ORANGELEGAL** 

800-275-7991

3

1	I N D E X	
2		
3		Page
4	Deposition of RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC Direct Examination By Ms. Mitz	4
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Rubottom Certificate of Oath	16 25
6	Certificate of Reporter	26
7		
8	EXHIBITS	
9		Page
	Marked For Identification	
10	Exhibit No. 1 E-mail Correspondence from Maier to Korosec dated	10
11	March 30, 2015	
12		
13	STIPULATIONS	
14	It is hereby stipulated by and between cou	
15	the respective parties that the reading and si the deposition be waived.	giilig of
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ORAN	IGELEGAL Orange Legal	

800-275-7991

1	THE REPORTER: Would you raise your right hand,
2	please.
3	THE WITNESS: (The witness complies.)
4	THE REPORTER: Do you solemnly swear that the
5	testimony you are about to give will be the truth,
6	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help
7	you God?
8	THE WITNESS: I do.
9	RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC,
10	having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as
11	follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. MITZ:
14	Q. Good morning, Ms. Korosec.
15	A. Good morning.
16	Q. Have you ever given a deposition before?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay. So let me kind of give you a brief view
19	of what you can expect, and then also lay down some
20	ground rules so we're all on the same page.
21	The reason that we have you here today is just
22	to ask you some questions to better understand what
23	happened at UCF.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. We are not we are not asking any trick

1	questions. We're not trying to pin anything on anybody.
2	We're just trying to better understand what happened.
3	As you know, we didn't sit in your interview
4	your interview with the Bryan Cave firm. So this is the
5	first time we're actually getting to talk to people
6	live, and it's proving helpful. So that's why we're
7	here, just to get some more information.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. You were just you just took an oath, so we
10	ask that you be honest.
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. If you don't know something, "I don't know" is
13	a perfectly fine answer. We would rather you say "I
14	don't know" than "yes."
15	If you are estimating or approximating
16	something, we ask that you tell us that. If you're
17	telling us something because and you know it because
18	someone else told you, please let us know that you know
19	that because someone else told you.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. The court reporter is typing down everything
22	we're saying, so we need to speak in words. We all have
23	the habit of saying uh-huh, huh-uh. That doesn't work
24	real well in a transcript, so please try to speak and be
25	audible in your responses; no nodding of the head or



anything like that. And if you need us to rephrase a 1 question or restate it, feel free to ask us to do that. 2 3 And that's about it. So are you ready to go? 4 Α. Yes. Okay. Can you please state your full name and 5 ο. spell your last name for the record? 6 Ronnie Lacourse Korosec, K-O-R-O-S-E-C. 7 Α. 8 And have you discussed this deposition with 0. 9 anyone besides your attorney? 10 Α. Just my attorney. 11 Okay. Have you had an opportunity to review 0. 12 the notes that were taken during your interview with 13 attorneys from Bryan Cave? 14 Α. Just recently, yes. Okay. Did you review the notes of anyone 15 0. else's interview? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Have you reviewed anything else in addition to 0. 19 those notes? 20 Α. I was requested by general counsel to look to see if I had any e-mails related to this case, and so I 21 went through my e-mail and found anything that had the 22 23 term -- I believe it was "Trevor Colbourn Hall" or "budget meeting," and "orientation." And I reviewed 24 those e-mails and sent them to general counsel. 25



	GELEGAL Orange Legal
25	Q. Okay. And can you just kind of detail for us
24	professor.
23	A. At that point, I was a visiting assistant
22	Q. All right. And in what capacity?
21	A. I joined UCF in 2000.
20	Q. Okay. All right. When did you join UCF?
19	formal manner.
18	staff, but I have not been summoned or requested in a
17	about Trevor Colbourn Hall happening among faculty,
16	A. In general, there is a lot of conversation
15	asked you questions about this?
14	president's office, immediate supervisors? Has anybody
13	at UCF, including the general counsel's office, the
12	Trevor Colbourn Hall or Colbourn Hall projects by anyone
11	just asked questions about your involvement in the
10	Q. Okay. And have you been interviewed or even
9	A. Yes.
8	him truthful?
7	Q. Did everything was everything that you told
6	A. That is correct.
5	Bryan Cave; is that correct?
4	were not placed under oath when you were interviewed by
3	Q. Okay. All right. And we understand that you
2	A. Maybe probably two to three weeks ago.
1	Q. And about how long ago did you do that?



the different positions you've held since you joined? 1 2 It's a long list, so I will try to keep it Α. 3 brief. Originally, a visiting assistant professor, 4 then an assistance professor, then promoted to an 5 associate professor, then interim associate dean, then 6 associate dean, then provost faculty fellow, then 7 assistant provost, then associate provost for strategy, 8 9 then associate provost for operations, then associate 10 provost and chief of staff for academic affairs, and 11 most recently, associate dean. 12 Okay. So which one of those positions did you 0. 13 hold when you were reporting -- reporting to or working 14 closely with Provost Whittaker? Multiples of those, beginning with the 15 Α. 16 assistant provost -- excuse me. The correct title is 17 assistant -- assistant vice provost, I think is what it 18 was. 19 But starting with assistant vice provost all the way up to associate provost and chief of staff for 20 21 academic affairs. 22 So in those positions, you reported to Dale 0. 23 Whittaker? 24 Α. I did. Okay. Recently, at the January 24, 2019, board 25 Q.



1	meeting, President Whittaker had some remarks to the
2	committee or to the board, and he identified you as
3	someone who was due credit for assisting with faculty
4	placement in Trevor Colbourn Hall.
5	Can you give us an idea of what it is that you
6	did with that project?
7	A. I was surprised and somewhat shocked to hear
8	him give me credit for that, because that was not
9	something I did.
10	Q. Oh, okay. Interesting. All right.
11	A. I found it interesting.
12	Q. What kind of work did you do?
13	A. Pardon me?
14	Q. What did you do in relation to Trevor Colbourn
15	Hall?
<b>15</b> 16	
	Hall?
16	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn
16 17	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall.
16 17 18	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall. I was responsible at that time for making sure
16 17 18 19	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall. I was responsible at that time for making sure that action items relating to Trevor Colbourn Hall that
16 17 18 19 20	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall. I was responsible at that time for making sure that action items relating to Trevor Colbourn Hall that Dale Whittaker asked be implemented, that those took
16 17 18 19 20 21	Hall? A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall. I was responsible at that time for making sure that action items relating to Trevor Colbourn Hall that Dale Whittaker asked be implemented, that those took place with the appropriate people.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Hall?     A. I had almost no activity with Trevor Colbourn Hall.</pre>



1	into Trevor Colbourn Hall.
2	Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement whatsoever
3	in the funding for the project?
4	A. Absolutely not.
5	Q. Okay. When did you start assisting with Trevor
6	Colbourn either Colbourn Hall or Trevor Colbourn
7	Hall, do you recall the month and year?
8	A. I don't recall that specifically, but I know
9	that I was involved in the conversations probably when
10	Dale was starting to be involved in those.
11	Q. Okay. It probably would have been soon after
12	he started?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And your involvement was just by virtue of your
15	position?
16	A. Yes, yes.
17	Q. All right. Did you have anything to do with
18	seeking transfers of E&G funds related to Colbourn Hall
19	and/or Trevor Colbourn Hall?
20	A. Absolutely none.
21	(Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. MITZ:
23	Q. Okay. I'd like you to take a look at an e-mail
24	that I'm sure you've been asked to look at before.
25	A. Thank you.

ORANGE LEGAL

Q.

1

ORANGELEGAL

_		
2	2 was sent on March 30th of 2015.	
3	Can you just take a look at t	hat, review it,
4	and let me know when you're done?	
5	5 A. I'm done.	
6	Q. Okay. Do you recognize this	e-mail?
7	A. I actually don't, but it has	my name on it so
8	3 I'm sure it came to my e-mail.	
9	Q. Okay. So I'm going to assume	then, if you
10	) don't recognize it, you probably don't	recall why
11	l what transpired to get this e-mail?	
12	2 A. I do not.	
13	Q. Okay. Did you typically work	with contracts
14	and grants?	
15	5 A. No.	
16	Q. Okay. Do you have any idea h	ow you would have
17	7 been asked or involved in something li	ke that?
18	A. My speculation is that this w	ould be something
19	9 that she had wanted me to bring to Dal	e for his
20	) understanding. I don't recall this.	
21	L Q. Okay. So you can't you do	n't recall what
22	2 project or matter this dealt with?	
23	A. I do not.	
24	Q. Okay. If this was something	that Dr. Whittaker
25	5 had asked you to inquire about and the	n you got a

Orange Legal 800-275-7991

It's an e-mail from Tina Maier to you, and it

11

1	response, would your normal practice then be to take
2	that response to Dr. Whittaker or whoever asked you to
3	find out information about it?
4	A. My normal response on this probably would have
5	been to either print it or forward it to Dale and ask
6	him what he wanted to do with it.
7	Q. Okay. You're certain you wouldn't have just
8	kept the information to yourself, you would have passed
9	it on?
10	A. No, I would have passed that on.
11	Q. All right. How long did you work closely with
12	Dale Whittaker?
13	A. I worked with Dale from the point when he was
14	hired up until the point where he moved to the
15	president's office.
16	Q. Okay. So maybe three plus years?
17	A. Probably, yes.
18	Q. Okay. And did you ever witness any discussions
19	between him and anybody else regarding the use of E&G
20	funds for capital projects?
21	A. I am not sure on that, because I am not sure I
22	would have understood the distinction of E&G. So there
23	may have been conversations where the term E&G came up,
24	but that was not my forte and budgeting is not my
25	background, so I'm not I'm not sure I would have



known to know that that was an issue. 1 2 I qot you. Okay. 0. 3 Did Provost Whittaker ever ask you to seek advice on funding sources either from university audit 4 or the general counsel's office or anyone else? 5 6 Can you reframe that question? Α. Do you recall Provost Whittaker ever 7 0. Sure. asking you on his behalf to seek advice concerning 8 9 funding sources for capital projects from the general 10 counsel's office or university audit? 11 If Dale were to ask me for advice on funding, Α. it would almost always be, Who should I talk to? 12 or 13 Whom should be involved? 14 0. Okay. So he wouldn't have said, This is my 15 question, you go get the answer and come back to me. He 16 would have probably asked you to tell him who to ask? Or he would have said -- yes, there were times 17 Α. 18 when he said, Here is my question, go get the answer. 19 Do you recall ever having to go to Okay. 0. 20 either the general counsel's office or university audit on one of those questions? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. Do you recall whether they were related 0. 24 to the funding of capital projects? 25 I don't recall that. Α.

1	Q. When you worked for Provost Whittaker, did you
2	ever help him to prepare for meetings that he attended,
3	such as the budget chat group or the facilities budget
4	committee or the university budget committee?
5	A. I did help him prepare, but the way in which I
6	helped him prepare was more operational rather than
7	related to budget issues. He did not defer to me on
8	budget issues.
9	Q. Okay. So what do you mean by your response?
10	What exactly did you do to prepare?
11	A. That's a good question. Dale was very siloed
12	in the people that he relied on for certain areas of
13	expertise. He was very famous for saying, I hired smart
14	people and I rely on them, you know, to do their jobs.
15	My job was not budgeting. My job was
16	operations. So if I helped him prepare, it was often
17	the case that it was an operational preparation, so who
18	needs to be in the room, who needs to be consulted.
19	If it were, for example, since we're talking
20	about budget, if it were an issue of budget, Ronnie, I'm
21	going to a meeting, have Tracy prepare, have Bill
22	prepare this. So although I was the operations person,
23	I wasn't the person responsible for coming up with the
24	information.
25	So in terms of a prep I just want to be very



1	clear on that. In terms of a prep, yes, I did help him
2	prep for his meeting, but he relied on we called it
3	SMEs, S-M-Es, subject matter experts. He relied on the
4	subject matter experts to do the deep dive in these
5	specific areas that he was prepping for.
6	Q. Okay. So you wouldn't it doesn't sound like
7	you would have actually looked at any budget paperwork
8	that you had been provided by Lee Kernek or Mr. Merck.
9	You would have just assembled it and then given it to
10	him for whatever meeting he had?
11	A. I wouldn't have assembled it.
12	Q. Oh, okay.
13	A. I wouldn't have assembled it. I would have
14	received it at the meeting from either Tracy or Christy
15	Tant or whomever was in the meeting.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. So my job was not to assemble it.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. If he was prepping for a meeting, it would be
20	things that he wanted included in the meeting, not other
21	people sending it to us.
22	Q. Gotcha, okay. Do you recall ever assisting
23	Dr. Whittaker in preparing for a meeting with Dr. Hitt
24	to go over E&G commitment or allocation lists?
25	A. I regularly prepared Dale's agendas for his

meetings with Dr. Hitt. 1 2 Okay. Do you recall whether those included 0. 3 capital project E&G commitment lists? While I don't specifically recall that, it 4 Α. 5 could have been the case that they did. 6 MS. MITZ: Okay. Don, do you have any 7 questions? 8 MR. RUBOTTOM: Just a couple, because I want to 9 be clear. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. RUBOTTOM: 12 0. You don't recall this e-mail? You don't recall 13 what started it? Would you have occasion to interface with other 14 faculty or deans and ever take a question like that to 15 audit on their behalf, and just communicate back to 16 them, answers, without discussing the matter with 17 Dr. Whittaker? 18 19 I'm trying -- there -- yes, there were often --Α. 20 not often. There were instances where the deans would 21 come to me and say I have a problem, can you help us 22 resolve it? 23 And would you give any report to Dr. Whittaker 0. 24 about solving that problem? 25 Α. Always.



1	Q. You would always report?
2	A. Always.
3	Q. What were you in the provost's office when
4	Dr. Whittaker joined the university
5	A. Yes.
6	Q in 2014?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And on the date of that e-mail,
9	March 30th, what would what was your position at that
10	time?
11	A. Oy. I don't know. Probably associate provost.
12	I don't know if I was still assistant provost.
13	Q. Would you be willing to send us an e-mail and
14	confirm what your position was on that date?
15	A. Sure, absolutely. I can do that.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	With the subject matter experts, if he was
18	having a meeting with Dr. Hitt and they were discussing
19	budgets, would he also get agenda information from Tracy
20	or somebody else?
21	A. Always.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q. But would you have been aware of that meeting
25	prep going on?
	$\sim$



Not always. 1 Α. 2 Okay. 0. 3 Not always. Dale was famous for going and Α. talking to people, and didn't -- this is not a criticism 4 of him, but often he would go off and do that leg work 5 himself, and I would find out about it days later or 6 maybe not even find out about it. 7 8 0. Right. 9 So it was not the case, despite my title, that Α. 10 I knew a hundred percent of what he was thinking or 11 doing all the time. 12 Did you ever hear him discussing with anyone 0. 13 the ideas that certain kinds of moneys can only be used for certain kinds of purposes, just in those -- in all 14 of his interactions? 15 16 Α. Yes. Did you ever hear him refer to himself as the 17 ο. chief university budget officer? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. Did you -- how did you perceive his relationship with Bill Merck with respect to big budget 21 22 issues? 23 Α. Bill and Dale were very close, very close. 24 They -- part of the reason that Tracy Clark reported to both Bill and to Dale was because -- I believe that 25



1	there was such a strong overlap between the work that
2	they were trying to get done, they needed someone to be
3	the interface between the two of them.
4	Q. Okay. Did you ever hear him express
5	frustration with Bill Merck's responsiveness,
6	transparency, or clarity in communications with
7	Dr. Whittaker?
8	A. Can you repeat that?
9	Q. I'll try to.
10	A. Sorry. There's a lot going on there.
11	Q. Did you ever hear them express frustrations
12	with Mr. Merck's communications to him?
13	A. Only in one area.
14	Q. Which area
15	A. Sorry.
16	
	Q was that?
17	Q was that? A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not
17	A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not
17 18	A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans
17 18 19	A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans more in line with what the deans were expecting.
17 18 19 <b>20</b>	<ul> <li>A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans more in line with what the deans were expecting.</li> <li>Q. Would that issue have been space allocation and</li> </ul>
17 18 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b>	<ul> <li>A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans more in line with what the deans were expecting.</li> <li>Q. Would that issue have been space allocation and sizes of offices, things like that?</li> </ul>
17 18 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b> 22	<ul> <li>A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans more in line with what the deans were expecting.</li> <li>Q. Would that issue have been space allocation and sizes of offices, things like that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
17 18 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b> 22 <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>A. He expressed frustration that Bill had not worked to get Lee Kernek's performance with the deans more in line with what the deans were expecting.</li> <li>Q. Would that issue have been space allocation and sizes of offices, things like that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What would that be?</li> </ul>



1	was frustration over the cost of construction on campus,
2	and having a conversation with her being able to deliver
3	to the deans a different product than was being
4	delivered.
5	Q. Was the cost concern centered on areas where
6	the departments were having to pay for those projects?
7	A. Yes, yes.
8	Q. Were there also concerns about repairs and
9	maintenance issues?
10	A. Absolutely, absolutely.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. And the length of time to get those done.
13	Q. Do you know if Dr. Whittaker ever discussed
14	cost control in the construction area with any with
15	any trustees?
16	A. Oh, I don't know that.
17	Q. Do you know if he ever had conversations with
18	Trustee Marchena when he was facilities and finance
19	chair about facilities or finance issues?
20	A. While I was not in those meetings, I can't say.
21	I don't know. It would make sense because of the
22	closeness of those positions, but I was not in any of
23	those meetings.
24	Q. Okay. There was an E&G allocation list that
25	was produced at least I have seen one from 2013 and



1	2014.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Those were signed by the provost and the
4	president each year?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Were you ever present when when those lists
7	were presented to either Provost Waldrop or Provost
8	Whittaker?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Who would have presented those documents to the
11	provosts?
12	A. They would have been presented by Tracy Clark
13	when when it was Dale Whittaker. When it was Tony
14	Waldrop, it would have been Lynn Gonzalez.
15	And I wouldn't have been present with Tony
16	Waldrop and Lynn Gonzalez, but I would have been present
17	as part of the budget committee. Those were presented
18	at the budget committee meetings.
19	Q. At their budget chat groups?
20	A. At the larger larger budget committees as
21	well.
22	Q. Well, I don't think that was the university
23	budget committee was started.
24	A. Yeah, that's right.
25	Q. Do you remember when that committee started?

Was it in the first year that Dr. Whittaker was provost? 1 2 Α. I don't recall. I don't recall. 3 0. Okay. It's a relatively new development, but I don't 4 Α. recall if it was in the last two or last three years. 5 Do you recall those allocation lists being 6 0. signed in -- or the fact that it's been an annual -- has 7 there been an annual signing of that document throughout 8 the period of -- I mean, since 2014, is it your 9 10 recollection that those have been signed? 11 I don't recall being present at the signing, Α. 12 but I do recall those being presented to Dale by Tracy 13 and Bill. 14 0. Okay. The 2014 one was presented to him, I think, like before he had been here two weeks. 15 16 Α. I don't recall. You don't recall that at all? 17 ο. 18 Α. No. Do you recall, if you were present, him asking 19 0. 20 questions about the document? 21 Α. It was Dale's nature to ask questions. So if I 22 was present, he would have been asking detailed 23 questions. 24 Q. Okay, okay. 25 Carine, I think that's all I've MR. RUBOTTOM:



1	got.
2	MS. MITZ: Okay. Is there anything else that
3	you think we should know as part of our
4	investigation into the misuse of appropriated funds
5	that we haven't already asked you or that you
6	haven't already told us?
7	THE WITNESS: That's a pretty large question.
8	Let me think about that for a second.
9	No, not that I can think of.
10	MS. MITZ: Okay. Are you someone who makes it
11	a habit to keep notes or journals or diaries of what
12	you do at work?
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	MS. MITZ: Okay, okay. Well, then lastly, we
15	just ask for you to agree that you are not going to
16	discuss this deposition with anybody while our
17	investigation remains pending.
18	So we're asking that you not disclose the
19	questions that you've been asked today, as well as
20	the answers that you gave. Do you agree to do that?
21	THE WITNESS: I do.
22	MS. MITZ: Okay. Great. Thank you so much.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Have a great day.
24	THE REPORTER: Read or waive?
25	MR. ZIELINSKI: Yes, I think we can go ahead

ORANGELEGAL

1	and	waive	. That	t's fi	ine.					
2		(The	depos	ition	was	concluded	at	10:10	a.m.)	
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
ORANG	ELEGA	AL <sup>®</sup>		0	rang 00-2	e Legal 75-7991				

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF ORANGE:
4	COUNTI OF ORANGE.
5	I, Emily W. Andersen, RMR CRR FPR, Stenograph Shorthand Reporter, certify that RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC
6	personally appeared before me on February 15, 2019 and was duly sworn.
7	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 15th day of February, 2019.
8	rebruary, 2019.
9	Identification: Produced Identification
10	Florida Driver's License
11	
12	Swill Anderson
13	Emily W. Andersen
14	Notary Public State of Florida Commission No. GG 258112
15	Expires October 14, 2022
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ORANGE LEGAL

25

/

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF ORANGE:
3	
4	I, Emily W. Andersen, RMR CRR FPR, Stenograph Shorthand Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and
5	did stenographically report the foregoing deposition of RONNIE LACOURSE KOROSEC; that the review of the transcript was requested; and that the foregoing Pages,
7	4 through 22, inclusive, are a true and complete record of my stenograph notes.
8	I further certify that I am not a relative or
9	employee of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or counsel connected with the parties' attorneys or counsel
10	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action.
11	DATED this 15th day of February, 2019.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Emily W. Andersen
17	
18	Emily W. Andersen, RMR CRR FPR Stenograph Shorthand Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
ORAN	IGELEGAL Orange Legal

800-275-7991

	LAC
	1
Exhibits	an
021519_R.	an
Korosec_	an
Exhibit 01 3:10 10:21	ap
	ap
1	5
<b>1</b> 10:21	ar
<b>10:10</b> 24:2	<b>ar</b> 2
	as
2	as
<b>2000</b> 7:21	1
<b>2013</b> 20:25	as
<b>2014</b> 17:6 21:1 22:9, 14	as:
<b>2015</b> 11:2	as:
<b>2019</b> 8:25	as
<b>24</b> 8:25	1
	as
3	as
<b>30th</b> 11:2 17:9	at
	at
A	at
<b>a.m.</b> 24:2	au
<b>absolutely</b> 10:4,20 17:15 20:10	<b>au</b> 1
academic 8:10,21	av
<b>action</b> 9:19	
activity 9:16	
addition 6:18	ba
<b>advice</b> 13:4,8,11	ba
affairs 8:10,21	be
<b>agenda</b> 17:19	be
agendas 15:25	bi
<b>agree</b> 23:15,20	Bi
ahead 23:25	
allocation 15:24	bo

19:20 20:24 22:6
and/or 10:19
annual 22:7,8
answers 16:17 23:20
appropriated 23:4
<b>approximating</b> 5:15
area 19:13,14 20:14
<b>areas</b> 14:12 15:5 20:5
assemble 15:17
<b>assembled</b> 15:9,11, 13
assistance 8:5
<b>assistant</b> 7:23 8:4,8, 16,17,19 17:12
<b>assisting</b> 9:3 10:5 15:22
<b>associate</b> 8:6,7,8,9, 11,20 17:11
assume 11:9
assuring 9:23
attended 14:2
<b>attorney</b> 6:9,10
attorneys 6:13
audible 5:25
<b>audit</b> 13:4,10,20 16:16
aware 17:24
В
<b>back</b> 13:15 16:16
background 12:25
beginning 8:15
<b>behalf</b> 13:8 16:16
<b>big</b> 18:21
<b>Bill</b> 14:21 18:21,23, 25 19:5,17 22:13
board 8:25 9:2

bring 11:19 Bryan 5:4 6:13 7:5 **budget** 6:24 14:3,4, 7,8,20 15:7 18:18,21 21:17,18,19,20,23 budgeting 12:24 14:15 budgets 17:19 С called 15:2 campus 19:25 20:1 capacity 7:22 capital 12:20 13:9, 24 16:3 **Carine** 22:25 case 6:21 14:17 16:5 18:9 Cave 5:4 6:13 7:5 centered 20:5 **chair** 20:19 chat 14:3 21:19 chief 8:10,20 9:22 18:18 **Christy** 15:14 clarity 19:6 Clark 18:24 21:12 clear 15:1 16:9 close 18:23 closely 8:14 12:11 closeness 20:22 **Colbourn** 6:23 7:12, 17 9:4,14,16,19 10:1,6,18,19 commitment 15:24 16:3 **committee** 9:2 14:4 21:17,18,23,25 committees 21:20 communicate 16:16 communications 19:6.12 completed 19:25 complies 4:3 concern 20:5 concerns 20:8 concluded 24:2 **confirm** 17:14 construction 19:25 20:1,14 consulted 14:18 contracts 11:13 control 20:14 conversation 7:16 20:2 conversations 10:9 12:23 20:17 coordinator 9:23 coordinators 9:25 **correct** 7:5,6 8:16 cost 20:1.5.14 **counsel** 6:20,25 counsel's 7:13 13:5, 10,20 couple 16:8 **court** 5:21 **credit** 9:3.8 criticism 18:4 D Dale 8:22 9:20 10:10

11:19 12:5,12,13

25 21:13 22:12

Dale's 15:25 22:21

date 17:8,14

day 23:23

days 18:6

**dealt** 11:22

13:11 14:11 18:3.23.

### **dean** 8:6,7,11 deans 9:24 16:15.20 19:18,19 20:3 **deep** 15:4 defer 14:7 deliver 20:2 delivered 20:4 departments 20:6 **deposition** 4:16 6:8 23:16 24:2 detail 7:25 detailed 22:22 development 22:4 diaries 23:11 **DIRECT** 4:12 16:10 disclose 23:18 discuss 23:16 discussed 6:8 20:13 discussing 16:17 17:18 18:12 discussions 12:18 distinction 12:22 **dive** 15:4 document 22:8,20 documents 21:10 **Don** 16:6 **due** 9:3 **duly** 4:10

#### Е

**E&g** 10:18 12:19,22, 23 15:24 16:3 20:24

e-mail 6:22 10:23 11:1,6,8,11 16:12 17:8,13

e-mails 6:21,25

else's 6:16

estimating 5:15

EXAMINATION



Index: excuse..operations

URUSEC, RUNNIE	LACOU
4:12 16:10	
<b>excuse</b> 8:16	
<b>exhibit</b> 10:21	gave
<b>expect</b> 4:19	gener
expecting 19:19	7:13
expertise 14:13	<b>give</b> 4 16:22
experts 15:3,4 17:17	God
express 19:4,11	Gou
expressed 19:17	good
	Gotcl
F	grant
facilities 14:3 20:18,	great
19	grour
fact 22:7	group
<b>faculty</b> 7:17 8:7 9:3 16:15	group
<b>famous</b> 14:13 18:3	
<b>feel</b> 6:2	
fellow 8:7	habit
<b>finance</b> 20:18,19	Hall
<b>find</b> 12:3 18:6,7	9:4,1
<b>fine</b> 5:13 24:1	7,18
<b>firm</b> 5:4	hand
formal 7:19	happ
<b>forte</b> 12:24	happ
forward 12:5	head
<b>found</b> 6:22 9:11	hear 19:4
<b>free</b> 6:2	held
frustration 19:5,17,	helpe
24 20:1	helpf
frustrations 19:11	hired
<b>full</b> 6:5	Hitt
<b>funding</b> 10:3 13:4,9, 11,24	17:1
funds 10:18 12:20	hold
23:4	hones
	huh-ւ
	hund

G
gave 23:20
<b>general</b> 6:20,25 7:13,16 13:5,9,20
<b>give</b> 4:5,18 9:5,8 16:23
<b>God</b> 4:7
Gonzalez 21:14,16
good 4:14,15 14:11
Gotcha 15:22
grants 11:14
great 23:22,23
<b>ground</b> 4:20
group 14:3
groups 21:19
Н
habit 5:23 23:11
Hall 6:23 7:12,17 9:4,15,17,19 10:1,6, 7,18,19
hand 4:1
hand 4:1 happened 4:23 5:2
happened 4:23 5:2
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16 helpful 5:6
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16 helpful 5:6 hired 12:14 14:13 Hitt 15:23 16:1
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16 helpful 5:6 hired 12:14 14:13 Hitt 15:23 16:1 17:18
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16 helpful 5:6 hired 12:14 14:13 Hitt 15:23 16:1 17:18 hold 8:13
happened 4:23 5:2 happening 7:17 head 5:25 hear 9:7 18:12,17 19:4,11 held 8:1 helped 14:6,16 helpful 5:6 hired 12:14 14:13 Hitt 15:23 16:1 17:18 hold 8:13 honest 5:10

I
<b>idea</b> 9:5 11:16
ideas 18:13
identification 10:21
identified 9:2
implemented 9:20
implementer 9:23
<b>included</b> 15:20 16:2
including 7:13
<b>information</b> 5:7 12:3,8 14:24 17:19
<b>inquire</b> 11:25
instances 16:20
interactions 18:15
interesting 9:10,11
interface 16:14 19:3
interim 8:6
<b>interview</b> 5:3,4 6:12,16
interviewed 7:4,10
<b>investigation</b> 23:4, 17
<b>involved</b> 10:9,10 11:17 13:13
<b>involvement</b> 7:11 10:2,14
<b>issue</b> 13:1 14:20 19:20
<b>issues</b> 14:7,8 18:22 20:9,19
<b>items</b> 9:19
J
January 8:25
<b>job</b> 14:15 15:17
<b>jobs</b> 14:14
<b>join</b> 7:20
<b>joined</b> 7:21 8:1 17:4

journals 23:11 Κ K-O-R-O-S-E-C 6:7 Kernek 9:24 15:8 Kernek's 19:18 kind 4:18 7:25 9:12 **kinds** 18:13,14 knew 18:10 **Korosec** 4:9,14 6:7 L Lacourse 4:9 6:7 large 23:7 larger 21:20 lastly 23:14 lay 4:19

Lee 9:24 15:8 19:18

**length** 19:24 20:12

lists 15:24 16:3 21:6

long 7:1 8:2 12:11

**looked** 15:7 **lot** 7:16 19:10

Lynn 21:14,16

**Maier** 11:1

make 20:21

makes 23:10

making 9:18

**manner** 7:19

Μ

maintenance 20:9

list 8:2 20:24

leg 18:5

22:6

live 5:6

### March 11:2 17:9 Marchena 20:18 marked 10:21 matter 11:22 15:3,4 16:17 17:17 meeting 6:24 9:1 14:21 15:2,10,14,15, 19,20,23 17:18,24 meetings 14:2 16:1 20:20,23 21:18 Merck 15:8 18:21 Merck's 19:5,12 misuse 23:4 **MITZ** 4:13 10:22 16:6 23:2,10,14,22 moneys 18:13 month 10:7 **morning** 4:14,15 moved 12:14 Multiples 8:15 Ν **nature** 22:21 **needed** 19:2 nodding 5:25 **normal** 12:1,4

0

**notes** 6:12,15,19

23:11

oath 4:10 5:9 7:4 occasion 16:14 office 7:13,14 12:15 13:5,10,20 17:3 officer 18:18

offices 19:21

operational 14:6,17

**operations** 8:9 14:16,22



opportunity 6:11 orientation 6:24	<b>present</b> 21:6,15,16 22:11,19,22
Originally 8:4	<b>presented</b> 21:7,10, 12,17 22:12,14
overlap 19:1	<b>president</b> 9:1 21:4
<b>Oy</b> 17:11	<b>president's</b> 7:14 12:15
P	pretty 23:7
paperwork 15:7	<b>print</b> 12:5
Pardon 9:13	<b>problem</b> 16:21,24
<b>part</b> 18:24 21:17	produced 20:25
23:3	product 20:3
passed 12:8,10	<b>professor</b> 7:24 8:4,
<b>pay</b> 20:6	5,6
pending 23:17	programs 9:25
<b>people</b> 5:5 9:21,24 14:12,14 15:21 18:4	<b>project</b> 9:6 10:3 11:22 16:3
perceive 18:20	<b>projects</b> 7:12 12:20 13:9.24 20:6
<b>percent</b> 18:10	promoted 8:5
perfectly 5:13	provided 15:8
performance 19:18	proving 5:6
period 22:9	<b>provost</b> 8:7,8,9,10,
person 14:22,23	14,16,17,19,20 13:3,
<b>pin</b> 5:1	7 14:1 17:11,12 21:3,7 22:1
<b>place</b> 9:21	provost's 17:3
placement 9:4	provosts 21:11
<b>point</b> 7:23 12:13,14	purposes 18:14
<b>position</b> 10:15 17:9, 14	
<b>positions</b> 8:1,12,22	Q
20:22	question 6:2 13:6,
practice 12:1	15,18 14:11 16:15
<b>prep</b> 14:25 15:1,2 17:25	23:7 questions 4:22 5:1
preparation 14:17	7:11,15 13:21 16:7 22:20,21,23 23:19
<b>prepare</b> 14:2,5,6,10, 16,21,22	R
prepared 15:25	N
preparing 15:23	<b>raise</b> 4:1
prepping 15:5,19	<b>Read</b> 23:24

ready 6:3 real 5:24 reason 4:21 18:24 recall 10:7,8 11:10, 20,21 13:7,19,23,25 15:22 16:2,4,12 22:2,5,6,11,12,16,17, 19 received 15:14 recently 6:14 8:11, 25 recognize 11:6,10 recollection 22:10 record 6:6 refer 18:17 reframe 13:6 regularly 15:25 related 6:21 10:18 13:23 14:7 relating 9:19 relation 9:14 relationship 18:21 **relied** 14:12 15:2,3 rely 14:14 remains 23:17 remarks 9:1 remember 21:25 repairs 20:8 repeat 19:8 rephrase 6:1 report 16:23 17:1 **reported** 8:22 18:24 **reporter** 4:1,4 5:21 23:24 reporting 8:13 requested 6:20 7:18 **resolve** 16:22 respect 18:21 **response** 12:1,2,4 14:9

responses 5:25 responsible 9:18 14:23 responsiveness 19:5 restate 6:2 review 6:11,15 11:3 reviewed 6:18,24 role 9:22 Ronnie 4:9 6:7 14:20 room 14:18 RUBOTTOM 16:8, 11 22:25 rules 4:20

### S

**S-M-ES** 15:3 seek 13:3.8 seeking 10:18 **send** 17:13 sending 15:21 sense 20:21 shocked 9:7 **signed** 21:3 22:7,10 **signing** 22:8,11 **siloed** 14:11 sit 5:3 sizes 19:21 smart 14:13 **SMES** 15:3 solemnly 4:4 **solving** 16:24 **sound** 15:6 sources 13:4,9 space 19:20 speak 5:22,24 specific 15:5

specifically 10:8 16:4 speculation 11:18 spell 6:6 staff 7:18 8:10,20 9:22 start 10:5 started 10:12 16:13 21:23.25 starting 8:19 10:10 state 6:5 strategy 8:8 strong 19:1 **subject** 15:3,4 17:17 summoned 7:18 supervisors 7:14 surprised 9:7

swear 4:4

### **sworn** 4:10

Т

talk 5:5 13:12 talking 9:24 14:19 18:4 Tant 15:15 telling 5:17 term 6:23 12:23 terms 14:25 15:1 testified 4:10 testimony 4:5 things 15:20 19:21 thinking 18:10 time 5:5 9:18 17:10 18:11 19:24 20:12 times 13:17 Tina 11:1 **title** 8:16 18:9 today 4:21 23:19



Index: opportunity..today

told 5:18,19 7:7 23:6	
<b>Tony</b> 21:13,15	W
<b>Tracy</b> 14:21 15:14	waive 23:24 24:1
17:19 18:24 21:12 22:12	Waldrop 21:7,14,16
transcript 5:24	wanted 11:19 12:6
transfers 10:18	15:20
transparency 19:6	weeks 7:2 22:15
transpired 11:11	whatsoever 10:2
<b>Trevor</b> 6:23 7:12,17 9:4,14,16,19 10:1,5, 6,19 <b>trick</b> 4:25	Whittaker 8:14,23 9:1,20 11:24 12:2,12 13:3,7 14:1 15:23 16:18,23 17:4 19:7 20:13 21:8,13 22:1
<b>Trustee</b> 20:18	<b>whomever</b> 15:15
trustees 20:15	words 5:22
truth 4:5,6	work 5:23 9:12
truthful 7:8	11:13 12:11 18:5 19:1 23:12
typically 11:13	worked 12:13 14:1
typing 5:21	19:18
	working 8:13
U	
<b>UCF</b> 4:23 7:13,20,21	Y
<b>uh-huh</b> 5:11,23	year 10:7 21:4 22:1
<b>understand</b> 4:22 5:2 7:3	years 12:16 22:5
understanding	Z
11:20	ZIELINSKI 23:25
understood 12:22	
<b>university</b> 13:4,10, 20 14:4 17:4 18:18 21:22	
V	
vice 8:17,19	
view 4:18	
<b>virtue</b> 10:14	
visiting 7:23 8:4	
-	

